Supplementary Information

Antibody-drug conjugates with dual payloads for combating breast tumor heterogeneity and drug resistance

Yamazaki et al.

### **Supplementary Figures**



Supplementary Fig. 1 Cathepsin B cleavage and HER2 binding assays. a, Human cathepsin Bmediated cleavage of ADCs at 37 °C. The degree of loss of payload in each ADC was determined by HPLC. All assays were performed more than twice in technical duplicate (n = 2). b, c, Saturationbinding curves obtained by cell-based ELISA. All assays were performed in triplicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.e.m (n = 3). The unmodified N297A anti-HER2 mAb and ADCs bound to KPL-4 cells (HER2 positive, b) with comparable binding affinities but not to MDA-MB-231 cells (HER2 negative, c). Source data are available as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 2 In vitro cytotoxicity of ADCs. a-c, Cytotoxicity of unconjugated N297A anti-HER2 mAb (black), MMAF DAR4 single-drug ADC (magenta square), MMAE DAR4 single-drug ADC (green triangle), MMAE/F 2+2 dual-drug ADC (dark purple inverted triangle), MMAE/F 2+4 dual-drug ADC (light purple diamond), and MMAE/F 4+2 dual-drug ADC (cyan square) in (a) SKBR-3, (b) HEK293, and (c) HepG2 cells. Concentrations (nM) are based on the antibody dose without normalizing to each DAR. d, Cell killing potency of unconjugated MMAE (green square) and MMAF (purple triangle) in MDA-MB-231 cells. All assays were performed in quadruplicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.e.m (n = 4). Source data are available as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 3 Gating strategy and HER2 expression in HCC1954 and HCC1954-TDR cells. a, Gating strategy. DAPI was added into all samples for live cell selection. First, unstained HCC1954 cells were used to set up the scatter, single cell selection, live cell selections, and anti-HER2-FITC positive and negative areas. Next, IgG-FITC treated cells were used to confirm the specificity of anti-HER2-FITC. After confirming no non-specific binding of IgG-FITC, anti-HER2-FITC treated cells were applied to set up HER2-high, HER2-low, and HER2-negative areas. Total 10,000 cells were counted from each sample. The same strategy was used flow cytometry presented in **b** and **c** in this figure. **b**, Representative histogram of HCC1954 (green and orange) and HCC1954-TDR cells (blue and red) labeled with anti-HER2-FITC (orange and red) or isotype control (green and blue). **c**, Quantification of HER2-high and HER2-low cells. HCC-1954-TDR (red) shows a significantly reduced HER2 expression level, whereas a HER2-high population exists in the parent HCC-1954 cells (black). All experiments were performed in triplicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.d (n = 3). Two-tailed Student's *t*-test was used for statistical analysis. Data were analyzed using Kaluza (v2.1, Beckman Coulter) and FlowJo analysis software (v10.6.1, FlowJo, LLC). Source data are available as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig. 4 Cell killing potency in HCC1954 and HCC1954-TDR cells. Clonogenicity assay was performed for MMAF DAR4 single-drug ADC (a), MMAE DAR4 single-drug ADC (b), MMAE/F 4+2 dual-drug ADC (c), MMAE DAR6 single-drug ADC (d), MMAE/F 2+2 dual-drug ADC (e), MMAE/F 2+4 dual-drug ADC (f), and unconjugated N297A anti-HER2 mAb (g) in HCC1954 (black) and HCC1954-TDR cells (green). Concentrations are based on the antibody dose without normalizing to each DAR. All assays were performed in quadruplicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.d (n = 4). Source data are available as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig 5 Cytokine release assay using primed THP-1 cells. a,b, Levels of IL-6 (a) and TNF- $\alpha$  (b) released to cell culture supernatants were measured by ELISA after 24 h of incubation with N297A Trastuzumab, 1:1 mixture of MMAF DAR 4 and MMAE DAR 4 single-drug ADCs (F+E), MMAE/F 4+2 dual-drug ADC (E/F 4+2), and MMAE DAR 6 ADC at 12.5–50 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>. LPS (10 µg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was used as a positive control. All assays were performed in quadruplicate. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.d (n = 4). Source data are available as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig 6 Antitumor activity in the JIMT-1/MDA-MB-231 (4:1) admixed xenograft tumor model and body weight change. a,b, Tumor volume change after treatment with each ADC at 3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (a) and 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (b). Each dot represents an individual mouse. Figures generated using the same dataset are shown in the main article. Six–eight weeks old female NU/J nude mice with xenografted tumors were injected intravenously with a single dose of MMAF DAR 4 ADC (magenta square), MMAE DAR 4 ADC (green triangle), MMAE DAR 6 ADC (black blank square), a mixture of MMAF DAR 4 and MMAE DAR 4 ADCs (magenta blank triangle, 3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> each or 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> each), MMAE/F 2+4 ADC (light purple circle), MMAE/F 4+2 ADC (cyan square) or vehicle control (black circle) at day 8 post transplantation (indicated with a black arrow). c,d, Body weight change after treatment with each ADC at 3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (c) and 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> (d). No significant body weight loss caused by either ADC was observed over the course of study. All studies (a–d) were performed with the following groups sizes: n = 4 for vehicle and MMAE DAR 4 ADC at 3 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; n = 6 for MMAE DAR 4 ADC at 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>; n = 5 for all other groups. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.e.m. Source data are available as a Source Data file.



