

Supplementary Material

Supplementary table 1

	AD model	WT model	Male/ Female	Age (months)	N (Tg/WT)		Microbiome comparison by	Known housing conditions	Diet	Sequencing method
Brandsch et al. (2017) ⁴⁴	5XFAD	C57BL//6	M	1.5, 2, 4	≥18/18	≥6 per timepoint per groep	Genotype	12/12h light dark cycle	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Harach et al. (2017) ⁴⁵	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M + F	8	6/7		Genotype	SPF conditions, grouped	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Shen et al. (2017) ⁴⁶	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M	3, 6, 8	6/6 (at each age)		Genotype, age	SPF conditions, room temperature, 12/12h light-dark circle, caged	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Zhang et al. (2017) ⁴⁷	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M	1,3, 5-6, 8- 12	24/24	6 per timepoint per group	Genotype	SPF conditions, controlled environmental	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Bäuerl et al. (2018) ⁴⁸	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	F	3, 6, 24	8/9	(3,3,2 / 3,3,3 at timepoints)	Genotype, age	SPF conditions, room temperature, 12/12h light-dark cycle	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Xin et al. (2018) ⁴⁹	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M	2	10/10		Genotype, OMO treatment	25°C, 12/12h light-dark cycle, housed in pairs	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Abraham et al. (2019) ⁵⁰	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M	8	8/unknown		Genotype, probiotic + exercise treatment	Unknown	Unlimited food	Ion PGM Sequencing
Sun et al. (2019) ⁵¹	P301L	FVB/N	M + F	3, 6, 10	32/32	(8 per timepoint)	Genotype	SPF conditions, 12/12h light-dark circle	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Wang et al. (2019) ⁵²	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M	9	6/6		Genotype, JAT treatment	Air-conditioned room, >12 hours of night in light-dark cycle	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Wang, X. et al. (2019) ⁵³	5XFAD	C57BL/6	M + F	2-9	4-10/4-10 (at different ages)		Genotype (at 7 months), age	Room temperature, 12/12h light-dark cycle	Differs over course of experiment, food is limited before behavioral experiments	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Cox et al. (2019) ⁵⁴	Tg2576	Swiss Webster DBA/C57B L/6 F1	M + F	5-15 (mice are followed with increasing age)	15-17/15-17 (at the starting point)		Genotype, age, seks, CR diet	Temperature controlled conditions, 12/12h light-dark cycle	Unlimited food (Animals on CR diet are not included here)	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing
Chen et al. (2020) ⁵⁵	APP/PS1	C57BL/6	M	1,2,3,6,9	121/97	(21,24,24,34,18 / 14,17,17,31,18 at timepoints)	Genotype	SPF conditions, 24°C, 12/12h light-dark cycle, caged per 4 (WT and Tg together)	Unlimited food	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing

Supplementary table 1: Characteristics of animal studies regarding microbiota composition in AD mouse models compared to WT. The used AD mouse model and corresponding WT model is listed together with male/female ratio, age of the animals, sample size, reported comparison, known housing conditions, diet and the used sequencing technique per study.

