



S3 Fig: Comparison between the true discovery rate (TDR) of classifying tissue-specific subtypes by the MAP-EM algorithm (under the Bayesian framework of the mixture model which eGST employs) versus the EM algorithm (under the frequentist framework of the mixture model) based on the threshold of tissue-specific subtype posterior probability as 65%, 70%, 75%, 80%, 85%, 90%, 95%, respectively. Box plots of TDR across 50 datasets simulated under $m_1 = m_2 = 1000$, combinations of (h_1^2, h_2^2) with $h_1^2 = 10\%, 20\%, 30\%, 40\%, 50\%$ and $h_2^2 = 10\%, 20\%, 30\%, 40\%, 50\%$; $n = 40000$; (a) $w_1 = w_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ and (b) $w_1 = \frac{1}{3}, w_2 = \frac{2}{3}$, are presented. Here h_1^2 and h_2^2 are the heritabilities of tissue-specific subtypes of the trait due to m_1 and m_2 SNPs representing two sets of tissue-specific eQTL SNPs, w_1 and w_2 are the proportions of individuals in the sample assigned to the two tissues, n is the total number of individuals.