SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

Evaluation of the potassium channel tracer [¹⁸F]3F4AP in rhesus macaques

Authors: Nicolas J. Guehl¹, Karla M. Ramos-Torres¹, Clas Linnman², Sung-Hyun Moon¹, Maeva Dhaynaut¹, Moses Q. Wilks¹, Paul K. Han¹, Chao Ma¹, Ramesh Neelamegam¹, Yu-Peng Zhou¹, Brian Popko³, John A. Correia¹, Daniel S. Reich⁴, Georges El Fakhri¹, Peter Herscovitch⁵, Marc D. Normandin^{1,*} and Pedro Brugarolas^{1,*}

Affiliations:

¹ Gordon Center for Medical Imaging, Department of Radiology, Massachusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

² Spaulding Neuroimaging Lab, Spaulding Rehabilitation Hospital, Charlestown, MA, and Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA.

³ Department of Neurology, Northwestern Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL.

⁴ Translational Neuroradiology Section, National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

⁵ Positron Emission Tomography Department, NIH Clinical Center, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD.

* To whom correspondence should be addressed: <u>normandin@mgh.harvard.edu</u>, <u>pbrugarolas@mgh.harvard.edu</u>

Contents

| Торіс | Display item(s) | Page |
|---|--------------------|------|
| Supplemental Methods | | S3 |
| Supplemental Tables | | S12 |
| Table S1. Percent inhibition at 10 μ M concentration (n = 4) | S1 | S12 |
| Table S2. 2T4k regional V_T values measured in Monkey 3 and Monkey 4 for baseline studies. | S2 | S13 |
| Table S3. 2T4k regional V_T values measured in Monkey 4 for baseline studies and | S3 | S13 |
| for studies with co-injection of unlabeled 3F4AP at doses of 0.75, 1.25, 2.5 and 4 mg/kg | | |
| Table S3. Comprehensive imaging metrics for the observed lesion and | S4 | S14 |
| corresponding contralateral ROI. SD is the standard deviation calculated among voxels within the ROI | | |
| Sumlemental Figures | | S15 |
| Figure S1. Short axis, horizontal long axis and vertical long axis views of the heart of Monkey 3 | S 1 | S15 |
| Figure S2. Image derived plasma curves (ID PL) obtained from the left ventricular chamber of Monkey 3 and arterial plasma curves (ART PL) obtained from blood sampling measurements plotted on the same graphs for comparison for baseline scan 1 (A) and baseline scan 2 (B). | S2 | S16 |
| Figure S3: Comparison of [18 F]3F4AP with other PET radiotracers and imaging modalities zoomed on the lesion | S3 | S17 |
| Supplemental References | | S18 |

SUPPLEMENTARY METHODS

Whole-body dynamic PET/CT imaging of rhesus macaques

Each animal underwent a whole-body (3-bed position) dynamic scan for 4h. CT scan was acquired before the PET acquisition for attenuation correction of PET images. Emission PET data were acquired in threedimensional (3D) list mode for 240 min following injection of [18 F]3F4AP. [18 F]3F4AP was administered via the cubital vein over a 1-minute infusion and was followed by a saline flush. Injected PET tracer activities at the time of injection were 181.3 and 174.3 MBq. Dynamic PET data were reconstructed using a 3D Time-of-Flight OSEM algorithm. Data were framed into dynamic series consisting of 30 frames of 2×15 , 4×30 , 8×60 , 8×120 , and 8×240 s per bed position. Final reconstructed images had matrix size of $400\times400\times411$ and voxel sizes of $1.45\times1.45\times1.45$ mm³.

Human radiation dosimetry estimation

The main organs were delineated based on the CT and PET images using manual and automated methods. Radioactivity concentration was obtained for each organ at every time point and uncorrected for decay. Non-decay corrected time-activity curves for the major organs and the whole body were extrapolated to 10 half-lives and the area under the curve calculated. OLINDA/EXM Radiation Dose Assessment software was used to estimate the doses to each organ and to the whole body.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging

Using a 3T Biograph mMR (Siemens Medical Systems), MRI was performed on Monkey 3 and Monkey 4 for anatomical reference and evaluation of lesion properties on Monkey 4. Data was acquired using the following sequences: a 3D structural T1-weighted multi-echo magnetization-prepared rapid gradient-echo (MEMPRAGE) sequence with repetition time (TR) =2,530 ms, echo time (TE) =1.69 ms, inversion time (TI) =1,100 ms; flip angle = 7°, voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³, matrix size = $256 \times 256 \times 176$, and number of averages= 4; a T2-weighted fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) sequence with TR/TE = 11,230/95 ms, TI = 2,500 ms, flip angle = 150° , voxel size = $0.86 \times 0.86 \times 1.4$ mm³, and matrix size $256 \times 256 \times 80$; a 3D fast low-angle shot (FLASH) sequence with TR/TE=34/6.15 ms, flip angle = 10° , voxel

size = $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$, and matrix size = $384 \times 512 \times 60$, with and without magnetisation transfer saturation; and a diffusion-weighted imaging sequence with 64 directions, TR/TE =6,400/110 ms, flip angle = 90° , voxel size = $2 \times 2 \times 2 \text{ mm}^3$, and matrix size = $110 \times 110 \times 38$. The T1-weighted imaging was performed using the MEMPRAGE sequence pre- and post-gadolinium injection.

Positron Emission Tomography imaging of rhesus macaques with [18F]3F4AP

Imaging procedures: Monkey 3 and Monkey 4 were scanned on a Discovery MI (GE Healthcare) PET/CT scanner. Each animal had two baseline scans which were separated by one month for Monkey 3 and by one year for Monkey 4. Monkey 4 had four other scans with different doses of unlabeled 3F4AP (0.75, 1.25, 2.5 and 4 mg/kg) co-injected with [18 F]3F4AP. CT scan was acquired before each PET acquisition for attenuation correction of PET images. Emission PET data were acquired in 3D list mode for at least 120 min and up to 180 minutes following injection of [18 F]3F4AP. [18 F]3F4AP was administered via the lateral saphenous vein over a 3-minute infusion and was followed by a 3-minute infusion of saline flush. For the pharmacokinetic evaluation in the monkey brain, a 3-minute infusion protocol was selected to allow adequate arterial sampling rate during rapid changes in blood concentration. All injections were performed using syringe pumps (Medfusion 3500). Arterial blood sampling was performed during all dynamic PET acquisition (see next section below). Injected PET tracer activities at the time of injection (tracer only) was 72.5 ± 36.7 GBq/µmol (range 30.9 – 120.3, n=4 measurements). Corresponding injected mass was 449.6 ± 236.6 ng (range 206.1 – 773.4, n=4 measurements).

In Monkey 4, the [¹⁸F]3F4AP scans revealed an incidental finding related to focal brain injury sustained three years prior to imaging (see Results section). In order to further investigate the nature of the injury, this monkey also underwent dynamic scans with [¹¹C]PiB and [¹¹C]PBR28, as well as a static [¹⁸F]FDG scan. Details about [¹¹C]PBR28, [¹¹C]PiB and [¹⁸F]FDG PET imaging and quantification are given in the next section.

Dynamic PET data were reconstructed using a fully 3D time-of-flight iterative reconstruction algorithm using 3 iterations and 34 subsets while applying corrections for scatter, attenuation, deadtime, random coincident events, detector normalization and incorporating point spread function measurements. For all dynamic scans, list mode data were framed into dynamic series of 6×10 sec, 8×15 sec, 6×30 sec, 8×60 sec, 8×120 sec and remaining were 300 sec frames. Final reconstructed images had voxel dimensions of $256 \times 256 \times 89$ and voxel sizes of $1.17 \times 1.17 \times 2.8$ mm³.

<u>Arterial blood sampling</u>: Arterial blood samples of 1 to 2 mL were drawn every 30 seconds immediately following radiotracer injection and decreased in frequency to every 30 minutes toward the end of the scan. [¹⁸F]3F4AP metabolism was measured from blood samples acquired at 5, 10, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120 and up to 180 minutes. An additional blood sample of 3 mL was drawn immediately prior to tracer injection in order to measure the plasma free fraction f_p of [¹⁸F]3F4AP (see below).

<u>Arterial blood processing</u>: Radioactivity concentration (in kBq/cc) was measured in whole-blood (WB) and subsequently in plasma (PL) following the centrifugation of WB. Collected WB samples were split into 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes that were first weighted empty before the experiment using a precision balance (METTLER TOLEDO model AE 100). The tubes containing WB were then weighted again and the net weight was recorded. Next, the tubes were placed in a calibrated well-counter to measure the radioactivity in WB. WB volume was calculated by dividing the net weight by the WB density (1.060 g/mL) and used to calculate the radioactivity concentrations in nCi/mL by dividing the measured radioactivity in WB by the volume of WB. Radioactivity concentration were subsequently converted to Bq/cc and decay corrected back to the time of radiotracer injection for consistency with the dynamic PET measurements. The WB tubes were then centrifuged (5 minutes at 1,500 g), the plasma extracted using transfer pipettes and transferred into new 1.5 mL Eppendorf tubes that had also been weighted empty beforehand. The process described above was then repeated to obtain radioactivity concentration in PL (in Bq/cc) except that a PL density of 1.025 g/ml was used to convert the net weight of PL into PL volume.