Supplementary Fig 7 Antitumor activity in the low-HER2 heterogeneous HCC1954-TDR

**xenograft tumor model. a**, Heterogeneous HER2 expression on tumors consisting of HCC1954-TDR cells. Immunohistochemistry for HER2 was performed on frozen sections. Scale bar: 200 µm. This experiment was repeated more than twice independently with similar results. **b-d**, Tumor volume change after receiving each ADC or vehicle control. Each dot and curve represent an individual mouse. Figures generated using the same dataset are shown in the main article. Once tumors reached an average volume of 125 mm<sup>3</sup> (indicated as day 0), mice were administered with a single dose of vehicle control (**b**, black circle, n = 11), a 1:1 mixture of MMAF DAR 4 and MMAE DAR 4 ADCs (**c**, magenta open triangle, 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> each, n = 12), or MMAE/F 4+2 ADC (**d**, cyan square, 1 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>, n = 12). **e**, Body weight change after treatment with each ADC (n = 11 for vehicle control; n = 12 for the ADC groups). No significant body weight loss caused by either ADC was observed over the course of study. Data are presented as mean values +/- s.e.m. Source data are available as a Source Data file.

# **Supplementary Tables**

Supplementary Table 1 K<sub>D</sub> values in breast cancer cell lines (n = 3). Values in parentheses are 95% confidential intervals.

| -             |                     |            |  |  |  |
|---------------|---------------------|------------|--|--|--|
|               |                     |            |  |  |  |
|               | KPL-4               | MDA-MB-231 |  |  |  |
| Anti-HER2 mAb | 0.081 (0.069-0.097) | -          |  |  |  |
| MMAF DAR 4    | 0.081 (0.067-0.098) | -          |  |  |  |
| MMAE DAR 4    | 0.131 (0.115-0.150) | -          |  |  |  |
| MMAE DAR 6    | 0.091 (0.078-0.106) | -          |  |  |  |
| MMAE/F 2+2    | 0.129 (0.115-0.145) | -          |  |  |  |
| MMAE/F 2+4    | 0.123 (0.110-0.138) | -          |  |  |  |
| MMAE/F 4+2    | 0.149 (0.130-0.170) | -          |  |  |  |

Supplementary Table 2 EC<sub>50</sub> values in various cell lines (n = 3 for MMAF DAR 4 and MMAE DAR 6 ADCs in KPL-4, and MMAE DAR 2 and MMAE DAR 2 ADCs in JIMT-1; n = 4 for other groups). Values in parentheses are 95% confidential intervals.

|            | EC <sub>50</sub> (nM)  |                        |                        |                     |            |            |            |
|------------|------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|
|            | KPL-4                  | JIMT-1                 | JIMT-1(MDR1+)          | SKBR-3              | MDA-MB-231 | HepG2      | HEK293     |
| MMAF DAR 2 | Not tested             | 0.213<br>(0.189-0.238) | Not tested             | Not tested          | Not tested | Not tested | Not tested |
| MMAE DAR 2 | Not tested             | 1.023<br>(0.551-2.22)  | Not tested             | Not tested          | Not tested | Not tested | Not tested |
| MMAF DAR 4 | 0.026<br>(0.023-0.028) | 0.036<br>(0.032-0.041) | 0.012<br>(0.011-0.014) | 0.18<br>(0.13-0.29) | -          | -          | -          |
| MMAE DAR 4 | 0.070<br>(0.062-0.078) | 0.064<br>(0.054-0.077) | -                      | 0.54<br>(0.38-0.83) | -          | -          | -          |
| MMAE DAR 6 | 0.070<br>(0.057-0.094) | 0.060<br>(0.053-0.068) | -                      | Not tested          | Not tested | Not tested | Not tested |
| MMAE/F 2+2 | 0.029<br>(0.025-0.033) | 0.045<br>(0.040-0.050) | Not tested             | 0.34<br>(0.24-0.49) | -          | -          | -          |
| MMAE/F 2+4 | 0.018<br>(0.016-0.020) | 0.026<br>(0.023-0.030) | 0.017<br>(0.015-0.019) | 0.23<br>(0.17-0.31) | -          | -          | -          |
| MMAE/F 4+2 | 0.017<br>(0.015-0.019) | 0.024<br>(0.022-0.026) | 0.027<br>(0.022-0.032) | 0.18<br>(0.14-0.24) | -          | -          | -          |

Supplementary Table 3 Half-lives and AUCs of N297A anti-HER2 mAb and ADCs at the elimination phase in mouse circulation (n = 3 for MMAE DAR 4 ADC; n = 4 for other groups). AUC, area under the curve.