Supplementary table 2

	Increased in AD mouse models	Decreased in AD mouse models
Phyla	Firmicutes ⁴⁴ (2) ^{48*} , ⁴⁹ , ⁵² , ^{53*}	Bacteroidetes ⁴⁴ (2) ^{48*} , ⁴⁹ , ⁵² , ^{53*}
	Bacteroidetes ⁴⁵ , ⁵¹ , ^{54*} (F)	Firmicutes ⁴⁵ , ⁵¹ , ^{54*} (F)
	Deferribacteres ⁴⁹ , ⁵²	Verrucomicrobia ⁴⁵ , ⁵² , ^{53*}
	Tenericutes ⁴⁵	Actinobacteria ⁴⁵ , ⁵¹
	Proteobacteria ⁴⁷ (5) ⁴⁸ (6) ⁵⁵ (9)	Tenericutes ⁵¹ (10) ⁵²
	Verrucomicrobia ⁴⁷ (8) ⁵⁵ (2,6,9)	Proteobacteria ⁴⁵
	Actinobacteria (2) ⁵⁵	Cyanobacteria ⁴⁹
Families	Lachnospiraceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵²	Erysipelotrichaceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵²
	Deferribacteraceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵²	Prevotellaceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵²
	Helicobacteriaceae ⁴⁶ , ⁵²	Coriobacteriaceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵¹ (10)
	Desulfovibrionaceae ⁴⁶ , ⁴⁹ , ⁵⁵ (9)	Bifidobacteriaceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵¹ (6)
	Bacteroidaceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵¹ (6) ^{54*} (F)	Pepto-streptococcaceae ⁵²
	Rikenellaceae ⁴⁹ , ^{54*} (F)	Bacteroidales_S24_7_group ⁵²
	Prevotellaceae ^{46*} ⁵¹ (10) ⁵⁵ (2)	S24-7 ⁴⁹
	Verrucomicrobiaceae ⁴⁹ , ⁵⁵ (2,6,9)	Ruminococcaceae ⁴⁹
	Lactobacillaceae ⁴⁹	Enterobacteriaceae ⁴⁹
	ClostridialesvadinBB60group ⁵¹ (6,10) ^{54*} (F) ⁵⁵ (9)	Clostridiaceae ⁴⁹
	Erysipelotrichaceae ⁴⁸ (24) ⁵⁵ (2)	Helicobacteriaceae ⁴⁹
	Marinifilaceae ^{54*} (F)	[Paraprevotellaceae] ⁴⁹
	Tannerellaceae ^{54*} (F)	Alcaligenaceae ⁴⁹
	Coriobacteriaceae ⁴⁶ (6,8)	Lachnospiraceae ⁵¹ (3) ^{54*} (F)
	Bacteroidales_S24_7_group ⁵¹ (3,10)	Streptococcaceae ⁵¹ (10) ^{54*} (F)
	Acidaminococcaceae ⁵¹ (3)	Staphylococcaceae ⁵¹ (3,6) ^{54*} (F)
	Alcaligenaceae ⁵¹ (3)	Rikenellaceae ⁴⁸ (24) ⁵⁵ (6)
	Unclassified_o_Bacteroidales ⁵¹ (3,10)	Carnobacteriaceae ⁵¹ (3) ⁵⁵ (9)
	Anaeroplasmataceae ⁵¹ (6)	Lactobacillaceae ⁵¹ (3)
	Porphyromonadaceae ⁵¹ (10)	Aerococcaceae ⁵¹ (3)
	Enterobacteriaceae ⁵⁵ (1,6)	Desulfovibrionaceae ⁵¹ (3)
	Bifidobacteriaceae ⁵⁵ (2)	Vibrionaceae ⁵¹ (3)
		Fusobacteriaceae ⁵¹ (3)
		Family_XIII ⁵¹ (3)
		Clostridiaceae_1 ⁵¹ (3)
		Actinomycetaceae ⁵¹ (3)
		Family_XI_o_Bacillales ⁵¹ (6)

