

Supplementary Figure 1: Tumor, Stromal and Perinuclear STING expression in breast cancer

a) Percentage positive cells for stromal, tumor and perinuclear STING in ER positive and ER negative tumors in discovery dataset. **b**) Distribution of tumor and stromal expression of STING where + represents > median expression and - represents < median expression. Median is calculated on whole dataset expression. **c**) Immunofluorescence images of MDA436-EV cells treated with either control or 2'3' cGAMP (10 μ g/ml) for 60 minutes. Scale bar = 200 μ m. **d**) Unique expression of tumor or perinuclear-only STING in all cases, ER positive and ER negative breast tumors where + represents > median expression and - represents < median expression. Median expression. Median is calculated on whole dataset expression and - represents < median expression.



Supplementary Figure 2: pnSTING IHC score predicts outcome in ER+ breast cancer

Kaplan Meier Curve of overall survival (OS) stratified based on high (above median) or low (below median) of STING expression in the **a**) stromal compartment, **b**) tumour epithelial compartment or **c**) perinuclear region. Kaplan Meier Curve of overall survival (OS) stratified based on high (above median of all cases) or low (below median of all cases) of STING expression in the perinuclear region in **d**) ER positive (ER+) and **e**) ER negative (ER-) cases. Kaplan Meier Curve of Relapse Free Survival (RFS) stratified based on high (above median) or low (below median) of STING expression in the perinuclear region in St Gallen subtypes: **f**) ER+/HER2-/Ki67-, **g**) ER+/HER2-/Ki67+, **h**) ER+/HER2+/Ki67+, **i**) ER-/HER2+ and **j**) ER-/PR-/HER2- (TNBC). Kaplan Meier Curve of Relapse Free Survival (RFS) stratified based on high (above median) or low (below median) of STING expression in **k**) ER+/HER2-/Ki67+/-, **l**) ER+/- (HER2+and **m**) Non TNBC. **n**) Stacked bar chart of the percentage of ER+ patients stratified based on high (above median) or low (below median) of STING expression in the perinuclear region based on high (above median) or low (below median) of STING expression in the perinuclear region in **k**) ER+/HER2-/Ki67+/-, **l**) ER+/- (HER2+and **m**) Non TNBC. **n**) Stacked bar chart of the percentage of ER+ patients stratified based on high (above median) or low (below median) of STING expression in the perinuclear region based on clinical attributes: Herceptin Treatment (left panel), Hormone Therapy (right panel).







a-c) IHC images of STING staining in samples where stromal regions are positive for STING. Magnification x 40. STING expression cannot be delineated within stromal regions. **d**) Expression of stromal fibroblast gene expression signature in the discovery dataset, ER+ and ER- disease as shown. pnSTINGhigh v low in both: p = 0.528; in ER+ p = 0.0895; in ER- p = 0.0750.



Supplementary Figure 4: pnSTING immune correlates in ER+ and ER- breast cancer

a) Heatmap of normalized expression measured by IHC of perinuclear STING, stroma STING, tumor STING, CD3, CD4, CD68, CD45RO, CD163, FOXP3, CD8, CD20, Ki-67, PD-L1 measured by SP263 and SP142, TIM3, IDO1 and ICOS in ER+ breast cancer cases. Expression was quantified in the stroma compartment (S), tumor epithelial compartment (T) or whole core (WC) as indicated. **b**) Heatmap of normalized expression measured by IHC of perinuclear STING, stroma STING, tumor STING, CD3, CD4, CD68, CD45RO, CD163, FOXP3, CD8, CD20, Ki-67, PD-L1 measured by SP263 and SP142, TIM3, IDO1 and ICOS in ER- breast cancer cases. Expression was quantified in the stroma compartment (S), tumor epithelial compartment (T) or whole core (WC) as indicated. **b**) Heatmap of normalized expression measured by IHC of perinuclear STING, stroma STING, tumor STING, CD3, CD4, CD68, CD45RO, CD163, FOXP3, CD8, CD20, Ki-67, PD-L1 measured by SP263 and SP142, TIM3, IDO1 and ICOS in ER- breast cancer cases. Expression was quantified in the stroma compartment (S), tumor epithelial compartment (T) or whole core (WC) as indicated. **c**) Heatmap of normalized immune scores derived from deconvolution of microarray data in ER- breast cancer cases. Correlation between markers and perinuclear STING stratified based on high (above median) and low (below median) using the Krushall Wallis test on non-transformed data with *, ** and *** indicating p values of < 0.05, < 0.01 and < 0.001 respectively.