Radiometabolite analysis was performed using an automated column switching radio-HPLC (High Performance Liquid Chromatography) system ^{1, 2}. Briefly, arterial plasma was injected onto the column switching radio-HPLC and initially trapped on a catch column (Waters Oasis HLB 30 µm) using mobile phase consisting of 99:1 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8 in water: MeCN at 1.8 mL/min (Waters 515 pump). After 4 minutes, the catch column was backflushed with 95:5 10 mM ammonium bicarbonate pH 8 in water: MeCN at 1 mL/min (second Waters 515 pump) and directed onto a Waters XBridge BEH C18 (130 Å, 3.5 µm, 4.6 mm x 100 mm) analytical column. Eluent was collected in 1 minute intervals and subsequently assayed for radioactivity using a Wallac Wizard 2480 gamma counter. After background radioactivity subtraction, radioactivity eluting in the $[^{18}F]$ 3F4AP peak was divided by the total activity and multiplied by 100% for calculation of percent parent in plasma (%PP). Standardized uptake value (SUV) time courses of radioactivity in WB and in PL were generated by correcting absolute radioactivity concentrations (C [kBq/ml]) for subject body weight (BW [kg]) and injected dose (ID [MBq]): SUV=C/(ID/BW). %PP time course for both monkeys was fit to a single exponential decay plus a constant. Arterial input functions of $[^{18}F]$ 3F4AP radioactivity concentration in PL $C_P(t)$ were generated by correcting the total PL radioactivity concentration $C_P^{total}(t)$ for radiotracer metabolism using individual %PP(t): $C_P(t) = C_P^{total}(t) \times %PP(t)/100\%$. [¹⁸F]3F4AP metabolite-corrected arterial SUV time courses were generated. In addition, the plasma free fraction f_p of [¹⁸F]3F4AP was measured in triplicate by ultrafiltration as follows. Arterial plasma samples of 200 µL drawn before radiotracer injection were spiked with 444 kBq of [¹⁸F]3F4AP. Following a 15 min incubation period, radioactive plasma samples were loaded on ultrafiltration tubes (Millipore Centrifree) and centrifuged at 1500g for 15 min at room temperature. f_p was calculated as the ratio of free ultrafiltrate to plasma concentration and corrected for binding to the ultrafiltration tube membrane.

Image registration and processing: All PET processing was performed with an in-house developed Matlab software that uses the FMRIB Software Library (FSL) ³ for registration purposes. Individual brain MR and PET images were aligned into the MRI National Institute of Mental Health Macaque Template (NMT)

⁴ according to the following procedure. An early summed PET image (0-10 min post tracer injection) was rigidly co-registered to the animal's individual MEMPRAGE which was subsequently registered into the NMT template space using a 12-parameter affine transformation followed by non-linear warping. Rigid and affine transformations were performed using the FMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool (FLIRT) and non-linear warping was performed using the FMRIB's Non-Linear Image Registation Tool (FNIRT) in FSL. The transformation matrices were then combined and applied inversely on the rhesus atlases in order to warp all atlases into the native PET image space for extraction of TACs. Details on the atlases used in this work are provided below. Regional TACs were generated for the occipital cortex, parietal cortex, temporal cortex, frontal cortex, hippocampus, amygdala, striatum, thalamus, white matter and whole cerebellum.

MR data were processed in native space using FSL. After co-registration and alignment, signal from preand post-contrast T1-weighted images, T2-weighted image, ratio between T1- and T2-weighted images (T1/T2), and MTR were calculated. Diffusion data was processed using FMRIB's Diffusion Toolbox ⁵⁻⁷ with eddy current correction, and fractional anisotrophy (FA), mean diffusivity (MD), mode of anisotropy (MO) and radial diffusivity (RD) were calculated in Monkey 4. All calculations were performed for the lesion and the corresponding contralateral region for analysis.

<u>Anatomical atlases for ROI-based analysis</u>: Two sets of digital anatomical atlases were aligned to the NMT template space and used for ROI-based analysis and TACs extraction in this work. These were composite ROIs derived from the *Paxinos et al.* rhesus brain regions ⁸ previously aligned to the *McLaren et al.* rhesus MRI brain template ⁹ by Moirano et al. as well as composite ROIs derived from the INIA19 NeuroMaps rhesus atlas ¹⁰. Briefly, the T1-weighted average MR images of each template were transformed to the NMT template using a 12-parameter affine registration and non-linear warping both weighted on the brain (i.e. after skull stripping) and the transformation matrices were applied to the composite ROIs.