		Mycoplasmataceae ⁵¹ (10)
		Bacteroidaceae ⁵⁵ (6)
Genera	Helicobacter ^{46, 52, 53}	Allobaculum ^{45, 52}
	Bacteroides ^{49, 50, 51} (6) ^{54*} (F)	Akkermansia ^{45, 52}
	Odoribacter ^{46, 51, 54*} (F)	Prevotella ^{46, 49}
	Desulfovibrio ^{49, 53, 55} (9)	Alloprevotella ^{52, 53}
	Mucispirillum ^{49, 52}	RuminococcaceaeUCG_0144 ^{52, 53}
	Roseburia ^{53, 54*} (M)	Clostridium ^{49, 50}
	Akkermansia ^{49, 55} (2,6,9)	Ruminococcus ⁴⁶ (6,8) ⁴⁷ (8) ⁴⁹
	Ruminoclostridium ⁵¹ (10)	Roseburia ^{50, 51} (10)
	Norank_f_Ruminococcaceae ⁵¹ (10) ⁵³	Bifidobacterium ^{49, 51} (6)
	Lactobacillus ⁴⁹	Bacteroides ^{53, 55} (6)
	Adlercreutzia ⁴⁹	Lactobacillus ⁵¹ (3) ⁵³
	Lactococcus ⁵³	Alistipes ^{53, 55} (9)
	Eubacterium_xylanophilum_group ⁵³	Eubacterium_brachy_group ⁵¹ (3) ⁵²
	Ruminoclostridium_9 ⁵³	Rikenellaceae_RC9_gutgroup ^{53, 55} (6)
	Lachnospiraceae_NK4A136_group ⁵³	Oscillospira ⁴⁹
	Unclassified_f_lachnospiraceae ⁵³	Helicobacter ⁴⁹
	Norank_f_lachnospiraceae ⁵³	Anaerostipes ⁴⁹
	Candidatus_Saccharimonus ⁵³	Blautia ⁴⁹
	Parabacteroides ⁵¹ (3) ^{54*} (F)	Eubacterium ⁵⁰
	Alloprevotella ^{54*} (M) ⁵⁵ (2)	Staphylococcus ⁵¹ (3,6) ^{54*} (F)
	RikenellaceaeRC9gutgroup ^{54*} (F)	Turicibacter ^{54*} (F/M)
	MuribaculaceaeOther ^{54*} (F)	LachnospiraceaeUCG_006 ^{54*} (F/M)
	Christensenellaceae Uncult ^{54*} (F)	Faecalibaculum ^{54*} (F)
	ClostridialesvadinBB60group ^{54*} (F)	Lactococcus ^{54*} (F)
	Negativbacillus ^{54*} (F)	Sporosarcina ^{54*} (F)
	Streptococcus ^{54*} (M)	PrevotellaceaeUCG_001 ^{54*} (M)
	Eubacterium_coprostanoligenesgroup ^{54*} (M)	Mucispirillum ^{54*} (M)
	Norank_f_Bacteroidales_S24_7_group ⁵¹ (3,10)	Butyricicoccus ⁴⁷ (8) ⁵¹ (3)
	Unclassified_o_Bacteroidales ⁵¹ (3,10)	Enterorhabdus ⁵¹ (3)
	Ruminococcaceae_NK4A214_group ⁵¹ (6)	Aerococcus ⁵¹ (3)
	Norank_f_Clostridiales_vadinBB60_group ⁵¹ (6)	Desulfovibrio ⁵¹ (3)
	Anaerovorax ⁵¹ (10)	Ignatzschineria ⁵¹ (3)
	Peptococcus ⁵¹ (10)	unclassified_f_Lachnospiraceae ⁵¹ (3)
	Caproiciproducens ⁵¹ (10)	Lachnospiraceae_FCS020_group ⁵¹ (3)
	Oscillibacter ⁵¹ (10)	Jeotgalicoccus ⁵¹ (3)
	PrevotellaceaeUCG_001 ⁵⁵ (2)	Vibrio ⁵¹ (3)
Bifidobacterium ⁵⁵ (2)	Psychrilyobacter ⁵¹ (3)	