Supplementary Figure 5: pnSTING^{low} gene expression signature in ER negative breast cancer Kaplan Meier Curve analysis of relapse free survival (RFS - months) in **a**) ER positive (ER+), **b**) ER negative (ER-) and **c**) all samples with STING IHC data from discovery dataset stratified by pnSTING signature score. Kaplan Meier Curve analysis of overall survival (months) in ER- disease from the **d**) METABRIC **e**) TCGA 2012 and **f**) Wang datasets.



Supplementary Figure 6: Clincopathological and molecular characteristics of pnSTING^{*high*} *and* ^{-*low*} *breast cancers*

METABRIC and TCGA ER+ cohort data stratified by pnSTING signature score for **a**) Cellularity **b**) ER status **c**) PR status **d**) HER2 status **e**) HER2 status by SNP6 measurement **f**) histological subtype. **g**) Patient outcomes. **h**) Nottingham prognostic index score (all METABRIC) **i**) Mutational load (TCGA) **j**) PAM50 and Claudin low classified subgroups **k**) 3-gene classifier (METABRIC) **I**) Integrative Clusters **m**) CN clusters **n**) RPPA **o**) miRNA clusters and **p**) Methylation clusters (TCGA).

	۱
2	1
а	
•	

	pn STING	IFI16	NLRP3	DDX58	AIM2	IFN sig
pn STING		1.51E-04	8.35E-03	8.84E-04	2.41E-04	2.4E-06
IFI16	1.51E-04		1.86E-02	9.00E-06	2.08E-04	3.9E-06
NLRP3	8.35E-03	0.0186		0.685	0.0521	0.186
DDX58	8.84E-04	9.00E-06	0.685		0.0534	1.9E-05
AIM2	2.41E-04	2.08E-04	0.052	0.0534		2.3E-05
IFN sig	2.36E-06	3.89E-06	0.186	1.90E-05	2.25E-05	

pn STING	IFI16	NLRP3	DDX58	AIM2	IFN sig
	0.344	0.357	0.254	0.0493	0.354
0.344		0.619	0.025	2.25E-05	3.24E-06
0.357	0.619		0.285	0.0787	0.278
0.254	0.025	0.285		0.0184	0.017
0.049	2.25E-05	0.079	0.018		1.38E-06
0.354	3.24E-06	0.278	0.017	1.38E-06	
	pn STING 0.344 0.357 0.254 0.049 0.354	pnSTING IFI16 0.344 0.344 0.357 0.619 0.254 0.025 0.049 2.25E-05 0.354 3.24E-06	pn STING IFI16 NLRP3 0.344 0.357 0.344 0.619 0.357 0.619 0.254 0.025 0.285 0.049 2.25E-05 0.079 0.354 3.24E-06 0.278	pnSTING IFI16 NLRP3 DDX58 0.344 0.357 0.254 0.344 0.619 0.025 0.357 0.619 0.285 0.254 0.025 0.285 0.049 2.25E-05 0.079 0.018 0.354 3.24E-06 0.278 0.017	pn STING IF116 NLRP3 DDX58 AIM2 0.344 0.357 0.254 0.0493 0.344 0.619 0.025 2.25E-05 0.357 0.619 0.285 0.0787 0.254 0.025 0.285 0.0184 0.049 2.25E-05 0.079 0.018 0.049 2.25E-05 0.079 0.018 0.354 3.24E-06 0.278 0.017 1.38E-06