Assessment of Image derived input function (IDIF): In the two studies performed in Monkey 3, we tested the possibility of using an IDIF in lieu of arterial blood measurements. The animal was positioned such as dynamic images were acquired with both the brain and the heart in the field of view (FOV). The dynamic images were reoriented to display the heart in the standardized short axis view ¹¹ and a time activity curve (TAC) was extracted from the left ventricular (LV) chamber using an ellipsoidal ROI positioned in the basal portion (length of each minor axis=8mm, length of major axis=20 mm) (**Fig. S1**). This LV TAC was then corrected for the WB to PL radioactivity concentration ratio using a fix nominal value calculated as the mean WB/PL ratio derived from all studies (WB/PL = 1.05, **Fig. S2**). The obtained PL curve was then corrected for radiotracer metabolism using individual parent in plasma time course to derive the IDIF. The image-derived PL curves were compared to the arterial plasma curves obtained from blood sampling by visual assessment and by calculating the area under the PL curves. Measurements of V_T , the main outcome of interest in this work, obtained while using the IDIF were also compared to those obtained using the IF derived from arterial blood sampling.

Brain imaging studies with [¹¹C]PBR28, [¹¹C]PiB and [¹⁸F]FDG

Baseline [¹¹C]PBR28, [¹¹C]PiB, and [¹⁸F]FDG scans were acquired in Monkey 4 on the same PET/CT scanner as for [¹⁸F]3F4AP studies and following a similar experimental protocol. Images were reconstructed using the same iterative reconstruction algorithm and parameters. Image registration and processing were performed as described above for [¹⁸F]3F4AP.

<u> $[^{11}C]PBR28 imaging</u>$: Injected PET tracer activity at the time of injection was 179.5 MBq and molar activity (A_m) was 92.8 GBq/µmol. Total injected mass was 672.0 ng. Dynamic PET data were acquired for 120 min upon tracer injection. Acquired list mode data were framed into dynamic series of 6x10, 8x15, 6x30, 8x60, 8x120 and 18x300-s frames. Arterial blood sampling was performed during the dynamic PET acquisition because of the absence of a reference region devoid of specific binding that could be used to quantify brain uptake. Arterial blood sampling scheme was similar as for [¹⁸F]3F4AP studies and metabolism was measured from blood samples acquired at 3, 5, 8, 15, 30, 60, 90, 120 minutes.</u> Radiometabolite analysis was performed using our automated column switching radio-HPLC system. The 99:1 H₂O:MeCN mobile phase was used to trap the sample on the catch column during the first four minutes (flow rate = 1.8 mL/min) and a mobile phase of 60:40 0.1 M ammonium formate in water:MeCN was used to backflush the catch column and direct the sample onto the analytical column (flow rate = 1 mL/min). Eluent was collected in 1-minute intervals and subsequently assayed for radioactivity using a Wallac Wizard 1470 gamma counter.

[¹¹C]PBR28 signal was quantified using a one-tissue compartment model (K_1 - k_2), as in Imaizumi et al. ¹², with the vascular contribution of radioactivity in WB to the PET signal fixed to 5% (1T2kv). The regional total volume of distribution (V_T) ¹³ was calculated as K_1/k_2 . Parametric map of V_T was calculated using the Logan graphical method with a 65-min t^* ¹⁴.

 $f^{11}C]PiB$ imaging: Injected PET tracer activity at the time of injection was 198.1 MBq and molar activity (A_m) was 70.0 GBq/µmol. Total injected mass was 725.2 ng. Dynamic PET data were acquired for 120 min upon tracer injection. Acquired list mode data were framed into dynamic series of 6x10, 8x15, 6x30, 8x60, 8x120s and 18x300-s frames. [¹¹C]PiB signal was quantified using Logan Distribution Volume Ratio (DVR) ¹⁵ and a 30-min *t**. The relative delivery R_1 was calculated using the simplified reference tissue model (SRTM) ¹⁶. The cerebellar gray matter was used as a reference region (i.e. devoid of specific binding to amyloid) following widespread convention¹⁷.

 $[^{18}F]FDG imaging$: Injected PET tracer activity at the time of injection was 438.7 MBq. Static PET images were acquired at one-hour post-tracer injection for 6 min. [¹⁸F]FDG PET signal was measured using Standard Uptake Value (SUV) and SUV map was generated. SUV is a semiquantitative measure of the tracer uptake that normalizes the measured tracer activity in the image to the injected activity and total body weight and was calculated as SUV= [$C_{PET} \ge BW \ge 1000$]/*ID*, where C_{PET} is the measured tracer concentration in PET image in Bq/cc, *BW* is the body weight in kg and *ID* is the injected dose in Bq at the time of imaging.

