## **Supporting information**

DFT investigation of NiO@Graphene composite as urea oxidation catalyst in alkaline electrolyte

Shun Lu<sup>ab</sup>, Matthew Hummel<sup>b</sup>, Shuai Kang<sup>c</sup>, Rajesh Pathak<sup>d</sup>, Wei He<sup>e</sup>, Xueqiang Qi<sup>a\*</sup>, Zhengrong Gu<sup>b\*</sup>

a College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Chongqing University of Technology, Chongqing, 400054, China

b Department of Agricultural and Biosystems Engineering, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, 57007, USA

c Micro-nano Manufacturing and System Integration Center, Chongqing Institute of Green and Intelligent Technology (CIGIT), Chinese Academy of Sciences, Chongqing, 400714, China

d Applied Materials Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 Cass Ave, Lemont, IL 60439, USA

e Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, South Dakota State University, Brookings, SD, 57007, USA

Corresponding authors: Zhengrong.Gu@sdstate.edu (Z. Gu) and xqqi@cqut.edu.cn (X. Qi)

Number of Figure: 5

Number of Table: 2



**Figure S1.** The shortest distance between urea and (a) NiO@Graphene, (b) NiOOH@Graphene, and (c) CO<sub>2</sub> and NiO@Graphene, (d) NiOOH@Graphene.



Figure S2. The shortest distance between (a) urea, (b) CO<sub>2</sub> and NiOOH.



**Figure S3.** (a) The electron density difference of urea molecule adsorbed on the surface of Graphene. (b) Slice image of the adsorption of urea molecule on the surface of Graphene. (c) The electron density difference of  $CO_2$  molecule adsorbed on the surface of Graphene. (b) Slice image of the adsorption of  $CO_2$  molecule on the surface of Graphene. Note: (a, c) The red hooded face means enrichment of electrons while the blue means the deficiency of elections, (b, d) The contour around the atoms represents electron accumulation (red) or electron deletion (blue).



**Figure S4.** Slice images of the adsorption of urea molecule on the surface of (a) NiO@Graphene and (b) NiOOH@Graphene and the corresponding slice of the electron density difference. The contour around the atoms represents electron accumulation (red) or electron deletion (blue).



**Figure S5.** Slice images of the adsorption of (a) urea and (b)  $CO_2$  molecule on the surface of NiOOH. The contour around the atoms represents electron accumulation (red) or electron deletion (blue).

Reactions	$\Delta G/kJ \text{ mol}^{-1}$
$CO(NH_2)_2 + M \rightarrow [M \cdot CO(NH_2)_2]_{ads}$	66.2
$[M \cdot CO(NH_2)_2]_{ads} + OH^- \rightarrow [M \cdot CO(NH_2 \cdot NH)]_{ads} + H_2O + e^-$	-28.9
$[M \cdot CO(NH_2 \cdot NH)]_{ads} + OH^- \rightarrow [M \cdot CO(NH_2 \cdot N)]_{ads} + H_2O + e^-$	-185.1
$[M \cdot CO(NH_2 \cdot N)]_{ads} + OH^- \rightarrow [M \cdot CO(NH \cdot N)]_{ads} + H_2O + e^-$	75.4
$[M \cdot CO(NH \cdot N)]_{ads} + OH^{-} \rightarrow [M \cdot CO(N \cdot N)]_{ads} + H_2O + e^{-}$	-178.2
$[M \cdot CO(N \cdot N)]_{ads} + OH^{-} \rightarrow [M \cdot CO \cdot OH)]_{ads} + N_{2} + e^{-}$	392.7
$[M \cdot CO \cdot OH)]_{ads} + OH^- \rightarrow [M \cdot CO_2)]_{ads} + H_2O + e^-$	-156.6
$[M \cdot CO_2)]_{ads} \rightarrow M + CO_2$	1242.2
Total	1227.7

**Table S1** Gibbs energies ( $\Delta G$ ) for the possible steps on M (M = NiOOH).<sup>1</sup>

**Table S2** The optimized energy for the adsorption of  $CO_2$  and urea on the surface of NiO@Graphene and NiOOH@Graphene, respectively, based on our model.

Species	Optimized energy/eV
CO <sub>2</sub>	-1028.03939
Urea	-2077.79804
NiO@Graphene	-9540.52016
NiOOH@Graphene	-9994.29777

## Reference

1. Daramola, D. A.; Singh, D.; Botte, G. G., Dissociation rates of urea in the presence of NiOOH catalyst: a DFT analysis. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2010**, *114* (43), 11513-11521.