

Supplementary file for Deep collaborative learningwith application to brain development study

TABLE S1: The comparison of classification performance (age classification using rest-emoid combination). The full names of the measures are: area under ROC curve (AUC), accuracy (ACC), sensitivity (SEN), specificity (SPF), precision (PRC), F1 score (F1).

Classifier	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPF	PRC	F1
DCL+SVM	0.9941	0.9687	0.9520	0.9856	0.9854	0.9681
DCL+DT	0.9895	0.9666	0.9460	0.9876	0.9874	0.9656
DCL+RF	0.9923	0.9656	0.9443	0.9877	0.9872	0.9645
SVM	0.9631	0.9027	0.9361	0.8762	0.8820	0.9051
DT	0.8960	0.8275	0.8980	0.7597	0.7874	0.8373
RF	0.9743	0.9357	0.9300	0.9424	0.9419	0.9349
Logist	0.8811	0.8057	0.8671	0.7454	0.7712	0.8159
CR+SVM	0.9865	0.9386	0.9600	0.9239	0.9246	0.9394
DNN+SVM	0.9212	0.8465	0.9162	0.7874	0.8170	0.8562
DCCA+SVM	0.6814	0.5851	0.5470	0.6456	0.7443	0.5063

TABLE S2: The comparison of classification performance (age classification using nback-emoid combination).

Classifier	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPF	PRC	F1
DCL+SVM	0.9961	0.9842	0.9698	1.0000	1.0000	0.9845
DCL+DT	0.9961	0.9823	0.9660	1.0000	1.0000	0.9825
DCL+RF	0.9973	0.9833	0.9680	1.0000	1.0000	0.9835
SVM	0.9738	0.9106	0.9306	0.9006	0.8962	0.9095
DT	0.9380	0.8717	0.9010	0.8428	0.8547	0.8768
RF	0.9869	0.9488	0.9214	0.9781	0.9768	0.9478
Logist	0.9627	0.9065	0.8948	0.9197	0.9121	0.9022
CR+SVM	0.9871	0.9560	0.9718	0.9464	0.9408	0.9545
DNN+SVM	0.9734	0.9181	0.9495	0.8938	0.8920	0.9174
DCCA+SVM	0.6924	0.5575	0.4235	0.7010	0.7810	0.4086

TABLE S3: The comparison of classification performance (WRAT classification using rest-nback combination).

Classifier	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPF	PRC	F1
DCL+SVM	0.9091	0.8413	0.8199	0.8681	0.8758	0.8444
DCL+DT	0.9105	0.8389	0.8123	0.8715	0.8774	0.8415
DCL+RF	0.9126	0.8467	0.8123	0.8878	0.8907	0.8480
SVM	0.7419	0.6775	0.7557	0.6054	0.6512	0.6974
DT	0.7713	0.7115	0.8172	0.5936	0.6942	0.7494
RF	0.9041	0.8305	0.8772	0.7808	0.8185	0.8443
Logist	0.6465	0.5964	0.7068	0.4904	0.5748	0.6323
CR+SVM	0.7735	0.7070	0.8071	0.6124	0.6696	0.7305
DNN+SVM	0.7072	0.6298	0.8133	0.4539	0.5927	0.6837
DCCA+SVM	0.6120	0.5639	0.7106	0.4068	0.6490	0.5890

TABLE S4: The comparison of classification performance (WRAT classification using rest-emoid combination).

Classifier	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPF	PRC	F1
DCL+SVM	0.9264	0.8574	0.8489	0.8687	0.8675	0.8562
DCL+DT	0.9172	0.8648	0.8178	0.9142	0.9066	0.8575
DCL+RF	0.9217	0.8520	0.8124	0.8974	0.8902	0.8453
SVM	0.7114	0.6529	0.7693	0.5466	0.6407	0.6921
DT	0.8035	0.7352	0.8661	0.6056	0.6901	0.7665
RF	0.8982	0.8247	0.9180	0.7341	0.7780	0.8394
Logist	0.7653	0.7097	0.8098	0.6099	0.6774	0.7360
CR+SVM	0.7729	0.6908	0.8116	0.5730	0.6645	0.7270
DNN+SVM	0.6942	0.6108	0.8470	0.3723	0.5853	0.6886
DCCA+SVM	0.6332	0.5342	0.7665	0.3244	0.5804	0.5774

TABLE S5: The comparison of classification performance (WRAT classification using nback-emoid combination).

Classifier	AUC	ACC	SEN	SPF	PRC	F1
DCL+SVM	0.9448	0.8761	0.8510	0.9025	0.9039	0.8749
DCL+DT	0.9455	0.8768	0.8408	0.9155	0.9141	0.8740
DCL+RF	0.9501	0.8831	0.8473	0.9213	0.9185	0.8804
SVM	0.8017	0.7080	0.7572	0.6754	0.6838	0.7110
DT	0.8289	0.7578	0.8220	0.6916	0.7345	0.7750
RF	0.9336	0.8610	0.8795	0.8426	0.8543	0.8644
Logist	0.7537	0.6854	0.7496	0.6325	0.6511	0.6920
CR+SVM	0.8349	0.7472	0.8408	0.6672	0.6978	0.7596
DNN+SVM	0.7749	0.6921	0.8535	0.5491	0.6336	0.7250
DCCA+SVM	0.6186	0.5345	0.4677	0.6076	0.6858	0.3950