|                     | t <sub>1/2β total mAb</sub> | AUC <sub>total mAb, 0-<math>\infty</math></sub> | t <sub>1/2β ADC</sub><br>(day) | AUC <sub>ADC, 0-∞</sub><br>(b x µg ml <sup>−1</sup> ) |
|---------------------|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---|
| N297A anti-HER2 mAb | 17.4                        | 4075  | N/A                            | N/A   |
| MMAF DAR 4 ADC      | 13.3                        | 2515  | 14.7                           | 3201  |
| MMAE DAR 4 ADC      | 14.6                        | 4241  | 15.1                           | 3392  |
| MMAE DAR 6 ADC      | 14.2                        | 6597  | 15.7                           | 5397  |
| MMAE/F 2+2 ADC      | 17.6                        | 5360  | 12.9                           | 2913  |
| MMAE/F 2+4 ADC      | 14.9                        | 1985  | 12.2                           | 2116  |
| MMAE/F 4+2 ADC      | 14.3                        | 6444  | 13.1                           | 4227  |

| Supr | olementary | Table 4 | Statistical | significance. |
|------|------------|---------|-------------|---------------|
|      |            |         |             |               |

| Main<br>Figures | Method                               | Comparison                             | Crude<br>P value | Adjusted<br>P value <sup>a</sup> | Significance |
|-----------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| Fig. 5b         |                                      | Dose: 3 mg kg⁻¹ each                   |                  |                                  |              |
|                 | Two-tailed<br>Welch's <i>t</i> -test | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. MMAE/F 2+4 (Day 38)     | 0.0132           | 0.0396                           | *            |
|                 |                                      | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. co-admin (Day 70)       | 0.3590           | 0.7180                           | n.s.         |
|                 |                                      | MMAE/F 4+2 <i>vs</i> . MMAE 4 (Day 87) | 0.3739           | 0.3739                           | n.s.         |
|                 |                                      | Dose: 3 mg kg⁻¹ each                   |                  |                                  |              |
| Fig. Fo         | Lograph (Mantal Cax)                 | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. MMAE/F 2+4              | 0.0018           | 0.0054                           | **           |
| Fig. 50         | Logrank (Mantel-Cox)                 | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. co-admin                | 0.0494           | 0.0988                           | n.s.         |
|                 |                                      | MMAE/F 4+2 <i>vs</i> . MMAE 4          | 0.1343           | 0.1343                           | n.s.         |
| Fig. 5d         |                                      | Dose: 1 mg kg⁻¹ each                   |                  |                                  |              |
|                 | Two-tailed                           | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. co-admin (Day 38)       | 0.0002           | 0.0006                           | ***          |
|                 | Welch's <i>t</i> -test               | MMAE/F 4+2 <i>vs</i> . MMAE 4 (Day 38) | 0.0134           | 0.0268                           | *            |
|                 |                                      | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. MMAE 6 (Day 66)         | 0.0253           | 0.0253                           | *            |
|                 |                                      | Dose: 1 mg kg⁻¹ each                   |                  |                                  |              |
| Fig 50          | Logrank (Mantel-Cox)                 | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. co-admin                | 0.0021           | 0.0063                           | **           |
| Fig. 5e         |                                      | MMAE/F 4+2 <i>vs</i> . MMAE 4          | 0.0024           | 0.0048                           | ***          |
|                 |                                      | MMAE/F 4+2 <i>vs</i> . MMAE 6          | 0.0133           | 0.0133                           | *            |
| Fig. 6a         | Two-tailed                           | Tumor growth                           |                  |                                  |              |
| FIQ. 0a         | Welch's t-test                       | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. co-admin (Day 24)       | 0.0106           | N/A                              | *            |
| Fig. 6c         | Two-tailed                           | Tumor weight                           |                  |                                  |              |
|                 | Welch's <i>t</i> -test               | MMAE/F 4+2 vs. co-admin (Day 24)       | 0.0035           | N/A                              | ***          |
| Fig. 7c         | Two-tailed                           | Whole tumor (Cy5)                      |                  |                                  |              |
|                 | Welch's <i>t</i> -test               | mAb-AF488/Cy5 vs. co-admin             | 0.0292           | N/A                              | *            |
| Fig. 7e         | Two-tailed                           | Tumor section (AF488)                  |                  |                                  |              |
|                 | Welch's <i>t</i> -test               | mAb-AF488/Cy5 <i>vs.</i> co-admin      | 0.0057           | N/A                              | **           |
| Fig. 7f         | Two-tailed                           | Tumor section (Cy5)                    |                  |                                  |              |
|                 | Welch's t-test                       | mAb-AF488/Cy5 vs. co-admin             | 0.0004           | N/A                              | ***          |

weich s t-testmAb-AF488/Cy5 vs. co-admin0.0004N/Aa Adjusted by the Holm–Bonferroni method. \*P < 0.05; \*\*P < 0.01; \*\*\*P < 0.005; n.s., not significant.

## Supplementary Notes General information

Unless otherwise noted, all materials for chemical synthesis were purchased from commercial suppliers (for example, Acros Organics, AnaSpec, Broadpharm, Chem-Impex International, Thermo Fisher Scientific, Levena Biopharma, Millipore Sigma, and TCI America) and used as received. All anhydrous solvents were purchased and stored over activated molecular sieves under argon atmosphere.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker DPX spectrometer (<sup>1</sup>H: 300 MHz, <sup>13</sup>C: 75 MHz) using chloroform-*d* (CDCl<sub>3</sub>), methanol-*d*<sub>4</sub> (CD<sub>3</sub>OD), or dimethyl sulfoxide-*d*<sub>6</sub> (DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) as deuterated solvent. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) in <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to CDCl<sub>3</sub> (<sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$  = 7.26 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$  = 77.16 ppm), CD<sub>3</sub>OD (<sup>1</sup>H:  $\delta$  = 3.33 ppm, <sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$  = 49.0 ppm) or DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> (<sup>13</sup>C:  $\delta$  = 39.52 ppm). Coupling constants (*J*) in all NMR spectra are reported in Hertz (Hz).

