Supporting Information for

Identification of multiple substrate binding sites in SLC4 transporters in the outward-facing conformation: insights into the transport mechanism

Hristina R. Zhekova^a, Alexander Pushkin^b, Gülru Kayık^a, Liyo Kao^b, Rustam Azimov^b, Natalia Abuladze^b, Debra Kurtz^b, Mirna Damergi^a, Sergei Noskov^{a,*} and Ira Kurtz^{b,c,*}

^aCentre for Molecular Simulation, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University Dr. NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada; ^bDepartment of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA; ^cBrain Research Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

Corresponding authors:

Ira Kurtz, Professor, Department of Medicine, Division of Nephrology, David Geffen School of Medicine, University of California, Los Angeles, California and Brain Research Institute, University of California, Los Angeles, California, CA 90095 e-mail: ikurtz@mednet.ucla.edu

or

Sergei Noskov, Professor, Centre for Molecular Simulation, Department of Biological Sciences, University of Calgary, 2500 University Dr. NW, Calgary, Alberta T2N 1N4, Canada e-mail: <u>snoskov@ucalgary.ca</u>

A hAE1 + 75 mM NaCl + 75 mM NaHCO₃



Fig. S1 Occupation by HCO_3^- and CI^- ions of the cavity (grey bars) and central areas (blue bars) of hAE1 (defined in Fig. S2) during the 1.2 µs MD simulations in apo-hAE1 in A) equimolar solution of 75 mM NaCl + 75 mM NaHCO₃ and B) apo-hAE1 in 150 mM NaCl solution. The span of the colored areas reflects the amount of time during which HCO_3^- or CI^- ions are present in the permeation cavity or protein center. The overlap of bars (areas in deeper grey and blue color) indicates the simultaneous presence of more than one anion in the cavity and/or protein center (in such cases, often one anion is coordinated in the protein center area, while the other is in the upper portion of the cavity, see Fig. S2). The number of unique anion entry events (N) and the average residence times (t_{ave}) in the permeation cavity and protein center are included in the figure.



Fig. S2 Definition of cavity and central areas of hAE1 and hNBCe1 used in the manuscript. The protein structures with ion densities outlining the location of the putative ion binding sites are taken from Fig. S6. The permeation cavity is defined as the area of the protein below the C_{α} atom of residue K542 in hAE1 or residue K562 in hNBCe1. The central region is a smaller part of the overall permeation cavity found below the C_{α} atom of residue E535 in hAE1 or residue D555 in hNBCe1. The central binding site S1 is at the bottom of the central region, while the entry binding site S2 falls in the general area of the cavity (in hNBCe1) or at the border of the central region (in hAE1). The flanking charged residues (red color for acidic and blue color for basic) which are part of sites S1 and S2 are shown as well.



Fig. S3 Number of Na⁺, Cl⁻, and HCO₃⁻ ions, which can be found in the cavity and center areas of hAE1 evaluated from 1.2 μ s MD simulations of hAE1 in equimolar 75 mM NaHCO₃ + 75 mM NaCl solution and hAE1 in 150 mM NaCl solution. The results are presented as % of MD trajectory steps, in which one can find N ions (N varies from 0 to 3) of a single type in the permeation cavity of hAE1. The cavity and center definitions are outlined in Fig. S2.





Fig. S4 Dynamics of the Cl⁻ ions within the cavity (grey bars) and central areas (blue bars) of hAE1 and hNBCe1 (defined in Fig. S2) in the systems of Table 1, marked with the * symbol, where the initially bound Cl⁻ dissociated from site S1 and was replaced by another Cl⁻ from the surrounding solution. The span of the colored areas reflects the amount of time during which Cl⁻ ions are present in the permeation cavity or protein center. The overlap of bars (areas in deeper grey and blue color) indicates the simultaneous presence of more than one Cl⁻ in the cavity and/or protein center. This overlap is especially pronounced in the hAE1 system protonated at E681. The ion permeation of the hNBCe1 + Cl⁻ system was obstructed after 75 ns due to repositioning of a large extracellular loop above the cavity.



Fig. S5 Poisson-Boltzmann maps (kcal/mol) of A) hNBCe1 and B) hAE1 viewed from above, perpendicular to the membrane. The ion binding area in the full protein frame is marked with a white box. Colors: red - negatively charged regions attractive to positively charged ions; blue – positively charged areas attractive to negatively charged ions. The initial positions of the bound $Na^++CO_3^{2-}$ (in hNBCe1) and HCO_3^{-} (in hAE1) in sphere representation are shown (below) in site S1, oriented according to the protein charges, arising from nearby arginine, aspartate, and glutamate residues (shown as sticks).