Description of kinetic parameters and outcome measure for signal quantification

For quantification using compartment models describing reversible tracer kinetics, we followed the consensus nomenclature for imaging of reversibly binding radiotracers ¹³. Our primary outcome of interest was the macro-parameter V_T which represents the total volume of distribution (the equilibrium ratio of tracer in tissue relative to plasma which is linearly related to tracer binding to the target). In the one-tissue compartment model, the total volume of distribution is calculated as $V_T = K_I/k_2$ where K_I is the rate constant for transfer of tracer from arterial plasma to tissue and k_2 represents the efflux rate constant from tissue to plasma. Conversely, in the two-tissue compartment model, the total volume of distribution is calculated as $V_T = K_1/k_2(1 + k_3/k_4)$ where K_1 is the rate of transfer from plasma to the non-displaceable compartment in tissue, k_2 represents the efflux from the non-displaceable compartment back to plasma, k_3 is the rate constant describing tracer binding from the non-displaceable compartment to the specifically bound compartment, and k_4 the rate constant representing the unbinding process from the specific compartment back to the non-displaceable compartment. The microparameter K_1 is in unit of mL/min/cc while k_2 , k_3 and k_4 have unit of min⁻¹. Alternatively, the parameter k_2 may represent the efflux rate constant from a free tissue compartment to plasma, while k_3 may represent binding to a non-specific binding compartment and k_4 unbinding from nonspecifically bound to free compartment. It should be noted that such situation is mathematically indistinguishable from the aforementioned case, where k_3 and k_4 represent specific binding and unbinding processes. For quantification using the Logan graphical analysis method with reference region input function ¹⁵, the Distribution Volume Ratio (DVR) is directly estimated and represents the ratio of total volume distribution in the target region and total volume distribution in the reference region $[DVR = V_T(target)/V_T(reference region)]$. The relative delivery R_I represents the ratio of rate constants K_I for transfer of tracer from arterial plasma to tissue in the target region and reference region $[R_1 =$ $K_l(\text{target})/K_l(\text{reference region})].$

Statistical analysis

All data are expressed as mean value \pm one standard deviation (SD) unless otherwise specified. Agreement between methods was assessed by computing the average measured intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)

among methods or models by use of a two-way mixed-effects model with absolute agreement definition. ICC values were presented along with the 95% confidence interval (CI95%). The AIC was used to assess the relative goodness of fit between compartment models ¹⁸. AIC weights ¹⁹ were computed to evaluate the probability of one model being preferred over the other candidates. The coefficient of determination R^2 and *t* distribution of the Fisher transformation were used to generate *p* values for linear regressions and to assess correlation between V_T and K_I . A paired t-test was used to compare the mean V_T values across scans between lesion and contralateral side. For analyses related to Table 4 summarizing the MR imaging findings and corresponding metrics, unpaired t-test were used to calculate *t* and *p* values and Cohens's *d* effect size was calculated. A *p* value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. All outliers were included in the analysis, and no data were excluded.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

| RECEPTOR | 5-HT1A | 5-HT _{1B} | 5-HT _{1D} | 5-HT _{1E} | 5-HT _{2A} | 5-HT _{2B} | 5-HT _{2C} | 5-HT3 | Alpha _{1A} | Alpha _{1B} | Alpha _{1D} | Alpha _{2A} | Alpha _{2C} |
|----------|--------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 4AP | -1.3 | 10.8 | 1.8 | 6.5 | 7.0 | 1.1 | -0.3 | 5.8 | -13.2 | -9.3 | -0.8 | 60.3 | 16.0 |
| 3F4AP | -12.2 | 17.8 | 2.9 | 7.0 | -3.9 | -6.7 | 5.2 | 5.5 | 12.2 | 1.1 | 7.1 | 26.5 | 9.4 |