	Allobaculum ⁵⁵ (2)	Ruminococcus_2 ⁵¹ (3)
	Erysipelatoclostridium ⁵⁵ (2)	Butyrivibrio ⁵¹ (3)
	LachnospiraceaeUCG_001 ⁵⁵ (3,9)	Ruminococcaceae_UCG_0044 ⁵¹ (3)
	Blautia ⁵⁵ (6)	Unclassified_f_Erysipelotriachaceae ⁵¹ (3)
	Escherichia/Shigella ⁵⁵ (6)	Granulicatella ⁵¹ (3)
	Tyzzera ⁵⁵ (6)	Marvinbryanta ⁵¹ (3)
	Family_XIII_UCG_001 ⁵⁵ (6)	Actinomyces ⁵¹ (3)
	Turicibacter ⁵⁵ (6)	Lachnoclostridium ⁵¹ (3,10)
	Uncultured_bacterium_f_clostridiales_vadinBB60_group ⁵⁵ (9)	unclassified_f_Coriobacteriaceae ⁵¹ (3,10)
	Ruminococcus_1 ⁵⁵ (9)	Norank_f_mycoplasmataceae ⁵¹ (10)
		Klebsiella ⁵¹ (10)
		Streptococcus ⁵¹ (10)
		Ruminoclostridium_549 ⁵ (3)
		RuminococcaceaeUCG_010 ⁵⁵ (3)
		[Eubacterium]_nodatum_group ⁵⁵ (9)
		Atopostipes ⁵⁵ (9)
Species	L acidophilus ⁵²	B. proteoclasticus ⁵⁰
	B. fragilis ⁵⁰	L. johnsoni ⁵⁰
	B. thetaiotaomicron ⁵⁰	B. pullicaecorum ⁴⁷ (8)
	C. leptum ⁴⁴ (2)	
	Desulfovibrio C21_C20 ⁴⁷ (5)	

Supplementary table 2: Microbial taxa are altered in AD mouse models compared to WT mice. Animal studies that either compare microbiota composition between AD and WT mice at certain time point(s), or that examine alterations with increasing age in AD compared to WT mice. * indicates a result was observed in AD with increasing age, but not in WT mice. Numbers behind taxa as () indicate this result was only observed at these time points. F or M show a change was only seen in females or males respectively, if both were included in one study.

Supplementary table 3

	Vogt <i>et al.</i> (2017) ⁵⁸		Zhuang <i>et al.</i> (2018) ⁵⁹		Haran <i>et al.</i> (2019) ⁶⁰		Cattaneo <i>et al.</i> (2017) ⁶¹		
	AD	Control	AD	Control	AD	Control	Patients A β negative	Patients A β positive	Control A β negative
N	25	25	43	43	24	51	33	40	10
age, y (mean \pm SD)	71.3 \pm 7.3	69.3 \pm 7.5	70.1 \pm 8.8	69.7 \pm 9.2	84.7 \pm 8.1	83.0 \pm 10.2	71 \pm 7	70 \pm 7	68 \pm 8
Sex (% Female)	72%	68%	46.50%	46.50%	83.30%	84.30%	83.30%	55.55%	60.00%
APOE ϵ4 genotype (%)	72%	20%	39.50%	23.30%	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>
Ethnicity	Caucasian (96%)	Caucasian (92%)	Chinese	Chinese	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>	<i>Unknown</i>
AD diagnosis	Clinical diagnosis of 'dementia due to AD'		Clinical diagnosis		Clinical diagnosis (confirmed by facility medical record and treating physician)		No AD diagnosis, A β positivity was based on Amyloid PET, cognitive impairment through clinical assessment including Mini-Mental State Examination		
Sequencing method	16S rRNA amplicon sequencing		16S rRNA amplicon sequencing		Shotgun metagenomic sequencing		Microbial DNA qPCR Assay Kit		

<p>Patients recruited from</p>	<p>Wisconsin Alzheimer's Disease Research Center (ADRC) & Wisconsin Registry for Alzheimer's Prevention (WRAP)</p>	<p>Daping Hospital, Southwest Hospital of Third Military Medical University, First Affiliated Hospital of Chongqing Medical University & Chongqing People's Hospital (China)</p>	<p>4 nursing home (NH) facilities in central Massachusetts, approved by University of Massachusetts Medical School</p>	<p>A larger study in 18 memory clinics in Eastern Lombardy, Italy, aiming to assess the added value of amyloid imaging in the clinical work-up of patients with cognitive complaints (the Incremental Diagnostic Value of Florbetapir Amyloid Imaging [INDIA-FBP] study)</p>
---------------------------------------	--	--	--	--

Supplementary table 3: Characteristics of human studies regarding microbiota composition in AD patients compared to aged-matched control subjects. Sample size of the studies is listed, together with mean age of the subjects, male/female ratio, % APOEε4 genotype, ethnicity, AD diagnosis of the included patients, the sequencing method used and facilities where the patients were recruited from.

