

# 5 Supplementary Figure S1. The average seed sizes of Col, C24 and their F1 hybrids.







A: Biological Process GO:0008150 biological\_process GO:0050896 response to stimulus GO:0009719 (0.00223) GO:0042221 (0.00252) response to GO:0006950 response to chemical endogenous stimulus response to stress 14/44 | 2853/28362 11/44 | 1732/28362 GO:1901698 (8.33e-07) GO:0010033 (0.00214) GO:0009611 (8.97e-05) response to response to response to wounding nitrogen compound organic substance . 6/44 | 217/28362 8/44 | 264/28362 12/44 | 2023/28362 GO:0010243 (3.69e-08) GO:1901700 (0.000186) response to response to organonitrogen compound oxygen-containing compound 8/44 | 166/28362 12/44 | 1557/28362 **B:** Molecular Function GO:0003674 GO:0010200 (1.45e-08) molecular\_function response to chitin 8/44 | 134/28362 ۲ GO:0001071 (0.000296) nucleic acid binding transcription factor activity 11/44 | 1730/28362 Significant level GO:0003700 (0.000296) low high transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding 11/44 | 1729/28362

22

- 23 Supplementary Figure S3. Overview of singular enrichment analysis (SEA) of the
- 24 up-regulated transcript profiles in  $F_1$  hybrid compared with parents (log<sub>2</sub>FC  $\geq$

25	<b>0.58, FDR &lt; 0.05).</b> SEA was performed using agriGO (bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/). A:
26	The "Biological Process" for each GO term was used for the hierarchical graph. B:
27	"Molecular Function" of the GO term was used for the hierarchical graph. Solid arrows
28	represent relationships between the two enriched GO terms. Dashed arrows represent
29	relationships between the enriched and un-enriched terms. Dotted arrows represent
30	relationships between un-enriched terms. Significantly enriched GO terms are colored
31	on a spectrum from white to red according to their corresponding FDR adjusted <i>p</i> -value.
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	



### 43 Supplementary Figure S4. Overview of singular enrichment analysis (SEA) of

# 44 down-regulated transcript profiles in $F_1$ hybrid compared with parents (log<sub>2</sub>FC $\leq$

## 45 -0.58, FDR<0.05). SEA was performed using agriGO (bioinfo.cau.edu.cn/agriGO/). A:

46	The "Biological Process" for each GO term was used for the hierarchical graph. B:
47	"Cellular Component" of the GO term was used for the hierarchical graph. Solid arrows
48	represent relationships between two enriched GO terms. Dashed arrows represent
49	relationships between enriched and un-enriched terms. Dotted arrows represent
50	relationships between un-enriched terms. Green dotted arrows represent negative
51	regulation. Significantly enriched GO terms are colored on a spectrum from white to red
52	according to their corresponding FDR adjusted <i>p</i> -values.
53	
54	
55	
56	
57	
58	
59	
60	
61	



63

### 64 Supplementary Figure S5. Overview of metabolic transcript differences for whole

## 65 rosette samples of F1 hybrid compared with parental plants generated using the

66	MapMan tool. Differences in F <sub>1</sub> hybrid transcript levels vs MPV based on probe sets
67	classified as "metabolism overview" (A) and "N-metabolism" (B) are shown. Red and
68	blue colors indicate that transcript levels are increased or decreased, respectively, in $F_1$
69	hybrid compared to parents. All values indicate $log_2$ ratios (F <sub>1</sub> /MPV) at 15 DAS
70	produced using the Arabidopsis ATH1 Array. The MapMan tool was used for
71	visualization of gene expression differences between F1 hybrid transcript levels and

72 MPV. The number of biological replicates is three.