Table S1. Percent inhibition at 10 μ M concentration (n = 4)

| RECEPTOR | Beta ₁ | Beta2 | Beta ₃ | BZP rat | D2 | D3 | D4 | DAT | DOR | GABAA | H2 | Н3 | H4 |
|----------|-------------------|-------|-------------------|---------|-------|------|------|------|------|-------|------|-----|------|
| 4AP | 15.2 | -5.4 | 37.0 | 28.6 | -14.7 | 7.2 | 7.2 | 13.6 | -5.0 | 8.6 | 53.8 | 0.7 | 1.5 |
| 3F4AP | 17.9 | -4.2 | 10.3 | 29.2 | -9.7 | -1.8 | 16.5 | 42.8 | -5.3 | 8.6 | 55.9 | 5.6 | 32.7 |

| RECEPTOR | HERG | KOR | M1 | M2 | M4 | M5 | MOR | NET | PBR | SERT | Sigma 1 | Sigma 2 |
|----------|------|------|-------|-----|------|------|------|-------|------|------|---------|---------|
| 4AP | -5.0 | 6.6 | -15.1 | 4.8 | 34.0 | 37.7 | -0.3 | 8.5 | 14.5 | 5.8 | 10.5 | -5.8 |
| 3F4AP | -5.3 | 12.3 | -16.1 | 0.9 | 15.6 | 19.6 | -5.1 | -26.1 | 16.5 | 10.5 | 1.3 | 13.4 |

Abbreviations: 5-HT_{1A}: 5-hydroxytryptamine (serotonin) 1A receptor; 5-HT_{1B}: 5-hydroxytryptamine 1B receptor; 5-HT_{1D}: 5-hydroxytryptamine 1D receptor; 5-HT_{1E}: 5-hydroxytryptamine 1E receptor; 5-HT_{2A}: 5-hydroxytryptamine 2A receptor; 5-HT_{2B}: 5-hydroxytryptamine 2B receptor; 5-HT_{2C}: 5-hydroxytryptamine 2C receptor; 5-HT₃: 5-hydroxytryptamine 3 receptor; Alpha1A: alpha-1A adrenergic receptor; Alpha1B: alpha-BA adrenergic receptor; Alpha1D: alpha-1D adrenergic receptor; Alpha2A: alpha-2A adrenergic receptor; Alpha2C: alpha-2C adrenergic receptor; Beta1: beta-1 adrenergic receptor; Beta2: beta-2 adrenergic receptor; Beta3: beta-3 adrenergic receptor; BZP rat: benzylpiperazine rat brain site; D2: dopamine receptor D2; D3: dopamine receptor D3; D4: dopamine receptor D4; DAT: dopamine transporter; DOR: delta opioid receptor; GABA_A: GABA_A receptor; H2: histamine H2 receptor; H3: histamine H3 receptor; M1: muscarinic acetyl choline receptor M1; M2: muscarinic acetyl choline receptor M2; M4: muscarinic acetyl choline receptor M2; M5: muscarinic acetyl choline receptor M5; MOR: μ-opioid receptor; NET: norephinephrine transporter; PBR: peripheral benzodiazepine receptor (Translocator protein); SERT: serotonin transporter; Sigma 1: sigma-1 receptor; Sigma 2: sigma-2 receptor.

| POL | Mon | key 3 | Mon | key 4 | | 60 | COV (%) |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------|---------|---------|
| KOI | Baseline 1 | Baseline 2 | Baseline 1 | Baseline 2 | mean | 30 | |
| Cerebellum | 2.17 | 2.00 | 1.94 | 2.05 | 2.04 | 0.10 | 4.73 |
| Occipital cortex | 2.34 | 2.23 | 2.21 | 2.24 | 2.26 | 0.06 | 2.52 |
| Parietal cortex | 2.52 | 2.25 | 2.27 | 2.31 | 2.34 | 0.13 | 5.39 |
| Temporal cortex | 2.80 | 2.45 | 2.31 | 2.42 | 2.49 | 0.21 | 8.55 |
| Frontal cortex | 2.62 | 2.35 | 2.36 | 2.40 | 2.43 | 0.13 | 5.18 |
| Hippocampus | 2.68 | 2.56 | 2.23 | 2.59 | 2.51 | 0.20 | 7.83 |
| Striatum | 2.58 | 2.42 | 2.29 | 2.50 | 2.45 | 0.13 | 5.14 |
| Thalamus | 2.24 | 2.07 | 1.95 | 2.21 | 2.12 | 0.14 | 6.45 |
| Amygdala | 3.08 | 2.80 | 2.48 | 2.59 | 2.74 | 0.27 | 9.71 |
| White matter | 2.01 | 1.89 | 1.82 | 1.93 | 1.91 | 0.08 | 4.07 |
| Whole brain | 2.29 | 2.16 | 2.10 | 2.18 | 2.18 | 0.08 | 3.63 |
| | | | | | | Mean | 5.96 |
| | | | | | | St.dev. | 2.07 |

Table S2. 2T4k regional V_T values measured in Monkey 3 and Monkey 4 for baseline studies.

