

SUPPLEMENT 1: COREQ 32-ITEM CHECKLIST

Tong A, Sainsbury P, Craig J. (2007) Consolidated criteria for reporting qualitative research (COREQ): a 32-item checklist for interviews and focus groups. *International Journal for Quality in Healthcare*: 19:349 – 357

No. Item	Guide questions/description	Reported on Page #
Domain 1: Research team and reflexivity		
1. Interviewer/facilitator	Which author/s conducted the interview?	4
2. Credentials	What were the researcher's credentials?	4-5
3. Occupation	What was their occupation at the time of the study?	4
4. Gender	Was the researcher male or female?	4
5. Experience and training	What experience or training did the researcher have?	4-5
6. Relationship with participants established	Was a relationship established prior to study commencement?	4-5
7. Participant knowledge of the interviewer	What did the participants know about the researcher?	4-5
8. Interviewer characteristics	What characteristics were reported about the interviewer/facilitator?	4-5
Domain 2: study design		
9. Methodological orientation and Theory	What methodological orientation was stated to underpin the study?	5
10. Sampling	How were participants selected?	4
11. Method of approach	How were participants approached?	4
12. Sample size	How many participants were in the study?	4
13. Non-participation	How many people refused to participate or dropped out? Reasons?	5
14. Setting of data collection	Where was the data collected?	4-5
15. Presence of non-participants	Was anyone else present besides the participants and researchers?	4
16. Description of sample	What are the important characteristics of the sample?	5, Table 1, Table 2
17. Interview guide	Were questions, prompts, guides provided by the authors?	5, Appendix A
18. Repeat interviews	Were repeat interviews carried out?	5
19. Audio/visual recording	Did the research use audio or visual recording to collect the data?	5
20. Field notes	Were field notes made during and/or	5

	after the interview?	
21. Duration	What was the duration of the interviews	5
22. Data saturation	Was data saturation discussed?	5
23. Transcripts returned	Were transcripts returned to participants for comment and/or correction?	No
Domain 3: analysis and findings		
24. Number of data coders	How many data coders coded the data?	Pg.5
25. Description of the coding tree	Did authors provide a description of the coding tree?	Table 3
26. Derivation of themes	Were themes identified in advance or derived from the data?	5
27. Software	What software, if applicable, was used to manage the data?	5
28. Participant checking	Did participants provide feedback on the findings?	No
29. Quotations presented	Were participant quotations presented to illustrate the themes/findings? Was each quotation identified?	6-10, Table 3
30. Data and findings consistent	Was there consistency between the data presented and the findings?	6-10, Table 3
31. Clarity of major themes	Were major themes clearly presented in the findings?	6-10, Table 3
32. Clarity of minor themes	Is there a description of diverse cases or discussion of minor themes?	6-10, Table 3