Fig. S6 Location of two putative anion binding sites S1 (central binding site) and S2 (entry binding site) identified from 250 ns MD simulations of hAE1 loaded with HCO_3^- , hAE1 loaded with Cl⁻, and hNBCe1, loaded with Na⁺ and CO_3^{2-} in site S1. The anion density maps in hAE1 and hNBCe1 are colored in cyan while the Na⁺ density map in hNBCe1 is colored in yellow. The CO_3^{2-} density in site S2 of hNBCe1 is plotted from the MD simulation of hNBCe1+ CO_3^{2-} where the CO_3^{2-} ion migrated toward site S2 due to the absence of a stabilizing Na⁺ at the beginning of the MD simulation.



Fig. S7 Contact frequencies of the HCO_3^- , Cl^- , CO_3^{2-} , and Na^+ calculated from two independent 250 ns MD simulations of the unprotonated wild type hAE1 bound to HCO_3^- or Cl^- and a single 250 ns MD simulation of the unprotonated wild type hNBCe1 bound to one Na^+ and one CO_3^{2-} (Table 1).



Fig. S8 Membrane expression of the studied hAE1 mutants. A) Representative experiment showing immunoblot analysis of cell lysate and cell surface expression of wild-type and mutant hAE1 proteins. The positions of molecular weight markers (kDa) are shown on the left. Blot splicing is indicated with vertical white lines. B) Densitometry analysis of the ratio of cell-surface to lysate hAE1 protein expression. One-way ANOVA and Dunnett's test were used to compare multiple study group means with WT hAE1. Mutant hAE1 data was not statistically different from WT hAE1. Results are depicted as mean \pm SEM (n=3 separate experiments).



Fig. S9 Membrane expression of the studied hNBCe1 mutants. A) Representative experiment showing immunoblot analysis of cell lysate and cell surface expression of wild-type and mutant hNBCe1-A proteins. The positions of molecular weight markers (kDa) are shown on the left. Blot splicing is indicated with a vertical white line. B) Densitometry analysis of the ratio of cell-surface to lysate hNBCe1-A protein expression. One-way ANOVA and Dunnett's test were used to compare multiple study group means with WT hNBCe1-A. Mutant hNBCe1-A data was not statistically different from WT hNBCe1-A. Results are depicted as mean \pm SEM (n=3 separate experiments).

401	411	421	431	441
FSPOVLAAVI	FIYFAALSPA	ITFGGLLGEK		LISTAVQGIL
451	461	471	481	491
FALLGAQPLL	VVGFSGPLLV	FEEAFFSFCE	TNGLEYIVGR	VWIGFWLILL
501	511	521	531	541
VVLVVAFEGS	FLVRF ISRYT	QEIF <mark>SF</mark> LIS <mark>L</mark>	IFI <mark>Y</mark> ETF <mark>S</mark> KL	IKIFQDHPLQ
551	561	571	581	591
KT <mark>Y</mark> NYNVLMV	PKPQGPLPNT	AL <mark>L</mark> SLVLM <mark>A</mark> G	TFFFAMMLRK	FKNS <mark>SYF</mark> PG <mark>K</mark>
601	611	621	631	641
LRR <mark>VI</mark> GDFGV	PISILIMVLV	DFFIQDTYTQ	KL <mark>S</mark> VPDGFKV	SNSSARGWVI
651	661	671	681	691
HPLGLRSEFP		A <mark>L</mark> LVFILIFL	E S QITTLIVS	KPER <mark>KMV</mark> KGS
701	711	721	731	741
GFH <mark>L</mark> DLLLVV		MPWLSATTVR	SVTHANALTV	MGKASTPGAA
751	761	771	781	791
AQIQEVKEQR	ISGLLVAVLV	GLSILMEPIL	SRIPLAVLFG	IFLYMG <mark>V</mark> TSL
801	811	821	831	841
SGIQLFDRIL			WRMHLFTGIQ	IICLAVLWVV
851	861	871	881	891
K <mark>STPA</mark> SLALP	FVLILTVPLR	RVLLPLIFRN		AKATFDEEEG
901	911			
RDEYDEVAMP	V			
	1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9	
Va	riable	Average	Conserver	
Va		Average	Conserved	•