Table S3. 2T4k regional V_T values measured in Monkey 4 for baseline studies and for studies with coinjection of unlabeled 3F4AP at doses of 0.75, 1.25, 2.5 and 4 mg/kg.

| ROI | Baseline 1 | Baseline 2 | 0.75 mg/kg | 1.25 mg/kg | 2.5 mg/kg | 4 mg/kg |
|------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|---------|
| Cerebellum | 1.94 | 2.05 | 2.04 | 2.44 | 2.14 | 2.37 |
| Occipital cortex | 2.21 | 2.24 | 2.27 | 2.70 | 2.40 | 2.52 |
| Parietal cortex | 2.27 | 2.31 | 2.29 | 2.66 | 2.45 | 2.56 |
| Temporal cortex | 2.31 | 2.42 | 2.45 | 2.90 | 2.62 | 2.66 |
| Frontal cortex | 2.36 | 2.40 | 2.44 | 2.79 | 2.47 | 2.60 |
| Hippocampus | 2.23 | 2.59 | 2.39 | 2.79 | 2.57 | 2.73 |
| Striatum | 2.29 | 2.50 | 2.34 | 2.79 | 2.44 | 2.57 |
| Thalamus | 1.95 | 2.21 | 2.08 | 2.49 | 2.17 | 2.46 |
| Amygdala | 2.48 | 2.59 | 2.67 | 3.10 | 2.77 | 2.95 |
| White matter | 1.82 | 1.93 | 1.87 | 2.19 | 1.97 | 2.15 |
| Whole brain | 2.10 | 2.18 | 2.18 | 2.53 | 2.28 | 2.42 |

Table S4. Comprehensive imaging metrics for the observed lesion and corresponding contralateral ROI.SD is the standard deviation calculated among voxels within the ROI.

| Scan | Lesion (SD) | Contralateral (SD) | t | р | Signal change (%) | Cohens d |
|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|------|---------|-------------------|----------|
| T1 _{Pre} | 286 (62.8) | 315 (33.3) | 4.3 | <0.001 | -9.0 | 0.6 |
| T1 _{Post} | 321 (45.7) | 322 (35.7) | 0.2 | n.s | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| T1 _{Post-Pre} | 35.1 (38.1) | 7.03 (34.3) | 6.3 | <0.0001 | 400.0 | 0.8 |
| Т2 | 339 (47.3) | 395 (29.2) | 11.6 | <0.0001 | -14.0 | 1.4 |
| T1/T2 | 0.854 (0.209) | 0.804 (0.126) | 2.3 | 0.02 | 6.2 | 0.3 |
| MTR | 0.359 (0.0614) | 0.422 (0.0333) | 10.4 | <0.0001 | -15.0 | 1.3 |
| FA | 0.197 (0.0578) | 0.209 (0.0920) | 0.4 | 0.6847 | -5.7 | 0.2 |
| MD | 0.000599 (0.000142) | 0.000668 (0.000062) | 1.7 | 0.1077 | -10.3 | 0.6 |
| MO | 0.244 (0.594) | 0.607(0.442) | 1.8 | 0.0775 | -59.9 | 0.7 |
| L1 | 0.000718(0.000166) | 0.000823 (0.000095) | 2.1 | 0.0501 | -12.8 | 0.8 |
| RD | 0.000539 (0.000135) | 0.00059 (0.000072) | 1.2 | 0.2234 | -8.6 | 0.5 |
| [¹⁸ F]3F4AP | 3.13 (0.29) | 2.29 (0.18) | 15.6 | <0.0001 | 37.0 | 3.4 |
| [¹¹ C]PBR28 | 17.04 (5.21) | 23.60 (4.65) | 10.8 | <0.0001 | -28.0 | 1.3 |
| [¹¹ C]PiB | 0.87 (0.16) | 0.99 (0.12) | 6.9 | <0.0001 | -12.0 | 0.8 |
| [¹⁸ F]FDG | 3.29 (0.39) | 3.92 (0.40) | 12.9 | <0.0001 | -16.0 | 1.6 |

MTR magnetization transfer ratio, FA fractional anisotropy, MD mean diffusivity, MO mode of anisotropy, L1

axial diffusivity, RD radial diffusivity

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES



Figure S1: Short axis, horizontal long axis and vertical long axis views of the heart of Monkey 3.

Summed PET images of early frames (4-10 minutes) are shown with superimposed CT images for anatomical information. ROI for extraction of the TAC in the basal portion of the LV chamber is shown in yellow.



Figure S2: Image derived plasma curves (ID PL) obtained from the left ventricular chamber of Monkey 3 and arterial plasma curves (ART PL) obtained from blood sampling measurements plotted on the same graphs for comparison for baseline scan 1 (A) and baseline scan 2 (B).



