

## Supplementary Figure 1. Task responses for individual subjects before, during, and after sessions with medial prefrontal cortex silencing.

**a**, Individual animal performance (n = 17) before (session 1), during (session 2) and after (session 3) prechoice stimulation for hunger (upper panel) and thirst (lower panel). Tick marks are lever press for food (green) or water (blue). **b**, Individual animal performance (n = 17) before (session 1), during (session 2), and after (session 3) outcome evaluation stimulation for hunger (upper panel) and thirst (lower panel).

Supplementary	<sup>7</sup> Table	1. R	esults	of	statistical	analyses.
---------------	--------------------	------	--------	----	-------------	-----------

Figure	Sample size (n)	Statistical Test	Values
1b	9	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U TestPost	Hunger/Food vs Hunger/Water: P<0.001
		hoc multiple comparisons with Holm's	Thirst/Food vs Thirst/Water: P=0.0009
		correction	Hunger/Food vs Thirst/Water: P=0.02
			Hunger/Water vs Thirst/Food: P=0.08
1d	Neurons in	Mann-Whitney U Test	Food > Water
	Hunger: 624	single-tailed	Water > Food
	Neurons in		Consum>Baseline
	Thirst: 556		neurons selected at $P < 0.05$
1g/i	Neurons in	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U TestPost	<i>P</i> <0.001 for all comparisons
	Hunger: 624	hoc multiple comparisons with Holm's	
	Neurons in	correction	
	Thirst: 556		
2b	Hunger: 9	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test	Preference Index: P=0.15
	Thirst: 9		Error Rate: P=0.15
2c	Hunger reg:13	2-way ANOVA for pre-choice:	
	stim:9	Factor 1: Hunger vs Thirst	F(1,40)=0.55, P=0.4623
	Thirst reg:13	Factor 2: <i>Photostim vs Regular</i>	F(1,40)=2.73, P=0.1065
	stim:9	Interaction: <i>State x Stimulation</i>	F(1,40)=0.41, P=0.5256
		2-way ANOVA for evaluation	
		Factor 1: Hunger vs Thirst	F(1,40)=0.23, P=0.6369
		Factor 2: Photostim vs Regular	F(1,40)=0.23, P=0.6331
26	G. 1411	Interaction: <i>State x Stimulation</i>	F(1,40)=0.13, P=0.7225
21	Switching 27	For switching need states:	
		2-way rm ANOVA;	E(1, 224) - 10, 20, B - 0, 006
		Factor 1: Hunger vs Intrst	$\Gamma(1,234)=10.39, P=0.000$ $\Gamma(0,234)=22.01, P<0.001$
		Factor 2. <i>Block</i>	F(9,234)=23.91, P<0.001 F(0,234)=1.6, P=0.11
		Interaction. State x Block	F(9,234)=1.0, F=0.11
	Const Hunger 9	For constant need states:	
	Constant Thirst	2-way ANOVA	
	9	Factor 1: Hunger vs Thirst	F(1.72)=0.18, $P=0.7$
	-	Factor 2: <i>Block</i>	F(9,72)=1.25, P=0.3
		Interaction: <i>State x Block</i>	F(9,72)=0.62, P=0.8
2g	27	Two sided Wilcoxon signed rank test	Preference index: <i>P</i> =0.01
0		6	Error rate: P=0.01
2h	27	2-way rm ANOVA;	
		Factor 1: Hunger vs Thirst	F(1,234)=14.1, P<0.001
		Factor 2: Block	F(9,234)=9.3, P<0.001
		Interaction: <i>State x Block</i>	F(9,234)=1.85, P=0.059
2i	27	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank	
		test:Correct Hunger vs Thirst	
		Error Hunger vs Thirst	P=0.004
		Correct overall vs Error overall	<i>P</i> =0.1
		Post hoc multiple comparisons with	P=0.0003
		Holm's correction	
2j	27	Two-sided Wilcoxon Signed Rank	
		Test:	
		Hunger vs Thirst	<i>P</i> =0.015
2k	Early:27	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank	Early: $P=0.09$   Intermediate: $P=0.027$
	Interm:27	testPost hoc multiple comparisons with	Late: P=0.028
	Late:22	Holm's correction	

