nature research

Corresponding author(s):

Double-blind peer review submissions: write DBPR and your manuscript number here

): <u>instead of author names</u>

Last updated by author(s): YYYY-MM-DD

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

\sim		4.0		
़ .	トつ	11	ıctı	
	ιa	L.	เวเ	IL.S

For a	ll statistical an	alyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.				
n/a	Confirmed					
	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement					
	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly					
	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.					
	A description of all covariates tested					
	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons					
	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)					
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.					
x	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings					
x	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes					
	x Estimates	of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated				
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.						
Sof	tware an	d code				
Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>						
Data collection Data was collected by hand and entered into Excel spreadsheets.		Data was collected by hand and entered into Excel spreadsheets.				
Dat	a analysis	R (bootstrapped PSF values, ANOVA and post-hoc tukey test), SAS (log regressions), Excel (plant-soil feedback models).				
	,	g custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.				
Dat	·a					

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

All data are archived with a DOI at Utah State University Digital Commons online repository (https://doi.org/10.26078/52k0-jr94).

Field-specific reporting

Policy information about availability of data

A list of figures that have associated raw dataA description of any restrictions on data availability

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets

Ecological, evolutionary & environmental sciences study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Study description

A paired diversity-productivity experiment and two-phase plant-soil feedback experiment

Research sample

Plant-soil feedback experiment

Each Phase I conditioned soil type had between five and nine 'control' plots, between 27 and 30 'self' plots, and between five and nine 'other' plots per Phase II species for a total of between 75 and 135 'other' plots. 112 plots that did not have seeded species growth in Phase I, i.e. Phase I control treatment, were seeded with either one of the sixteen target species (N = 96) or left

unseeded (N = 16).

Phase I Phase II N

Amorpha canescens Control 5

Amorpha canescens Self 34

Amorpha canescens Other 120

Andropogon gerardii Control 5

Andropogon gerardii Self 30

Andropogon gerardii Other 135

Achillea millefolium Control 5

Achillea millefolium Self 30

Achillea millefolium Other 135

Unplanted Unconditioned 16

Unplanted Conditioned 96

Dalea purpurea Control 5

Dalea purpurea Self 30

Dalea purpurea Other 135

Elymus canadensis Control 5

Elymus canadensis Self 30

Elymus canadensis Other 135

Koeleria macrantha Control 5

Koeleria macrantha Self 30

Koeleria macrantha Other 135

Liatris aspera Control 5

Liatris aspera Self 34

Liatris aspera Other 120

Lespedeza capitata Control 5

Lespedeza capitata Self 30

Lespedeza capitata Other 135

Lupinus perennis Control 5

Lupinus perennis Self 30

Lupinus perennis Other 120

Monarda fistulosa Control 5 Monarda fistulosa Self 34

Monarda fistulosa Other 120

Poa pratensis Control 5

Poa pratensis Self 28

Poa pratensis Other 135

Pascopyrum smithii Control 5

Pascopyrum smithii Self 31

Pascopyrum smithii Other 135

Panicum virgatum Control 5

Panicum virgatum Self 30

Panicum virgatum Other 135

Sorghastrum nutans Control 5

Sorghastrum nutans Self 30 Sorghastrum nutans Other 135

Solidago rigida Control 5

Solidago rigida Self 27

Solidago rigida Other 75

Schizachyrium scoparium Control 5

Schizachyrium scoparium Self 30

Schizachyrium scoparium Other 135

Diversity-Productivity experiment was a replicate of the historic E120 experiment at Cedar Creek. 63 plant communities containing 1 to 16 plant species from the above list were planted in 232 plots.

Sampling strategy

Plant-soil feedback experiment

Plant aboveground biomass was clipped, dried and weighed in October 2018..

Diversity-productivity experiment

In August 2018, plant cover in each plot was assessed by visual estimation, then randomly-selected 15 cm by 150 cm strips were clipped, sorted to species, dried to constant weight at 60 °C and weighed to the nearest 0.1 g. The remaining biomass was then

	clipped, dried and weighed. Composition from the clip strip was then used to estimate composition in the entire plot.			
Data collection	nt biomass was clipped, dried, and weighed by a team led by Leslie Forero. Team members included Logan Korte, Megan Koenig, ge Gueverra, and Cooper Johnson.			
Timing and spatial scale	Plant-soil feedback and diversity-productivity experiments were established in Spring of 2015 and sampled in Fall of 2018.			
Data exclusions	No data excluded.			
Reproducibility	Our diversity-productivity experiment was a replicate of the historic E120 experiment at Cedar Creek.			
Randomization	Plant and or community placement within the plant-soil feedback and diversity-productivity experiment was randomly assigned.			
Blinding	Not relevant			
Did the study involve field work? X Yes No				
Field work, collection and transport				
Field conditions	Soils are sandy and of the Nymore series: mixed, frigid, Typic Udipsamment. During the four years of the study, mean annual precipitation and temperature were 723.0 mm and 6.5° C, which is consistent with the 1963 to 2019 records at the site (769.3 mm and 6.6° C, respectively).			
Location	45.403290 N, 93.187411 W			
Access & import/export	Work performed at the Cedar Creek Ecosystem Science Reserve was done under permit and with full permission and consent of the reserve.			
Disturbance	Once the experiment was finished, we removed root barrier using a tractor and seeded the experimental area with native grasses and forbs to prevent weedy encroachment.			
Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods				
	authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, levant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.			
•				

R w

Materials & experimental systems		Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a Involved in the study	
×	Antibodies	X ChIP-seq	
×	Eukaryotic cell lines	Flow cytometry	
×	Palaeontology and archaeology	MRI-based neuroimaging	
×	Animals and other organisms	·	
×	Human research participants		
×	Clinical data		
x	Dual use research of concern		