Fig. S10 ConSurf-DB scores of hAE1. The residues of sites S1 and S2 are underlined in orange. (https://consurfdb.tau.ac.il/main_output.php?pdb_ID=4YZF&view_chain=A&unique_chain=4Y ZFA)

401	411	421	431	441
GLIKDIKRKA	PFFASDFYDA	LNIQALSAIL	FIYLATVTNA	ITFGGLLGDA
451	461	471	481	491
TDNMQGVLES	FLGTAVSGAI	FCLFAGQPLT	I <mark>L</mark> SSTGP <mark>V</mark> LV	EERLLENESK
501	511	521	531	541
DNNFDYLEFR	LWIGLWSAFL	CLILVATDAS	FLVQYFTRFT	EEGFSSLISF
551	561	571	581	591
IFIYDAFKKM	IKLADYYPIN	SN <mark>F</mark> KVGYN <mark>T</mark> L	FS <mark>CTC</mark> VPPDP	ANISIS <mark>N</mark> D T T
601	611	621	631	641
LAPEYLPTMS	STDMYHNTTF	DWAFLSKKEC	SKYG <mark>G</mark> NLVGN	NCNFVPDITL
651	661	671	681	691
MSFILFLGTY	SSMALKKFK	TSPYFPTTAR	KLISDFAIIL	SILIFCVIDA
701	711	721	731	741
LVGVDTPKLI	VPSEFKPTSP	NRGWFVPPFG	ENPWWVCLAA	AT PALLVTIL
751	761	771	781	791
IFMDQQITAV	IVNRKEHKLK	K <mark>ga</mark> gyhld <mark>l</mark> f	WVAILMVICS	LMALPWYVAA
801	811	821	831	841
TVIS <mark>IA</mark> HIDS	LKMETETSAP	GEQPKFLGVR	EQRVTGTLVF	ILTGLSVFMA
851	861	871	881	891
PILKFIPMPV	LYGVFLYMGV	ASLNGVQFMD	RLKLLLMPLK	HQPDFIYLRH
901	911	921	931	941
VPLRRVHLFT	FLQVLCLALL	WILKSTVAAI	IFPVMILALV	AVRKGMDYLF
951	961	971	981	991
SQHDLSFLDD	VIPEKDKKKK	EDEKKKKKKK	GSLDSDNDDS	DCPYSEKVPS
1001	1011	1021	1031	
IKIPMDIMEQ	Q <mark>P</mark> FLSD <mark>SK</mark> PS	DRERSPTFLE	RHTSC	
1	2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9	
Varia	able	Average	Conserv	ed

Fig. S11 ConSurf-DB scores of hNBCe1. The residues of sites S1 and S2 are underlined in orange.

(https://consurfdb.tau.ac.il/main_output.php?pdb_ID=6CAA&view_chain=A&unique_chain=6C AAA)



Fig. S12 Occupation by HCO_3^- and CI^- ions of the cavity (grey bars) and central areas (blue bars) of hAE1 (defined in Fig. S2) during the 1.2 µs MD simulations in apo-hAE1, protonated at E681, in A) equimolar solution of 75 mM NaCl + 75 mM NaHCO₃ and B) apo-hAE1 in 150 mM NaCl solution. The span of the colored areas reflects the amount of time during which HCO_3^- or CI^- ions are present in the permeation cavity or protein center. The overlap of bars (areas in deeper grey and blue color) indicates the simultaneous presence of more than one anion in the cavity and/or protein center. The number of unique anion entry events (N) and the average residence times (t_{ave}) in the permeation cavity and protein center are included in the figure.



Fig. S13 Number of Na⁺, Cl⁻, and HCO₃⁻ ions, which can be found in the cavity and center areas of hAE1 evaluated from 1.2 μ s MD simulations of hAE1, protonated at E681, in equimolar 75 mM NaHCO₃ + 75 mM NaCl solution and hAE1 in 150 mM NaCl solution. The results are presented as % of MD trajectory steps, in which one can find N ions (N varies from 0 to 3) of a single type in the permeation cavity of hAE1. The cavity and center definitions are outlined in Fig. S2.



apo-hAE1 + protE681 + 150mM NaCl

apo-hAE1 + protE681 + 75mM NaCl + 75mM NaHCO₃

Fig. S14 Anion density maps (isovalue 0.1), computed from 1.2 μ s MD trajectories of apohAE1, protonated at E681, in 150 mM NaCl solution (Cl⁻ density map) or in equimolar 75 mM NaCl + 75 mM NaHCO₃ mixture (HCO₃⁻ density map). The maps demonstrate enhanced anion presence in both putative binding sites (S1 and S2) in the OF permeation cavity of hAE1 due to protonation of E681.