21	Early:27	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	Early: P=0.83   Intermediate: P=0.03
	Interm:27	Hunger vs Thirst	Late: P=0.008
	Late:22	0	
		Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test:	
	Constant: 18	Hunger vs Thirst	Const.: <i>P</i> =0.73
2m	Regular	2-way rm ANOVA	State Factor 1: F(1,288)=0.39, P=0.54
	switching:27	Factor 1: State (hunger vs thirst,	Block Factor 2: F(9.288)=18.9, P<0.001
	After Constant	switching)	Interaction: F(9.288)=2.65, P=0.006
	Thirst: 7	Factor 2: Block	Hunger vs. Thirst
		Post hoc multiple comparisons with	Block 1: <i>P</i> <0.001
		Holm-Sidak correction	Block 2: $P=0.01$
			Block 3-10: P>0.05
2n	Hunger <sup>.</sup> 6	2-way rm ANOVA separate for Hunger	Thirst Factor 1: $F(1.54)=11.16$ P=0.015
211	Thirst:7	2 way mir Arto VA separate for Hunger & Thirst	Thirst Factor 2: $F(954) = 35 P = 0.017$
	Timst. /	Eactor 1: before vs pre-exposure	Thirst Interaction: $F(9,54)=3.5, T=0.0017$
		Factor 2: Block	1  mist metaetion.  1(9, 94) = 1.96, 1 = 0.056
		Interaction: Condition x Block	Hunger Easter 1: $E(1.45) - 1.8$ $D - 0.23$
		interaction. Condition x block	Hunger Factor 2: $F(9.45) = 12.91$ P<0.001
		Post has multiple comparison: Tukey's	Hungar Interaction: $E(0,45) = 12.91$ , $I < 0.001$
		HSD test	Hullger Interaction. $F(9,43) = 2.90, F = 0.007$
		Thirst Block 1 Hunger Block 1	P<0.01 P<0.05
20	17	Two sided Wilcowop signed repl	I < 0.01, I < 0.05
50	17	tost: <i>Photostim</i> vs <i>Pogular</i>	Thirst: $P = 0.07$
2.4	17	True sided Wilcomen signed reals test	$\frac{1111151.F < 0.001}{1111151.F < 0.001}$
50	17	I wo-sided wilcoxon signed rank test:	Hunger correct: $P=0.76$   error: $P=0.72$
2	17	Photostim vs Regular	111111111111111111111111111111111111
-3g	17	I wo-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	Hunger: $P=0.08$
	17	Photostim vs Regular	Thirst: $P < 0.001$
3h	17	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	Hunger correct: $P=0.3$   error: $P=0.98$
		Photostim vs Regular	Thirst correct: P=0.5   error: P=0.0/
3k	6	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	Preference Index $P=0.15$
		Photostim vs Regular	Error Rate P=0.15
31	7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test:	Preference Index <i>P</i> =0.016
		Photostim vs Regular	Error Rate P=0.016
ED 2h	Hunger: 12	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test:	Hunger Food: $P=0.29$   Water: $P=0.24$
	Thirst: 9	Photostim vs Regular	Thirst Food: <i>P</i> =1   Water: <i>P</i> =0.87
ED 3b	Const. Hunger:9	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test	Constant Hunger vs. Thirst: P=0.4
	Const. Thirst:9	Post hoc multiple comparisons with	Switching Hunger vs. Thirst: P=0.14
	Switch w/ 1st	Holm's correction	Constant combined vs. Switching combined:
	Hunger:11		P<0.001
	Switch w/ 1st		
	Thirst:16		
ED 3c	Const. Hunger: 7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test:	
	Const. Thirst: 7	Food Lever vs. Water Lever	Hunger: P=0.015   Thirst: P=0.015
		Incorrect Water vs Food Lever	P=0.2086
		Correct Water vs Food Lever	P=0.6865
		Post hoc multiple comparisons with	
		Holm-Sidak correction	
ED3d	Const. Hunger: 7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test:	P=0.9015
	Const. Thirst: 7	Hunger vs. Thirst	
ED 4a	Reg: 13   stim: 9	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test	Hunger: P=0.35   Thirst: P=0.77
22 14		Photostim vs Regular	
ED 4b	Reg. 13   stim. 9	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test	Hunger: P=0.31   Thirst: P=0.12
	105.15 5000.9	Photostim vs Regular	1101501.1 =0.51   111151.1 =0.12
ED 4c	Reg. 13 stim. 0	Two-sided Wilcovon signed rank tast	Hunger: P-0 12   Thirst: P-0 54
	105. 15   sum. 9	Photostim vs Regular	11011601.1 - 0.12   $111151.1 - 0.34$