Supplementary Figure 7: mTOR expression and pnSTING correlations

p values for calculated correlations in **a**) ER positive (left table) and **b**) ER negative (right table) breast cancers for pnSTING values, gene expression of cytosolic DNA and RNA sensors and interferon signaling. Non-significant values are indicated in blue. Representative IHC images of breast cancer samples with **c**) high, **d**) medium, **e**) low and **f**) absent mTOR signaling using phospho-specific mTOR antibody (Ser2448). Magnification x 40, Inset x 10. **g**) Percentage of ER+ cases with copy number alteration overall in the TCGA dataset.

b)



Supplementary Figure 8: Transcription factor analysis of STING1 using ENCODE database MYC and MAX predicted binding sites on STING1. (Note: STING1 is encoded on the negative strand and therefore is viewed from right to left.)

Supplementary Table 1: Clinicopathological details of pnSTING scored cohort

Characteristics (N=156)	N	%
Tumor Grade		
G1	1	0.6
G2	51	32.7
G3	104	66.7
pN Stage (nodal involvement)		
NO	68	43.6
N1mi	2	1.3
N1	50	32.1
N3	21	23.5
N3	15	9.5
pT stage		
T1	31	19.9
T2	93	59.6
Т3	27	17.3
T4	5	3.2
Histological Type		
Ductal (no special type)	128	82
Lobular (no special type)	14	9
Mixed ductal and lobular	11	7.1
Other	3	1.9
ER status		
Positive	92	59
Negative	64	41

ER: Estrogen receptor; pT: pathological tumor stage; pN: pathological lymph node stage.

Supplementary Table 2: STING expression and relapse free survival in discovery cohort (ER+ and ER- breast cancer)

Relapse Free Survival		N(n)	HR	95% CI	p-value
		156 (37)		Univariate	
STING	low	78 (23)	1		
Stroma	high	78 (14)	0.5528	0.29-1.054	0.0899
STING	low	78 (23)	1		
Tumor	high	78 (14)	0.5543	0.2908-1.057	0.0773
STING	low	78 (26)	1		
Perinuclear	high	78 (11)	0.4046	0.2104-0.778	0.0096**

HR: Hazard ratio, CI: Confidence interval; n: events

Supplementary Table 3: STING expression and relapse free survival in ER+ and ER- breast cancer

ER+		N(n)	HR	95% CI	p-value
Relapse Free Survival		92 (19)		Univariate	
STING	low	50 (16)	1		
Perinuclear	high	42 (3)	0.1955	0.0795 - 0.4805	0.0038**
				Multivariate	
STING	low	50 (16)	1		
Perinuclear	high	42 (3)	0.2067	0.0588-0.7265	0.014*
ER-					
Relapse Free Survival 64 (17) Univariat		Univariate			
STING	low	27 (8)	1		
Perinuclear	high	36 (9)	0.8098	0.3089-2.123	0.6633

Multivariate in context of age (<40, 40-49, 50-59, >60), T status (1, 2, 3,4) and Lymph node (pos/neg). No other factor was significant.

Supplementary Table 4: Relapse free survival stratified by pnSTING (low/high) in consensus breast subgroups

		N(n)	HR	95% CI	p-value
Relapse Free Survival				Univariate	
ER+/HER2-/Ki67-	low	22 (5)	1		
	high	20 (0)	0.1304#	0.0022-0.7568	0.0232*
ER+/HER2-/Ki67+	low	19 (7)	1		
	high	13 (2)	0.3570	0.0959-1.329	0.1776
ER+/HER2+/Ki67+	low	9 (4)	1		
	high	9 (1)	0.2347	0.0406-1.356	0.1574
ER-/HER2+	low	13 (5)	1		
	high	10 (2)	0.4320	0.0981-1.903	0.3005
ER-/PR/HER2-	low	14 (3)	1		
	high	26 (7)	1.327	0.3656-4.818	0.6805
ER+/HER2-/Ki67+/-	low	65 (23)	1		
	high	56 (8)	0.3729	0.1843-0.7542	0.0121*
ER+/-/HER2+	low	22(9)	1		
	high	19 (3)	0.3196	0.1030-0.9912	0.0703
Non-ER-/PR-/HER2-	low	87 (32)	1		
	high	75 (11)	0.3573	0.1965-0.6497	0.0020*

