Supplementary information

Role of an Atypical Cadherin Gene, *Cdh23* in Prepulse Inhibition and Implication of *CDH23* in Schizophrenia

Shabeesh Balan^{1, 2}, Tetsuo Ohnishi¹, Akiko Watanabe¹, Hisako Ohba¹, Yoshimi Iwayama¹, Manabu Toyoshima¹, Tomonori Hara^{1, 3}, Yasuko Hisano¹, Yuki Miyasaka^{4, 5}, Tomoko Toyota¹, Chie Shimamoto-Mitsuyama¹, Motoko Maekawa^{1,6}, Shusuke Numata⁷, Tetsuro Ohmori⁷, Tomomi Shimogori⁸, Yoshiaki Kikkawa⁴, Takeshi Hayashi⁹, Takeo Yoshikawa^{*},¹

1 Laboratory for Molecular Psychiatry, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, Wako, Saitama, Japan

2 Neuroscience Research Laboratory, Institute of Mental Health and Neurosciences (IMHANS), Kozhikode, Kerala, India

3 Department of Organ Anatomy, Tohoku University Graduate School of Medicine, Sendai, Miyagi, Japan

4 Deafness Project, Tokyo Metropolitan Institute of Medical Science, Setagaya, Tokyo, Japan

5 Division of Experimental Animals, Graduate School of Medicine, Nagoya University, Nagoya, Japan

6 Department of Biological Science, Graduate School of Humanities and Science, Ochanomizu University, Tokyo, Japan

7 Department of Psychiatry, Institute of Biomedical Science, Tokushima University Graduate School, Tokushima, Japan

8 Laboratory for Molecular Mechanisms of Brain Development, RIKEN Center for Brain Science, Wako, Saitama, Japan

9 Agricultural Artificial Intelligence (AI) Research Office, Research Center for Agricultural Information Technology, National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Tokyo, Japan

*Correspondence: Takeo Yoshikawa, MD, PhD E-mail: <u>takeo.yoshikawa@riken.jp</u>

Supplementary Methods

Exome sequencing in C3HN and B6N

Total DNA obtained from each one C3HN and B6N mouse was sheared into approximately 300-bp fragments using a Covaris sonicator (Covaris, Woburn, MA, USA). Paired-end exome libraries were prepared using the SureSelect Mouse All Exon Kit (Agilent) following the manufacturer's instructions. Sequencing was performed using the HiSeq 2000 platform (Illumina). Base calling was performed by the Illumina pipeline with default parameters. Obtained reads were mapped to the mouse genome (mm10) using the Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) version 0.5.9 with default parameters. Duplicate reads were marked with the Picard version 1.53 and excluded from downstream analyses. Coverage of the targeted bases was assessed by GATK DepthOfCoverage ¹. SNVs and indels were called by using the GATK UnifiedGenotyper3. The minimum phred-scaled confidence threshold was set as 50.

SNVs were selected from the regions ± 20 Mbp from the central position of each previously genotyped Sequence-Tagged Sites (STS) marker. One SNV marker from each megabase was primarily chosen using the following criteria: 1) specifically detected in either the B6N or C3HN strain, 2) homozygous, 3) with a PASS flag and 4) nearest to the central position of each megabase. If there were SNVs reported in dbSNP 128 within \pm 0.25 Mbp of the central position of each megabase, we selected a marker from SNVs in dbSNP (that might not be the SNV nearest to the central position). The regions with no SNVs satisfying these criteria were skipped. If the distance between two markers was less than 0.25 Mbp, one marker was excluded. The list of the 148 selected SNV markers is shown in Supplementary Table 1.

QTL analysis: Bayesian method

Reanalysis of PPI-QTL was performed by increasing the density of the analyzed markers. To perform fine mapping, single nucleotide variant (SNV) markers were selected from the six previously identified loci (chr1, chr3, chr7, chr10, chr11, chr13) for PPI². To this end, exome sequencing of inbred C3HN and B6N mouse strains was performed and markers were selected as described in the supplementary methods. The 148 additionally selected SNV markers (Supplementary Table 1) were genotyped in 1,012 F2 mice by Illumina BeadArray genotyping (Illumina Golden Gate assay) on the BeadXpress platform as per the manufacturer's instructions and were added to the analysis. First, we applied a composite interval mapping method ³ for 86 dB-PPI to scan relevant OTLs using Windows OTL Cartographer v2.5 (https://brcwebportal.cos.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/WQTLCart.htm) on autosomal chromosomes only, as no QTL signal was detected on the X chromosome in the previous analyses². In the present analysis, the gender of the mice was taken into consideration. The results were expressed as LOD scores, and a threshold of LOD score corresponding to a genome-wide significance level of 1% was determined to be 4.16 with 1000 repetitions of the permutation test. Subsequently, Bayesian multiple QTL mapping was conducted for fine mapping of QTLs at the 86 dB prepulse level. In Bayesian method, we located a putative QTL on each marker and estimated the posterior probability that the QTL had a nonzero significant effect. The phenotype of the i^{th} F2 mouse, y_i , was written as the following statistical model:

$$y_i = \mu + s_i b + \sum_{l=1}^{N} (\gamma_l u_{il} a_l + \eta_l v_{il} d_l) + e_i$$

where μ was the intercept of the model, *b* was the gender effect, s_i was a covariate indicating the gender of the *i*th mouse with $s_i = 0$ and 1 corresponding to male and female, respectively,

N was the number of markers on which putative QTLs were located, u_{il} and v_{il} were the covariates indicating the genotype of the *i*th mouse at the *l*th marker, with $u_{il}=1, 0, -1$ and $v_{il} = 0, 1, 0$ for homozygous for the B6 type and heterozygous and alternative homozygous for the C3 type, respectively, a_l and d_l were additive and dominance effects of the *l*th marker, γ_l and η_l were indicator variables with $\gamma_l = 1$ or 0 meaning the inclusion or exclusion of the additive effect at the QTL in the model fitting, respectively, and analogously, $\eta_l = 1$ or 0 for inclusion or exclusion of dominance effect, and e_i was the residual error assumed to follow a normal distribution with mean 0 and variance σ_e^2 .

In a Bayesian framework, these parameters and indicator variables were evaluated based on their posterior distributions constructed from the prior distributions and the distribution of observed samples, including y_i , u_{il} and v_{il} (*i*=1,2,...,*n*; *l*=1,2,...,*N*). The posterior distributions can be empirically established by sampling values of the parameters and indicator variables via the Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling procedure, which is time-consuming. Instead, we applied a variational approximation method to effectively obtain the posterior expectations of the parameters and indicator variables⁴. This variational Bayes method was originally utilized in the context of genomic selection ⁵, where a model predicting breeding values from SNP genotypes, which is analogous to the model considered here, is constructed to enable individuals with superior genetic performance to be effectively selected based on their SNP genotypes in animal and plant breeding⁴. The existence of QTLs with significant effects was judged using the posterior expectations of indicator variables, γ_l and η_l , for additive and dominance effects, which were regarded as the posterior probabilities of the putative QTLs being fitted in the model. As a result of this variational Bayes analysis, we found no QTLs with a significant dominance effect; thus, only the plot of the posterior expectations of γ_l is shown in Figure 1C.

Conservation analysis of Cdh23 c.753 G>A variant

Since the only coding variant that differed between the B6N and C3H mouse in the Chromosome 10 QTL region was *Cdh23* c.753 G>A; (rs257098870; chr10:60530947-60530947; mm10/GRCm38), we further compared the conservation of this variant/region among 15 eutherian mammals (*Mus musculus, Mus Spretus* (SPRET/Eij), *Rattus norvegicus, Oryctolagus cuniculus, Callithrix jacchus, Macaca mulatta, Gorilla gorilla, Homo sapiens, Pongo abelii, Bos taurus, Ovis aries, Sus scrofa, Canis familiaris, Felis catus, Equus caballus*) and rodents; which included 15 inbred mouse strains (C57BL/6NJ, NZO/HILtJ, A/J, BALB/cJ, AKR/J, C3H/HeJ, CBA/J, DBA/2J, FVB/NJ, NOD/ShiLtJ, 129S1/SvImJ, LP/J, WSB/EiJ, CAST/EiJ, and PWK/PhJ), along with *Mus Spretus and Rattus norvegicus*. Alignments for the variant and flanking regions were downloaded from Ensembl (https://www.ensembl.org/) and visualized using Jalview (https://www.jalview.org/).