Supplementary table 4

Therapeutic Intervention	Gut microbiota composition	Metabolite levels	Effects on AD pathology						
			(Neuro)inflammation	Aβ pathology	Tau pathology	Cognition	Neuronal loss	Synaptic plasticity	Oxidative stress
Probiotics	<p>↑ <i>B. longum</i> (50^A) ↑ <i>B. bifidum</i> (128^H) ↑ <i>Prevotella</i> spp. (50^A) ↑ <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (50^A) ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. (50^A, 128^A)</p> <p>↓ <i>Eubacterium</i> spp. (50^A) ↓ <i>Roseburia</i> spp. (50^A) ↓ <i>Clostridium</i> spp. (50^A) ↓ <i>B. proteoclasticus</i> (50^A) ↓ <i>M. formatexigens</i> (50^A) ↓ <i>L. johnsonii</i> (50^A)</p>	↑ Butyrate (via crossfeeding) (50 ^A , 128 ^H)	↓ (128 ^H)	↓ (50 ^A)		↑ (128 ^H)			↓ (128 ^H) ^H
Probiotics + exercise	<p>↑ <i>L. acidophilus</i> (50^A) ↑ <i>B. longum</i> (50^A) ↑ <i>Eubacterium</i> spp. (50^A) ↑ <i>Roseburia</i> spp. (50^A) ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i> spp. (50^A) ↑ <i>B. proteoclasticus</i> (50^A) ↑ <i>M. formatexigens</i> (50^A)</p> <p>↓ <i>Prevotella</i> spp. (50^A) ↓ <i>Bacteroides</i> spp. (50^A) ↓ <i>Clostridium</i> spp. (50^A) ↓ <i>L. johnsonii</i> (50^A)</p>	↑ Butyrate (via crossfeeding) (50 ^A)		↓ (50 ^A)		↑ (50 ^A)			
Prebiotics	<p>↑ <i>Bifidobacterium</i> (129^H) ↑ <i>Bacteroidetes</i> (130^A) ↑ <i>Firmicutes</i> (130^A) ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i> (130^A)</p> <p>*Induces many alterations at phylum, family and genus level (49^A), including: ↑ <i>Firmicutes</i> ↑ <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> ↑ <i>Lactobacillus</i></p> <p>↓ <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↓ <i>Bacteroides</i></p>	↑ Butyrate (via increase in butyrate producers and crossfeeding) (49 ^A , 129 ^H , 130 ^A)	↓ (130 ^A)	↓ (130 ^A)		↑ (130 ^A) (49 ^A)	↓ (130 ^A)		↓ (130 ^A)
Antibiotics	*Sex-specific changes, including alterations within <i>Bacteroidetes</i> and <i>Firmicutes</i> (134 ^A)	↓ LPS (134 ^A)	↓ (132 ^M , 133 ^{A(M)}) ↑ (134 ^{A(F)})	↓ (132 ^A , 133 ^{A(M)})	↓ (132 ^A)	↑ (135 ^H , 137 ^H)			↓ (132 ^A)
PSA treatment		↑ PSA (142 ^A , 143 ^A)	↓ (142 ^A , 143 ^A)						
Butyrate treatment	<p>↑ <i>C. tyrobutyricum</i> (145^A) ↑ <i>C. butyricum</i> (149^A) ↑ <i>Alloprevotella</i> (149^A) ↑ S24-7 (149^A)</p>	↑ Butyrate (144-149 ^A)	↓ (145 ^A , 149 ^A)	↓ (148 ^A)	↓ (147 ^A)	↑ (146 ^A , 147 ^A , 148 ^A , 149 ^A)	↓ (149 ^A)	↑ (147 ^A)	