*Mantel-Haenszel HR reported

Supplementary Table 5: Associations of immunohistochemistry immune markers with ER+ and ERbreast cancer

Perinuclear STING high vs low	Compartment	ER+ p-value	ER+ adjusted p-value	Pearson R	ER- p- value	ER- adjusted p-value	Pearson R
CD3	Stromal	0.021	0.04	0.261	0.037	0.20	0.201
	Tumoral	0.73	0.73	0.164	0.18	0.36	0.227
	Whole core	0.15	0.25	0.219	0.098	0.26	0.230
CD4	Stromal	0.017	0.0036	0.102	0.057	0.26	0.199
	Tumoral	0.00095	0.0028	0.037	0.96	0.96	0.002
	Whole core	0.00012	0.00077	0.136	0.085	0.26	0.182
CD8	Stromal	0.000087	0.00077	0.256	0.23	0.38	0.307
	Tumoral	0.00049	0.0020	0.030	0.33	0.46	0.219
	Whole core	0.000091	0.0077	0.180	0.24	0.38	0.272
CD45RO		0.00011	0.0077	0.554	0.093	0.26	0.164
CD20	Stromal	0.52	0.57	0.412	0.48	0.61	0.125
	Tumoral	0.15	0.25	0.261	0.88	0.92	0.188
	Whole core	0.21	0.28	0.003	0.8	0.88	0.077
CD68	Stromal	0.1	0.19	0.085	0.23	0.38	0.202
	Tumoral	0.36	0.43	-0.030	0.008	0.16	0.418
	Whole core	0.24	0.31	0.035	0.064	0.26	0.272
CD163	Stromal	0.7	0.72	0.032	0.08	0.26	0.344
	Tumoral	0.65	0.69	-0.042	0.17	0.36	0.132
	Whole core	0.32	0.39	-0.018	0.034	0.20	0.358
FOXP3	-	0.18	0.27	0.156	0.72	0.85	0.200
Ki67	Stromal	0.21	0.28	-0.005	0.89	0.92	0.152
	Tumoral	0.2	0.28	0.023	0.75	0.86	0.011
	Whole core	0.17	0.27	-0.028	0.71	0.85	-0.011
ТІМЗ		0.47	0.54	0.038	0.46	0.61	0.180
IDO1		0.00018	0.00096	0.194	0.3	0.44	0.380
ICOS		0.000062	0.00077	0.141	0.013	0.16	0.327
PD-L1 (SP142)	Stromal	0.00092	0.0028	0.120	0.015	0.16	0.180
	Tumoral	0.0024	0.0059	0.092	0.24	0.38	0.336
	Whole core	0.0003	0.0014	0.123	0.11	0.27	0.195
PD-L1 (SP263)	Stromal	0.00081	0.0027	0.174	0.025	0.20	0.239
	Tumoral	0.0083	0.02	0.048	0.28	0.43	0.231
	Whole core	0.0017	0.0045	0.010	0.17	0.36	0.274

Significant p values are shown in red. The p-value were adjusted using the Benjamini Hochberg method.