Analytical reverse-phase high performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) was performed using an Agilent LC-MS system consisting of a 1100 HPLC and a 1946D single quadrupole electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometer equipped with a C18 reverse-phase column (small molecules: Accucore C18 column, 3×50 mm, 2.6 µm, Thermo Scientific; antibodies: MabPac RP column, 3×50 mm, 4 µm, Thermo Scientific). Standard analysis conditions for organic molecules were as follows: flow rate = 0.5 mL/min; solvent A = water containing 0.1% formic acid; solvent B = acetonitrile containing 0.1% formic acid. Compounds were analyzed using a linear gradient and monitored with UV detection at 210 and 254 nm. Preparative HPLC was performed using a Breeze HPLC system (Waters) equipped with a C18 reverse-phase column (SunFire Prep C18 OBD, 19×150 mm, 5.0 µm; Waters). Standard purification conditions were as follows: flow rate = 20 mL/min; solvent A = water containing 0.05% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA), 0.1% formic acid or 0.1% NH<sub>4</sub>OH; solvent B = acetonitrile containing 0.05% TFA, 0.1% formic acid, or 0.1% NH<sub>4</sub>OH. Compounds were analyzed using a linear gradient and monitored with UV detection at 210 and 254 nm. In all cases, fractions were analyzed off-line using the LC-MS for purity confirmation and those containing a desired product were lyophilized using a Labconco Freezone 4.5 Liter Benchtop Freeze Dry System. High-resolution mass spectra were obtained using an Agilent 6530 Accurate Mass Q-TOF LC/MS at the UT Austin Mass Spectrometry Core Facility.

#### **Synthesis**



Synthesis of azido-methyltetrazine di-arm linker **S3**. Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-azidopropylamine, EDC·HCl, NHS, DMF, room temp, overnight; (b) 30% piperidine/DMF, room temp, 1 h; then methyltetrazine acid, EDC·HCl, NHS, DMF, room temp, 2 h; (c) 20% TFA/DCM, room temp, 1 h.

**Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-N<sub>3</sub> (S1).** Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH (234.3 mg, 0.50 mmol) in DMF (3 mL) was mixed with *N*-hydroxysuccinimide (NHS, 115.1 mg, 1.0 mmol) and *N*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-*N*'- ethylcarbodiimide hydrochloride (EDC·HCl, 191.7 mg, 1.0 mmol) at room temperature. To the solution was added 3-azidopropylamine (65  $\mu$ L, 0.65 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with 15% citric acid and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography using a Biotage Isolera Flash Purification System (0–20% of DCM/MeOH, 20 mL/min, SNAP cartridge KP-Sil 10 g) to afford **S1** (431.9 mg, quant.). White solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.77 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (td, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 6.39 (brs, 1H), 4.63 (brs, 1H), 4.41 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 4.21 (t, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (brs, 1H), 3.34 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 4H), 3.14–3.08 (m, 1H), 2.06–1.69 (m, 6H), 1.56–1.47 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.40–1.31 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.1, 156.50, 156.48, 143.89, 143.86, 141.5 (2 carbons), 127.9 (2 carbons), 127.2 (2 carbons), 125.2 (2 carbons), 120.2 (2 carbons), 79.5, 67.2, 55.1, 49.4, 47.3, 39.8, 37.3, 32.0, 29.7, 28.8, 28.6 (3 carbons), 22.6. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>38</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 573.2796. Found: 573.2801.

**Methyltetrazine-Lys(Boc)-N<sub>3</sub> (S2).** Compound S1 (69.0 mg, 0.13 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (350  $\mu$ L) and then piperidine (150  $\mu$ L) was added to the solution at room temperature. After 1 h, the mixture

was concentrated in vacuo and the crude products were precipitated with cold diethyl ether (5–6 mL) followed by centrifugation at 2,000 × *g* for 3 min (3 times). The pellet was dried in vacuo and used immediately in the next step without purification. To the residue were added methyltetrazine acid (34.5 mg, 0.15 mmol), NHS (26.5 mg, 0.25 mmol), and EDC·HCl (47.8 mg, 0.25 mmol) in DMF (1 mL). After being stirred at room temperature for 2 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 15% citric acid and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. The residue was purified by preparative RP-HPLC under acidic conditions to afford **S2** (10.0 mg, 14% for the 2 steps). Red powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.53 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 4.68 (t, *J* = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 4.43–4.36 (m, 1H), 3.65 (s, 2H), 3.34–3.22 (m, 4H), 3.09 (s, 3H), 3.07–3.01 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.58 (m, 6H), 1.53–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.37–1.25 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  174.4, 173.2, 168.7, 165.2, 158.5, 141.8, 132.2, 131.2 (2 carbons), 129.0 (2 carbons), 79.9, 55.1, 50.0, 43.4, 41.1, 37.7, 32.8, 30.5, 29.6, 28.8 (3 carbons), 24.2, 21.0. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 563.2813. Found: 563.2822.