Figure S3: **Comparison of** [¹⁸**F**]**3F4AP with other PET radiotracers and imaging modalities zoomed in on the lesion.** CT, MRI (MEMPRAGE, T2-FLAIR and MTR) and parametric PET images ([¹⁸F]3F4AP, [¹⁸F]FDG [¹¹C]PBR28 and [¹¹C]PiB) of Monkey 4. MRI showed encephalomalacia (low T2-FLAIR signal) and gliosis (high T2-FLAIR signal) in the site of injury.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- 1. Collier T, Normandin M, El Fakhri G, Vasdev N. Automation of column-switching HPLC for analysis of radiopharmaceuticals and their metabolites in plasma. *Society of Nuclear Medicine Annual Meeting Abstracts* 2013; 54: 1133.
- 2. Hilton J, Yokoi F, Dannals RF, Ravert HT, Szabo Z, Wong DF. Column-switching HPLC for the analysis of plasma in PET imaging studies. *Nucl Med Biol* 2000; 27(6): 627-30.
- 3. Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Smith SM. Fsl. *NeuroImage* 2012; 62(2): 782-90.
- 4. Seidlitz J, Sponheim C, Glen D, Ye FQ, Saleem KS, Leopold DA *et al.* A population MRI brain template and analysis tools for the macaque. *NeuroImage* 2018; 170: 121-131.
- 5. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Woolrich MW, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, Johansen-Berg H *et al.* Advances in functional and structural MR image analysis and implementation as FSL. *Neuroimage* 2004; 23 Suppl 1: S208-19.
- 6. Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, Nunes RG, Clare S *et al.* Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2003; 50(5): 1077-88.
- 7. Andersson JLR, Sotiropoulos SN. An integrated approach to correction for off-resonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. *Neuroimage* 2016; 125: 1063-1078.
- 8. Paxinos G, Huang XF, Toga AW. *The rhesus monkey brain in stereotaxic coordinates*, Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2000.
- 9. McLaren DG, Kosmatka KJ, Oakes TR, Kroenke CD, Kohama SG, Matochik JA *et al.* A population-average MRI-based atlas collection of the rhesus macaque. *NeuroImage* 2009; 45(1): 52-9.
- 10. Rohlfing T, Kroenke CD, Sullivan EV, Dubach MF, Bowden DM, Grant KA *et al.* The INIA19 Template and NeuroMaps Atlas for Primate Brain Image Parcellation and Spatial Normalization. *Front Neuroinform* 2012; 6: 27.
- 11. Cerqueira MD, Weissman NJ, Dilsizian V, Jacobs AK, Kaul S, Laskey WK *et al.* Standardized myocardial segmentation and nomenclature for tomographic imaging of the heart. A statement for healthcare professionals from the Cardiac Imaging Committee of the Council on Clinical Cardiology of the American Heart Association. *J Nucl Cardiol* 2002; 9(2): 240-5.
- 12. Imaizumi M, Briard E, Zoghbi SS, Gourley JP, Hong J, Fujimura Y *et al.* Brain and whole-body imaging in nonhuman primates of [11C]PBR28, a promising PET radioligand for peripheral benzodiazepine receptors. *Neuroimage* 2008; 39(3): 1289-98.

- 13. Innis RB, Cunningham VJ, Delforge J, Fujita M, Gjedde A, Gunn RN *et al.* Consensus nomenclature for in vivo imaging of reversibly binding radioligands. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2007; 27(9): 1533-9.
- 14. Logan J, Fowler JS, Volkow ND, Wolf AP, Dewey SL, Schlyer DJ *et al.* Graphical analysis of reversible radioligand binding from time-activity measurements applied to [N-11C-methyl]-(-)-cocaine PET studies in human subjects. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1990; 10(5): 740-7.
- 15. Logan J, Fowler JS, Volkow ND, Wang GJ, Ding YS, Alexoff DL. Distribution volume ratios without blood sampling from graphical analysis of PET data. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1996; 16(5): 834-40.
- 16. Lammertsma AA, Hume SP. Simplified reference tissue model for PET receptor studies. *Neuroimage* 1996; 4(3 Pt 1): 153-8.
- 17. McNamee RL, Yee SH, Price JC, Klunk WE, Rosario B, Weissfeld L *et al.* Consideration of optimal time window for Pittsburgh compound B PET summed uptake measurements. *Journal of nuclear medicine : official publication, Society of Nuclear Medicine* 2009; 50(3): 348-55.
- 18. Akaike H. A new look at the statistical model identification. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control* 1974; 19(6): 716-723.
- 19. Burnham KP, Burnham KP. *Model selection and multi-model inference : a practical information-theoretic approach*, 2nd edn Springer: New York, 2002.