Supplementary Table 6: Associations of predicted immune infiltrating populations with ER+ and ERbreast cancer

Cell type	ER+ p-value	ER+ adjusted p- value	ER- p-value	ER- adjusted p-value
B cells	0.023	0.05	0.79	0.98
CD45	0.016	0.04	0.34	0.84
CD8	0.012	0.04	0.12	0.84
Cytotoxic T cell	0.014	0.04	0.86	0.98
Exhausted CD8	0.16	0.23	0.98	0.98
T cells	0.00045	0.0036	0.84	0.98
Th1 cells	0.67	0.72	0.87	0.98
Treg	0.27	0.33	0.36	0.84
DC	0.038	0.07	0.95	0.98
Macrophages	0.05	0.08	0.35	0.84
Mast cells	0.26	0.33	0.4	0.84
Neutrophils	0.92	0.92	0.69	0.98
NK CD56Dim	0.44	0.50	0.36	0.84
NK cell	0.011	0.04	0.72	0.98
M1/M2	0.000031	0.00050	0.22	0.84
CD68/CD8	0.022	0.05	0.42	0.84

Significant p values on correction for multiple testing are shown in red for those associated with high pnSTING and in blue for those significantly associated with low pnSTING. The p-value were adjusted using the Benjamini Hochberg method

Supplementary Table 7: pnSTING^{low} gene signature

IMPA2
SLC2A4
CDT1
CFAP47
RABGGTB
NCAPD3
ABRA
SLC35C2
DNAH12
SLC35E2B
LINC00479
HYDIN2
CSN3
FAM71B
RCAN3
MSH2
PCDHA2
SHISA3
EXO1
GALNT14
VPS33A
DLGAP5
HFM1
BEND3
MEX3B

*Note: signature = -sum(gene expression)

Supplementary Table 8: pnSTING gene signature applied to independent datasets

Gene Signatur	e		N(n)	HR	%95 CI	p-value
Relapse Free S	Surviva	I			Univariate	
ER+		low	46 (14)	1		
IHC cohort		high	46 (5)	0.3220	0.1309-0.7924	0.0217*
ER-		low	32 (9)	1		
IHC cohort		high	32 (8)	0.8985	0.3472-2.325	0.8254
ER+ & ER-		low	78 (24)	1		
IHC cohort		high	78 (12)	0.4402	0.2287-0.8471	0.0169*
ER+		low	39 (10)	1		
Non IHC coho	rt	high	37 (3)	0.263	0.08845-0.7818	0.0263*
ER-		low	20 (9)	1		
Non IHC coho	rt	high	17 (8)	0.6018	0.2284-1.586	0.2896
ER+ & ER-		low	71 (18)	1		
Non IHC coho	rt	high	45 (12)	0.3126	0.1525-0.6409	0.0029**
METABRIC		N(n)	HR	%95 CI	p-value
(2012&2016)						
Overall Survival					Univariate	
ER+	low	48	6 (297)	1		
	high	48	5(262)	0.8098	0.6855-0.9650	0.0125*
ER-	low	16	7 (85)	1		
	high	16	6 (96)	1.258	0.9394-1.684	0.1201
ER+	low	43	3 (270)	1		
No chemo	high	43	2 (240)	0.8322	0.6995-0.99	0.0379*
ER+	low	53	(29)	1		
Chemo	high	53	(20)	0.5356	0.3046-0.9419	0.028*
TCGA Nature	2012	N	(n)	HR	%95 CI	p-value
Relapse Free S	Surviva	l			Univariate	
ER+	low	1	89 (25)	1		
	high	1	91 (20)	0.5481	0.3030-0.9953	0.0405*
ER-	low	5	9 (10)	1		
	high	5	9 (7)	1.441	0.5569-3.729	0.4251
GSE2034 (Wai	ng et al	l) N	(n)	HR	%95 CI	p-value
Relapse Free S	Surviva	1			Univariate	
ER+	low	1	04 (52)	1		
	high	1	05 (28)	0.4292	0.2761-0.6672	0.0002***
ER-	low	3	9 (10)	1		
	high	3	8 (17)	1.779	0.8364-3.783	0.1406

Supplementary Table 9: TP53, PIK3CA and MAP3K1 alterations in ER+ METABRIC and TCGA datasets stratified by pnSTING signature