Supplementary Material

	<p>↓ <i>Deferribacteres</i> (149^A) ↓ <i>Helicobacteraceae</i> (149^A) ↓ <i>Helicobacter</i> (149^A)</p>							
Calorie restriction	<p>*rescues age-related alterations (54^{A (F)}) Effects include: ↑ <i>Firmicutes</i> ↓ <i>Bacteroides</i></p>	<p>↓ Butyrate (via restriction in carbohydrates (54^A))</p>	<p>↓ (150^A)</p>	<p>↓ (151^A)</p>				
High fiber diet	<p>↑ <i>R. bromii</i> (152^H) ↑ <i>Clostridium</i> spp. (152^H) ↑ <i>Bifidobacterium</i> spp. (152^H) ↑ <i>A. hadrus</i> (152^H) ↓ <i>Ruminococcus</i> spp. (145^A) ↓ <i>Rikenellaceae</i> (145^A)</p>	<p>↑ Acetate (145^A, 152^H) ↑ Butyrate (145^A, 152^H)</p>	<p>↓ (145^A)</p>					
Mediterranean diet	<p>↑ <i>F. prausnitzii</i> (157^H, 159^H, 160^H) ↑ <i>E. eligens</i> (157^H) ↑ <i>B. cellulosilyticus</i> (157^H) ↑ <i>Lachnospiraceae</i> (159^H) ↑ <i>Eubacterium</i> spp. (160^H) ↑ <i>Roseburia</i> spp. (159^H) ↑ <i>R. hominis</i> (160^H) ↑ <i>B. thetaiotaomicron</i> (160^H) ↑ <i>P. copri</i> (160^H) ↑ <i>A. hadrus</i> (160^H) ↓ <i>Clostridium</i> spp. (157^H) ↓ <i>C. aerofaciens</i> (157^H, 160^H) ↓ <i>Ruminococcus</i> spp. (157^H, 159^H) ↓ <i>R. lactatiformans</i> (159^H) ↓ <i>P. merdae</i> (159^H) ↓ <i>S. thermophilus</i> (159^H) ↓ <i>R. torques</i> (160^H) ↓ <i>F. plautii</i> (159^H, 160^H) ↓ <i>C. comes</i> (160^H) ↓ <i>D. formicigenerans</i> (160^H) ↓ <i>C. ramosum</i> (160^H) ↓ <i>V. dispar</i> (160^H) ↓ <i>A. lingnae</i> (160^H) *many effects on microbiota composition described by 161^H, including:</p>	<p>↑ Acetate (158^H) ↑ Propionate (158^H) ↑ Butyrate (158^H) ↓ serum LPS (162^H)</p>	<p>↓ (155^H, 156^H, 160^H)</p>		<p>↑ (153^H, 160^H)</p>		<p>↓ (153^H, 155^H)</p>	

	↑ <i>Roseburia</i>								
Fecal microbiota transplantation	*Induces many alterations at phylum, family and genus level (171 ^A), including: ↑ <i>Bacteroidetes</i> ↑ <i>Erysipelotrichia</i> ↑ <i>Faecalibaculum</i>	↑ Butyrate (171 ^A)	↓ (171 ^A , 173 ^A)	↓ (171 ^A , 173 ^A)	↓ (171 ^A , 173 ^A)	↑ (171 ^A , 173 ^A)		↑ (171 ^A)	

Supplementary table 4: The effects of microbiome-targeting therapeutic interventions on microbiota composition and metabolite levels, and on different aspects of AD pathology. ↑ indicates improvements in cognition or synaptic plasticity, while ↓ indicates a decrease in neuroinflammation, A β or tau pathology, neuronal loss or oxidative stress. “A” or “H” behind a reference demonstrates the results are obtained in animal or human studies respectively, while (F) or (M) shows a result was observed in female or male mice only. “Spp.” behind a genus indicates that several species within this genus were altered. Effects written in grey are anticipated, but not actually measured.