Azido-methyltetrazine di-arm linker (S3). Compound S2 (10.0 mg, 18 μmol) was dissolved in DCM (240 μL) and TFA (60 μL) was added to the solution at room temperature. After 1 h, the mixture was concentrated and purified by preparative RP-HPLC under acidic conditions to afford di-arm linker S3 (3.3 mg, 42%). Red powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 8.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.42 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 8.08 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.40–4.26 (m, 1H), 3.73 (s, 2H), 3.39–3.34 (m, 2H), 3.31–3.23 (m, 2H), 3.06 (s, 3H), 2.89 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 1.84–1.59 (m, 6H), 1.50–1.35 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 171.5, 169.6, 167.1, 163.3, 141.3, 130.2 (2 carbons), 130.1, 127.3 (2 carbons), 52.5, 48.3 (2 carbons), 42.0, 35.9, 31.6, 28.3, 26.7, 22.4, 20.9. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>29</sub>N<sub>10</sub>O<sub>2</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 441.2469. Found: 441.2460. This linker was used to prepare the MMAE/F 2+2 ADC, the MMAE/F 2+4 ADC, the DAR 4 single-drug ADCs, and the single- and dual-dye conjugates.



Synthesis of diazido-methyltetrazine tri-arm linker (S5). Reagents and conditions: (a) 3-azidopropylamine, EDC·HCl, NHS, DMF, room temp, 2 h; (b) 20% TFA/DCM, room temp, 1 h.

Synthesis of MeTet-Lys(Boc)-Lys(N<sub>3</sub>)-OH (S4). 2-Chlorotrityl chloride resin (500 mg, 0.8 mmol, AnaSpec) and Fmoc-Lys(Alloc)-OH (362 mg, 0.8 mmol) were taken to a manual solid-phase reactor containing N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 209 µL, 1.2 mmol) and DMF (3 mL) and agitated for 2 h. MeOH (600 µL, 1.5 mmol) was added to the resin and agitated for 20 min. The solution was drained and the resin was washed with DMF ( $5 \times 3$  mL) and DCM ( $5 \times 3$  mL). To remove the Alloc-protecting group before initial coupling, the resin was treated with Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (138.7 mg, 0.12 mmol) and phenylsilane (49.3 µL, 0.4 mmol) in DCM (3 mL) under Ar atmosphere (15 min x 2) and washed with DMF ( $5 \times 3$  mL) and DCM ( $5 \times 3$  mL). To remove a Fmoc-protecting group after each coupling, the resin was treated with 20% piperidine/DMF (5 mL) for 20 min and washed with DMF (5×3 mL) and DCM (5×3 mL). Azidopropionic acid, Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH, or methyltetrazine acid (2 equiv.) was preactivated using 1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxid hexafluorophosphate (HATU, 2 equiv.) and DIPEA (3 equiv.) in DMF for 5 min, and the cocktail was added to the resin. The resin was agitated at room temperature for 1.5 h. Completion of the coupling was verified by the Kaiser test. After each coupling step, the coupling cocktail was drained and the resin was washed with DMF ( $5 \times 3$  mL) and DCM ( $5 \times 3$  mL). The resulting protected peptide resin was treated with a cocktail of 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/DCM at room temperature for 1 h. The solution was

concentrated in vacuo and the crude peptide was precipitated with cold diethyl ether (5–6 mL) followed by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min (3 times). The resulting crude products were dried in vacuo and purified by RP-HPLC to afford analytically pure peptide **S4** (88.3 mg, 31% based on the resin loading rate). Red powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  8.49 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.41–4.32 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 2H), 3.50 (t, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.21–3.05 (m, 2H), 3.03 (s, 3H), 3.03–2.96 (m, 2H), 2.38 (t, *J* = 6.2 Hz, 2H), 1.92–1.77 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.61 (m, 2H), 1.56–1.44 (m, 6H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 1.41–1.35 (m, 2H). HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>45</sub>N<sub>11</sub>O<sub>7</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 706.3396. Found: 706.3396.

Synthesis of diazido-methyltetrazine tri-arm linker (S5). To compound S4 (12.6 mg, 0.018 mmol) was added a DMF solution (1 mL) of 3-azidopropylamine (2.4  $\mu$ L, 0.023 mmol), NHS (7.0 mg, 0.036 mmol), and EDC·HCl (4.2 mg, 0.036 mmol). After being stirred at room temperature for 2 h, the reaction mixture was quenched with 15% citric acid and extracted with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated and used immediately in the next step without purification. To the residue DCM (0.2 mL) and TFA (0.2 mL) were added at room temperature. After 1 h, the mixture was concentrated and purified by preparative RP-HPLC under acidic conditions to afford tri-arm linker S5 (4.0 mg, 33 %). Red powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  8.50 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 4.41–4.29 (m, 1H), 4.28–4.17 (m, 1H), 3.72 (s, 2H), 3.52 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 3.39–3.32 (m, 2H), 3.29–3.06 (m, 4H), 3.04 (s, 3H), 2.92 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.91–1.58 (m, 8H), 1.54–1.26 (m, 6H). HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>43</sub>N<sub>15</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 688.3515. Found: 688.3538. This linker was used to prepare MMAE/F 4+2 and DAR 6 ADCs.



Synthesis of **S8a,b** and **S9a,b**. Reagents and conditions: (a) bis(4-nitrophenyl) carbonate, DMAP, DMF, room temp, 2 h; (b) MMAF (for **S7a**) or MMAE (for **S7b**), DIPEA, HOAt, DMF, 37 °C, overnight.; (c) 50% TFA/DCM, room temp, 1 h; 50% diethylamine/DMF, room temp, 1 h, then DBCO-NHS; (d) 50% TFA/DCM, room temp, 1 h; 50% diethylamine/DMF, room temp, 1 h, then TCO-NHS, DIPEA, room temp, overnight.