Fig. S15 Ion dynamics in hNBCe1. A) Coordination of CO_3^{2-} by the Lys residues in site S2 which occurs in the majority of MD steps from our 250 ns MD simulation of apo-hNBCe1 in 150 mM Na₂CO₃ solution. In the selected snapshot, a Na⁺ ion has been drawn by CO_3^{2-} from the surrounding solution to the protein cavity. B) Number of Na⁺ and CO_3^{2-} ions which can be found in the cavity and center areas of hNBCe1 evaluated from 250 ns MD simulations of apo-hAE1 in 150 mM Na₂CO₃ solution. C) Number of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions which can be found in the cavity and center areas of hNBCe1 evaluated from 250 ns MD simulations of apo-hAE1 in 150 mM Na₂CO₃ solution. C) Number of Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions which can be found in the cavity and center areas of hNBCe1 evaluated from 250 ns MD simulations of hNBCe1+Na⁺+Cl⁻ in 150 mM NaCl solution (Table 1, the first 25 ns of the trajectory during which the initial Na⁺ and Cl⁻ ions were still in the permeation cavity were discarded for the purpose of this analysis). The results are presented as % of MD trajectory steps in which one can find N ions (N varies from 0 to 3) of a single type in the permeation cavity of hNBCe1. The cavity and center definitions are outlined in Fig. S2.

AE1	+ Cl driven flux	SEM	p value	n
Mutation	$(\mathrm{mM}\cdot\mathrm{sec}^{-1})$		r · ·····	
Mock	-0.055	0.015	p < 0.001	4
WT AE1	-0.583	0.024	_	6
P419C	-0.226	0.015	p < 0.001	18
F423C	-0.170	0.023	p < 0.001	7
F464C	-0.554	0.040	NS	4
S465C	-0.238	0.066	p < 0.001	6
G466C	-0.282	0.040	p < 0.001	8
I528C	-0.358	0.031	p < 0.001	4
I531C	-0.339	0.033	p < 0.001	4
F532C	-0.429	0.019	p < 0.005	12
E535C	-0.223	0.017	p < 0.001	13
K539C	-0.314	0.026	p < 0.001	7
K542C	-0.426	0.045	p < 0.005	7
E681C	-0.347	0.017	p < 0.001	5
T727C	-0.432	0.042	p < 0.001	13
T728C	-0.281	0.021	p < 0.001	14
V729C	-0.264	0.018	p < 0.001	9
R730C	-0.192	0.035	p < 0.001	4
S731C	-0.263	0.022	p < 0.001	5
K851C	-0.325	0.032	p < 0.001	8

Table S1 Cl⁻-driven base flux results in the studied hAE1 constructs.

NBCe1-A	+Na driven flux			
Mutation	$(mM \cdot sec^{-1})$	SEM	p value	n
Mock	0.107	0.011	p < 0.001	8
WT NBCe1-A	0.547	0.020	_	8
S483C	0.178	0.016	p < 0.001	7
T485S	0.245	0.019	p < 0.001	4
G486R	0.137	0.008	p < 0.001	3
P487C	0.321	0.019	p < 0.001	4
F544C	0.182	0.022	p < 0.001	4
I548C	0.356	0.020	p < 0.001	4
D555C	0.321	0.024	p < 0.001	6
K558C	0.369	0.032	p < 0.001	3
K559C	0.506	0.026	NS	4
K562C	0.503	0.038	NS	6
D754C	0.305	0.022	p < 0.001	4
I757C	0.283	0.013	p < 0.001	3
T758C	0.265	0.031	p < 0.001	4
V798C	0.601	0.055	NS	3
A799V	0.309	0.029	p < 0.001	4
A800C	0.513	0.029	NS	7
T801C	0.509	0.020	NS	7
V802C	0.512	0.012	NS	3
K924C	0.213	0.009	p < 0.001	3

Table S2 Na⁺-driven base flux results in the studied hNBCe1 constructs.