ED 4d	Reg: 13   stim: 9	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test: Photostim vs Regular	Hunger: P=0.68   Thirst: P=1
ED 4e	Reg: 13   stim: 9	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test: Photostim vs Regular	Hunger: <i>P</i> =0.12   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.12
ED 4f	Reg: 13   stim: 9	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test: Photostim vs Regular	Hunger: <i>P</i> =0.1   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.35
ED 5b	Switching: 7	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test: Food Lever vs. Water Lever Incorrect Water vs Food Lever Correct Water vs Food Lever Post hoc multiple comparisons with Holm-Sidak correction	Hunger: P=0.015   Thirst: P=0.015 P=0.2188 P=0.8125
ED 5c	Switching: 7	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test: Hunger vs. Thirst	<i>P</i> =0.6875
ED 5d	Hunger: 7 Thirst:7	2-way rm ANOVA; Factor 1: <i>Hunger vs Thirst</i> Factor 2: <i>Block (Early. Mid, Late))</i> Interaction: <i>State x Block</i>	Factor 1: F(1,12)=0.27, <i>P</i> =0.62 Factor 2: F(2,12)=3, <i>P</i> =1 IA: F(2,12)=1.5, <i>P</i> =1
ED 5e	Const. Hunger: 7 Const. Thirst: 7 Switching: 7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test for Const: Food Lever vs. Water Lever Incorrect Water vs Food Lever Correct Water vs Food Lever Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test for Switching: Food Lever vs. Water Lever Incorrect Water vs Food Lever Correct Water vs Food Lever Post hoc multiple comparisons with Holm-Sidak correction	Hunger: $P=0.015$   Thirst: $P=0.015$ P=0.2086 P=0.3176 Hunger: $P=0.015$   Thirst: $P=0.015$ P=0.6563 P=0.1563
ED 5f	Const. Hunger: 7 Const. Thirst: 7 Switching: 7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test for Const: Hunger vs. Thirst Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test for Switching: Hunger vs. Thirst	P=0.3030 P=0.3594
ED 5g	Hunger: 7 Thirst:7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Tests for all comparisons	P>0.05 for all comparisons
ED 6c	Early:27 Interm:27 Late:22	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test Post hoc multiple comparisons with Holm's correction	Early: P=0.045 Intermediate: P=0.027 Late: P=0.03
ED 6e	27	Kolmogorov-Smirnov test	<i>a</i> : <i>P</i> <0.05   <i>L</i> : <i>P</i> =0.99   <i>S</i> : <i>P</i> =0.69
ED 6f	Regular switching:22 After Constant Thirst: 7	2-way rm ANOVA Factor 1: Hunger State ( <i>switching vs</i> <i>after constant thirst</i> ) Post hoc multiple comparisons with Holm-Sidak correction	State Factor 1: F(1,243)=0.34, P=0.56 Block Factor 2: F(9,243)=11.2, P<0.001 Interaction: F(9,243)=4.0, P<0.001 Hunger vs. Thirst Block 1: P<0.001 Block 2: P<0.001 Block 3-10: P>0.05
ED 6g	Regular: 27 Reversal: 14	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test: Regular Hunger vs Thirst; Reversal Hunger vs Thirst; Regular Hunger vs. Reversal Hunger; Regular Thirst vs Reversal Thirst	P=0.042 P=0.982 P<0.0001

		Post hoc multiple comparisons with	<i>P</i> =0.0057
		Holm's correction	
ED 8a	17	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	Errors, Hunger: P=0.07
		Photostim vs Regular	Errors, Thirst: P<0.001
		Post hoc multiple comparisons with	Reaction Time, Hunger Correct: P=0.06
		Holm's correction	Hunger, Error: P=0.008
			Reaction Time, Thirst Correct: P=0.06
			Thirst, Error: P=0.83
ED 8b	17	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	Errors, Hunger: P=0.08
		Photostim vs Regular	Errors, Thirst: P<0.001
		Post hoc multiple comparisons with	Reaction Time, Hunger correct: P=0.1
		Holm's correction	Hunger, error: P=0.18
			Reaction Time, Thirst correct: P=0.12
			Thirst, error: P=0.9
ED 8c	12	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	<i>P</i> =0.79
		Pre vs Post1; Pre vs Active; Active vs	<i>P</i> =0.43
		Post2	<i>P</i> =0.71
ED 9d	16	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	
		Regular vs Photostim Outcome	Hunger: <i>P</i> =0.569   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.063
		Regular vs Photostim Pre-Choice	Hunger: <i>P</i> =1   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.791
ED 9e	16	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	
		Regular vs Photostim Outcome	Hunger: <i>P</i> =0.569   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.063
		Regular vs Photostim Pre-Choice	Hunger: <i>P</i> =1   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.791
ED 9f	16	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	
		Regular vs Photostim Outcome	Correct: Hunger: P=0.733   Thirst: P=0.109
			Error: Hunger: <i>P</i> =0.203   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.413
		Regular vs Photostim Pre-Choice	Correct: Hunger: P=0.791   Thirst: P=0.322
			Error: Hunger: <i>P</i> =0.176   Thirst: <i>P</i> =0.380
ED10a	6	Two-sided Wilcoxon signed rank test:	RT correct $P=0.16$   error $P=0.63$
		Photostim vs Regular	Licks correct $P=0.84$   error $P=0.69$
ED10b	7	Two-sided Mann-Whitney U Test:	RT correct $P=0.01$   error $P=0.07$
		Photostim vs Regular	Licks correct $P=0.77$   error $P=0.36$

**Supplementary Movie 1.** Example session of a thirsty animal showing lever press performance in trials before, during, and after optogenetic pre-choice mPFC silencing. After a variable inter-trial interval, each trial begins with the presentation of an auditory cue (1 s) which is presented followed by levers extension. In mPFC silencing trials, laser stimulation starts with the onset of cue presentation and lasts until the animal presses a lever or until the response window ends (5 s).