**Synthesis of Fmoc-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(***t***-Bu)-Val-Cit-PAB-OH.** Fmoc-Cit-PAB-O-resin was prepared according to the procedure described previously<sup>1</sup>. To remove the Fmoc-protecting group after each coupling, the resin was treated with 20% piperidine/DMF (5 mL) for 20 min and washed with DMF (5×3 mL) and

DCM (5×3 mL). Fmoc-protected amino acid (2 equiv.) was pre-activated using HATU (2 equiv.) and DIPEA (3 equiv.) in DMF for 3 min, and the cocktail was added to the resin. The resin was agitated at room temperature for 1 h. The completion of the coupling was verified by the Kaiser test. After each coupling step, the coupling cocktail was drained and the resin was washed with DMF (5×3 mL) and DCM (5×3 mL). The resulting protected peptide resin was treated with cocktail of 1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA)/DCM at room temperature for 1 h. The solution was concentrated in vacuo and the resulting crude products were precipitated with cold diethyl ether (5–6 mL) followed by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min (3 times). The peptide pellet was dried in vacuo and used immediately in the next step without purification.

**Synthesis of Fmoc-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(***t***-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-PNP (S6).** Bis(2,4-dinitrophenyl) carbonate (154 mg, 0.51 mmol) and DMAP (24.9 mg, 0.20 mmol) were added to a crude mixture of Fmoc-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(*t*-Bu)-Val-Cit-PAB-OH (97.6 mg, assumed to be 0.10 mmol) in DMF (4 mL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The crude products were purified by preparative RP-HPLC to give analytically pure peptide S6 (68.2 mg, 59% overall yield, calculated based on the resin loading rate). Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. Off-white powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>57</sub>H<sub>72</sub>N<sub>8</sub>O<sub>17</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 1163.4908. Found: 1163.4911.

Synthesis of Fmoc-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(*t*-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF (S7a). A solution of S6 (7.0 mg, 6.1  $\mu$ mol) in DMF (620  $\mu$ L) was mixed with monomethyl auristatin F TFA salt (6.7 mg, 8.0  $\mu$ mol), HOAt (1.7 mg, 0.012 mmol), and DIPEA (5.3  $\mu$ L, 0.031 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred overnight at 37 °C. The crude products were directly purified by preparative RP-HPLC to afford peptide S7a (7.8 mg, 73%). Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. C<sub>90</sub>H<sub>132</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>22</sub>Na<sub>2</sub> [M+2Na]<sup>2+</sup>: 889.4682. Found: 889.4695. Peptide S7b was synthesized in a similar manner.

**Fmoc-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(***t***-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAE (S7b).** Monomethyl auristatin E TFA salt was used instead of monomethyl auristatin F TFA salt. Yield: 7.7 mg, 84%. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>90</sub>H<sub>134</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>21</sub>Na<sub>2</sub> [M+2Na]<sup>2+</sup>: 882.4785. Found: 882.4817.

**DBCO-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF (S8a).** TFA (500  $\mu$ L) was added to a DCM solution (500  $\mu$ L) of compound **S7a** (6.2 mg, 3.6  $\mu$ mol) at 0 °C. After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the crude peptide was precipitated with cold diethyl ether (5–6 mL) followed by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min (3 times). The resulting crude products

were dried in vacuo. Diethylamine (300  $\mu$ L) was added to the residue dissolved in DMF (300  $\mu$ L), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solution was concentrated and dried in vacuo and used in the next step without further purification. DBCO-NHS (1.5 mg, 5.4  $\mu$ mol) was added to a solution of this crude mixture in DMF (500  $\mu$ L) and the mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The crude products were purified by preparative RP-HPLC under basic conditions to afford peptide **S8a** (4.2 mg, 67% for the 3 steps). Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. This compound has previously been characterized by us<sup>1</sup>. White powder. Peptide **S8b** was prepared from **S7b** in a similar manner.

**DBCO-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAE (S8b).** Yield: 3.0 mg, 41% for the 3 steps. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>90</sub>H<sub>129</sub>N<sub>13</sub>O<sub>21</sub>Na<sub>2</sub> [M+2Na]<sup>2+</sup>: 886.9605. Found: 886.9629.

**TCO-peg3-Glu-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF (S9a).** TFA (300  $\mu$ L) was added to a DCM solution (300  $\mu$ L) of compound **S7a** (7.8 mg, 4.5  $\mu$ mol). After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the crude peptide was precipitated with cold diethyl ether (5–6 mL) followed by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min (3 times). The resulting crude products were dried in vacuo and used in the next step without further purification. Diethylamine (250  $\mu$ L) was added to a half of this crude mixture in DMF (250  $\mu$ L), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The solution of this crude mixture in DMF (300  $\mu$ L) was added TCO-NHS (0.8 mg, 2.9  $\mu$ mol) and DIPEA (0.8  $\mu$ L, 4.5  $\mu$ mol), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The crude products were purified by preparative RP-HPLC under basic conditions to afford peptide **S9a** (2.0 mg, 54% for the 3 steps). Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>126</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>22</sub>Na<sub>2</sub> [M+2Na]<sup>2+</sup>: 826.4447. Found: 826.4461. Peptide **S9b** was prepared from peptide **S7b** in a similar manner.

**TCO-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAE (S9b).** Yield: 4.8 mg, 50% for the 3 steps. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>128</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>21</sub>Na<sub>2</sub> [M+2Na]<sup>2+</sup>: 819.4551. Found: 819.4560.



