

Figure S4. Assessment of inter-study heterogeneity for the *pfhrp2* deletion analysis. The combination of both analyses resulted in the exclusion of the following articles: Berhane A et al. (2018), among studies from health facilities, and Gupta H et al. (2017), among studies in the general population.

Fig. S4a, S4b Baujat plot for studies included in the meta-analysis. The horizontal axis indicates the contribution of each study to the overall heterogeneity (measured by Cochran's Q), therefore studies on the right-hand side increase the heterogeneity more. The vertical axis indicates the influence of each study on the pooled proportion. Studies at the top have a greater influence on the pooled result.

Fig. S4a. Baujat plot for studies included in the meta-analysis of *pfhrp2* deletions among samples from health facilities.




Fig. S4c, S4d. Forest plot for *pfhrp2* deletion prevalence according to the outliers analysis. All results whose confidence interval did not overlap with the prediction interval were excluded. These articles had a relative weight of 0%.




Fig. S4c. Forest plot for studies included in the meta-analysis of the *pfhrp2* deletion in samples from health facilities, with outliers identified.




Fig. S4d. Forest plot for studies included in the meta-analysis of the *pfhrp2* deletion in samples from the general population, with outliers identified.