Dataset	Gene	No. cases with	alteration (%)	Log Ratio	p-value	q-value
Mutation	าร	STING Sig low	STING Sig high			
META-	TP53	179 (36.83)	61 (12.58)	-1.55	<10 e-10	<10 e-10
BRIC	PIK3CA	185 (38.07)	268 (55.26)	0.54	5.26 e-8	4.549 e-6
	MAP3K1	31 (6.38)	83 (17.11)	1.42	1.15 e-7	6.61 e-6
TCGA	TP53	73 (38.02)	19 (9.95)	-1.93	5.09 e-11	4.40 e-7
(Nature	PIK3CA	61 (31.77)	94 (49.21)	0.63	3.596 e-4	0.577
2012)	MAP3K1	11 (5.73)	27 (14.14)	1.3	4.55e-3	0.577

Supplementary Table 10: Copy number alterations of MYC and CCND1 in ER+ METABRIC and TCGA datasets stratified by pnSTING signature

Dataset	Gene	No Cases with alteration (%)		Log Ratio	p-value	q-value
CNA		STING Sig low	STING Sig high			
META-	MYC	171 (35.19)	77 (15.88)	-1.15	<10 e-10	5.75 e-9
BRIC	(8q24,21)					
	CCND1	145 (29.63)	56 (11.55)	-1.37	<10 e-10	2.76 e-9
	(11q13.3)					
TCGA	MYC	28 (14.81)	16 (8.42)	-0.81	0.0369	0.563
(Nature	(8q24,21)					
2012)	CCND1	50 (26.46)	24 (12.63)	-1.07	5.035 e-4	0.166
	(11q13.3)					

Supplementary Table 11: Antibodies used in IHC

Antibody	Clone	Company	Catalogue Number	Platform	Retriev al	Diluti on	Incubation	Detection
STING	Poly	Protein Tech	19851-1-AP	Leica Bond RX	ER1 20min ER1 20min	1:100 0 1:200 0	15min @ RT 30min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer Opal Fluorophore 690
ER	6F11	Leica	NCL-L-ER-6F11	Leica Bond RX	ER2 30min	1:200	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
HER2	CB11	Leica	NCL-L-CB11	Leica Bond RX	ER1 25min	1:40	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
KI-67	30-9	Roche	790-4286	Ventana Benchmark XT	CC1 32min	Neat	16min @ 37C	Optiview DAB Kit
СК	AE1/AE3	Dako	M3515	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min ER2 20min	1:200 1:200	15min @RT 30min @RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer Opal Fluorophore 520
CD3	2GV6	Roche	790-4341	Ventana Benchmark XT	CC1 32min	Neat	16min @ 37C	Optiview DAB Kit
CD4	SP35	Roche	790-4423	Ventana Benchmark XT	CC1 32min	Neat	16min @ 37C	Ultraview DAB Kit
CD8	C8/144B	Dako	M7103	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:200	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
CD20	L26	Dako	M0755	Leica Bond RX	ER1 30min	1:400	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
CD68	514H12	Leica	PA0273	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:200	30min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
CD45RO	UCHL1	Leica	NCL-L-UCHL1	Leica Bond RX	ER1 20min	1:200 0	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
CD163	10D6	Leica	PA0090	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	Neat	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
FOXP3	SP97	LSBIO	LS-C210349	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:50	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
ICOS	D1K2T	Cell Signaling	#89601	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:400	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
IDO1	D5J4E	Cell Signaling	#86630	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:400	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
mTOR	49F9	Cell Signaling	#2976	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:100	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer
PD-L1	SP263	Roche	790-4905	Ventana Benchmark XT	CC1 64min	Neat	16min @ 37C	Optiview DAB Kit
PD-L1	SP142	Spring Bioscience	M4420	Ventana Benchmark XT	CC1 64min	1:40	16min @ 37C	Optiview DAB Kit
ТІМЗ	D5D5R	Cell Signaling	#45208	Leica Bond RX	ER2 20min	1:200	15min @ RT	DAB Polymer Refine + Enhancer