Synthesis of di-MMAF module **S13**. Reagents and conditions: (a) bis(4-nitrophenyl) carbonate, DMAP, DMF, room temp, 2 h; (b) MMAF, DIPEA, HOAt, DMF, 37 °C, overnight; (c) CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, TBTA, sodium ascorbate, 1M phosphate buffer pH 7.0, DMSO, room temp, 2 h; (d) 20% TFA/DCM, room temp, 1 h; 50% diethylamine/DMF, room temp, 2 h; then DBCO-NHS, DIPEA, room temp, overnight.

Alkyne-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(*t*-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-PNP (S10a). Crude alkyne-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(*t*-Bu)-Val-Cit-PAB-OH was prepared in a similar manner as described earlier. This crude peptide (53.0 mg, assumed to be 0.064 mmol) was converted into peptide S10a in the same manner as described in the synthesis of S6. Yield: 10.4 mg, 61% overall yield based on the resin loading rate. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For  $C_{47}H_{66}N_8O_{16}Na [M+Na]^+$ : 1021.4489. Found: 1021.4495.

**Fmoc-Lys(N<sub>3</sub>)-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(***t***-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-PNP (S10b).** Crude Fmoc-Lys(N<sub>3</sub>)-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(*t*-Bu)-Val-Cit-PAB-OH was prepared in a similar manner as described earlier. This crude peptide (43.6 mg, assumed to be 0.039 mmol) was converted into peptide **S10b** in the same manner as described in the synthesis of **S6**. Yield: 10.7 mg, 45% overall yield based on the resin loading rate. Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>63</sub>H<sub>82</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>18</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>: 1317.5762. Found: 1317.5758.

Alkyne-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(*t*-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF (S11a). Prepared from S10a in the same manner as the synthesis of S7a. Yield: 9.1 mg, 69%. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>80</sub>H<sub>126</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>21</sub>Na<sub>2</sub> [M+2Na]<sup>2+</sup>: 818.4472. Found: 818.4492.

**Fmoc-Lys(N<sub>3</sub>)-peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(***t***-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF (S11b).** Prepared from **S10b** in the same manner as the synthesis of **S7a.** Yield: 6.6 mg, 42%. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For  $C_{96}H_{142}N_{16}O_{23}Na_2 [M+2Na]^{2+}$ : 966.5109. Found: 966.5140.

**Fmoc-Lys-(peg<sub>3</sub>-Glu(***t***-Bu)-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF)<sub>2</sub> (S12).** A mixture of alkyne peptide S11a (200  $\mu$ L, 10 mM in DMSO), azide peptide S11b (100  $\mu$ L, 10 mM in DMSO), and 1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7.0, 300  $\mu$ L) was treated with a mixture of CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (200  $\mu$ L, 20 mM in DI water) and tris[(1-benzyl-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)methyl]amine (TBTA, 200  $\mu$ L, 40 mM in DMSO). DMSO (900  $\mu$ L) and sodium ascorbate (100  $\mu$ L, 100 mM in deionized water) was added to the mixture and stirred at 37 °C for 2 h. The crude products were directly purified by preparative RP-HPLC to give analytically pure peptide S12 (5.1 mg, 73%). Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. Yellow powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For C<sub>176</sub>H<sub>270</sub>N<sub>28</sub>O<sub>44</sub> [M+2H]<sup>2+</sup>:1739.9870. Found: 1739.9913.

**DBCO-Lys-(peg3-Glu-Val-Cit-PABC-MMAF)**<sub>2</sub> (S13). TFA (60 µL) was added to a DCM solution (240 µL) of compound S12 (5.1 mg, 1.5 µmol). After being stirred at room temperature for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo and the crude products were precipitated with cold diethyl ether (5–6 mL) followed by centrifugation at 2,000 × g for 3 min (3 times). The resulting crude products were dried in vacuo. To the residue in DMF (200 µL) was added diethylamine (200 µL), and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The solution was concentrated and dried in vacuo and used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of this crude mixture in DMF (0.2 mL) was added DBCO-NHS (1.5 mg, 3.7 µmol) and DIPEA (1 µL, 5.7 µmol), and the mixture was stirred

overnight at room temperature. The crude products were directly purified by preparative RP-HPLC under basic conditions to afford peptide **S13** (0.5 mg, 10% for the 3 steps). Purity was confirmed by LC-MS. White powder. HRMS (ESI) Calcd. For  $C_{172}H_{255}N_{29}O_{44}Na_2 [M+2Na]^{2+}$ : 1738.4196. Found: 1738.4167.

**Expression and purification of human monoclonal antibodies**. All humanized mAbs were produced according to the procedure reported previously<sup>2,3</sup>. Free style HEK-293 human embryonic kidney cells (Invitrogen) were transfected with a mammalian expression vector encoding for the human IgG1 kappa light chain and full length heavy chain sequences (based on the variable sequences of trastuzumab and sacituzumab). A mutation of N297A was incorporated into the heavy chain constant region to produce aglycosylated mAbs. The transfected HEK-293 cells were cultured in a humidified cell culture incubator at 37 °C with 8% CO<sub>2</sub> and shaking at 150 rpm for 7 days before harvesting the culture medium. The antibody secreted into the culture medium was purified using Protein A resin (GE Healthcare).

**Flow cytometry.** DAPI was added into all samples for live cell selection. First, unstained cells were used to set up the scatter, single cell selection, and anti-HER2-FITC positive area. Next, IgG-FITC treated cells were used to confirm the specificity of anti-HER2-FITC. After confirming no non-specific binding of IgG-FITC, anti-HER2-FITC treated cells were applied for measuring the HER2-positive cells. Total 10,000 cells were counted from each sample.

#### **NMR, HPLC, and deconvoluted ESI-MS Data** NHBoc ,Ν<sub>3</sub> FmocHN **S**1 -6000000 4.63 4.46 4.40 4.23 4.18 4.18 3.36 3.34 3.32 3.32 3.12 3.12 200 1.125 1.125 1.136 1.136 1.136 1.136 1.136 1.136 1.136 1.136 0.01 -0.00 -5500000 slsr JI -5000000 ]]][ 1 4500000 4000000 3500000 -3000000 -2500000 -2000000 -1500000 -1000000 -500000 -o 0.62<del>.</del>T 0.94-J 3.79⊣ 1.34 -5.71 1.84 ₩ 9.30 ₩ 197 년 196 년 206 년 --500000 10.5 10.0 9.5 9.0 8.5 8.0 7.5 6.5 6.0 5.0 4.5 4.0 f1 (ppm) 3.5 1.5 1.0 0.5 0.0 -0.5 -1.0 7.0 5.5 3.0 2.5 2.0 79.49 77.58 CDCI3 76.74 CDCI3 -12000 <del>156.50</del> <del>156.48</del> 143.89 143.86 141.46 141.46 127.91 7127.24 7125.17 -120.16 --67.18 -49.39 -47.31 33.85 31.95 29.73 28.67 28.67 -0.14 -11000 -10000 9000 -8000 -7000 -6000 -5000 -4000 -3000 -2000 -1000 -0 -1000 130 120 110 100 f1 (ppm) 90 80 70 200 190 180 170 160 150 140 60 50 40 30 20 10 Ó -10





































































Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of aglycosylated N297A anti-HER2 mAb (a), mAb–azidomethyltetrazine di-arm linker conjugate (b), linker conjugate after DBCO–azide click reaction (c), and MMAE/F 2+2 ADCs (d). Asterisk (\*) indicates fragment ion(s) detected in ESI-MS analysis. Note: the peak derived from MMAE/F 1+2 ADC (indicated with parentheses) likely overlapped with one of the fragment ions and its m/z value could not be unambiguously determined by deconvolution due to the low spectral resolution and detection limit of the instrument used in this study.



Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of aglycosylated N297A anti-HER2 mAb (a), mAb–azidomethyltetrazine di-arm linker conjugate (b), linker conjugate after TCO–methyltetrazine click reaction (c), and MMAE/F 2+4 ADC (d). Asterisk (\*) indicates fragment ion(s) detected in ESI-MS analysis. Note: the peaks derived from MMAE DAR 1 and MMAE/F 1+4 ADCs (indicated with parentheses) likely overlapped with one of the fragment ions and their m/z values could not be unambiguously determined by deconvolution due to the low spectral resolution and detection limit of the instrument used in this study.



Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of aglycosylated N297A anti-HER2 mAb (a), mAb–diazidomethyltetrazine tri-arm linker conjugate (b), linker conjugate after DBCO–azide click reaction (c), and MMAE 6 ADC (d). Asterisk (\*) indicates fragment ion(s) detected in ESI-MS analysis. Note: the peak derived from MMAE DAR 5 ADC (indicated with parentheses) likely overlapped with one of the fragment ions and its m/z value could not be unambiguously determined by deconvolution due to the low spectral resolution and detection limit of the instrument used in this study.



Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of aglycosylated N297A anti-HER2 mAb (a), mAb-diazide linker conjugate (b), MMAF DAR 4 ADC (c), and MMAE DAR 4 ADC (d). Asterisk (\*) indicates fragment ion(s) detected in ESI-MS analysis.



Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of aglycosylated N297A anti-TROP2 mAb (a), mAb–diazidomethyltetrazine tri-arm linker conjugate (b), linker conjugate after TCO–methyltetrazine click reaction (c), and MMAE/F 4+2 ADCs (d). Asterisk (\*) indicates fragment ion(s) detected in ESI-MS analysis.



Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of aglycosylated N297A anti-TROP2 mAb (a), mAb-diazide linker conjugate (b), MMAF DAR 4 ADC (c), and MMAE DAR 4 ADC (d). Asterisk (\*) indicates fragment ion(s) detected in ESI-MS analysis.



Deconvoluted ESI-mass spectra of N297A trastuzumab–Cy5 conjugate (top), N297A trastuzumab–AF488 conjugate (middle), and N297A trastuzumab–AF488/Cy5 dual conjugate (bottom).

# **Supplementary References**

- 1. Anami *et al.* Glutamic acid–valine–citrulline cleavable linkers ensure high stability and efficacy of antibody–drug conjugates in mouse models. *Nat. Commun.*, **9**:2512 (2018).
- 2. Shi, Y. *et al.* Engagement of immune effector cells by trastuzumab induces HER2/ERBB2 downregulation in cancer cells through STAT1 activation. *Breast Cancer Res.* **16**, R33 (2014).
- 3. Anami, Y. *et al.* Enzymatic conjugation using branched linkers for constructing homogeneous antibody-drug conjugates with high potency. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **15**, 5635–5642 (2017).