Generation of *Cdh23* c.753G allele knock-in mice by CRISPR/Cas9n-mediated genome editing

Briefly, B6N zygotes obtained by *in vitro* fertilization were microinjected with the cocktail constituting 5 ng/mL Cas9 nickase mRNA (System Biosciences, Mountain View, CA), 5 ng/mL each of two short guide RNAs (sgRNAs) (Supplementary Table 2), which were synthesized *in vitro* (T7 gRNA Smart Nuclease Synthesis Kit, System Biosciences) according to the manufacturer's instructions, and 5 ng/mL single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) (Supplementary Table 2). Injected zygotes were transplanted into the uteruses of pseudopregnant dams, and the resulting pups were obtained by cesarean section. The target region of the *Cdh23* gene was directly sequenced from the PCR products amplified from the template DNAs extracted from the tails of the pups. Additionally, the PCR products were subcloned and sequenced in founders with knocked-in alleles. Promising founders were

selected, and upon their reaching sexual maturity, *in vitro* fertilization was performed with B6N strain-derived oocytes to check germline transmission and to obtain mice with heterozygous mutated alleles. Furthermore, the heterozygous mice were intercrossed to produce homozygote knock-in and wild-type control littermates for further experiments. Routine genotyping by Sanger sequencing was performed to verify the allele knock-in.

Analysis of auditory brainstem response

Briefly, mice were anesthetized by intraperitoneal injection of sodium pentobarbital (Somunopentil; 60-80 mg/kg) diluted in saline and were placed on a heating pad to maintain body temperature at 37°C during ABR evaluation. Needle electrodes were placed subcutaneously into the vertex (reference), right ear (active), and left ear (ground). The tone stimulus was produced by a speaker (ES1 spc; BioResearch Center Nagoya, Japan) probe inserted into the auditory canal of the right ear. The ABR thresholds from right ears in 13week-old and 6-month-old mice (Cdh23 c.753G>A; AA vs GG genotypes) were measured with tone-pip stimuli at 8, 16 and 24 kHz generated by System3 (TDT). The resulting ABR waveforms were bandpass-filtered (< 3 kHz and > 100 kHz), amplified 1,000 times (AC PreAmplifier, P-55, Astro-Med Inc.) and recorded using the PowerLab 2/25 System (AD Instruments) for 10 microseconds. A total of 500 recordings were averaged. The waveform data were analyzed by LabChart v.7 (AD Instruments). The ABR thresholds were obtained for each stimulus by reducing the sound pressure level (SPL) for 10 dB steps (80 dB to 10 dB) to identify the lowest level at which an ABR pattern could be reliably detected. The ABR threshold was confirmed for consensus by independent evaluation of three investigators blinded to the genotype of the tested mice.

Gene expression analysis

Total RNA was extracted using the miRNeasy Mini kit (QIAGEN GmbH, Hilden, Germany), and single-stranded cDNA was synthesized using the SuperScript VILO cDNA synthesis kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. The mRNA levels for the target genes (Supplementary Table 3) were quantified by real-time quantitative RT-PCR using TaqMan Gene Expression Assays, performed in triplicate, based on the standard curve method, normalized to GAPDH/Gapdh as an internal control. To test the role of the *Cdh23* c.753G>A variant as a cis expression QTL (e-QTL) for *Cdh23* expression, digital PCR was performed in mouse brain samples from the subthalamic region/zona incerta and pontine region using standard procedures and TaqMan Gene Expression Assays in a QuantStudioTM 3D Digital PCR System (Life Technologies Co., Carlsbad, CA, USA). Values outside the mean ± 2 SD in the group were considered outliers and were omitted from the analyses.

Design, library preparation, sequencing for MIP

Genomic DNA was isolated from blood samples obtained from human subjects using standard methods. MIPs were designed for the *CDH23* gene using MIPgen ⁶ (<u>http://shendurelab.github.io/MIPGEN/</u>), targeting the coding exons and the flanking exon-intron boundaries of the gene (GRCh37 build) and covering all transcripts. A total of 152 MIPs were designed for *CDH23*, aligning to the design parameters as reported previously ⁷.

A total 50 ng of genomic DNA, 250 fmol of 5'-phosphorylated MIPs mixture, 1.5 μ L of 10X Ampligase DNA ligase buffer (Epicentre), 0.3 μ M dNTP (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), 0.2 μ L Hemo Klentaq (New England Biolabs, NEB, Ipswich, MA, USA) and 1 U Ampligase (Epicentre biotechnologies, Madison, WI, USA) were added to molecular biology-grade water for a total of 15 μ L. After denaturation (95°C) for 10 minutes and incubation for (60 °C) for 22 hours, linear probes and the remaining genomic DNA were

removed by exonuclease treatment. Next, the captured material was amplified by PCR using barcoded reverse primers. At first, 48 samples PCR product were pooled, purified and sequenced by Illumina MiSeq (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) system with 150 bp pairedend reads. Depend on the read number of each MIPs, rebalanced the mixture ratio. After that, operated same method using rebalanced MIP-mixture, 384 samples (3 sets) PCR product were pooled, purified and sequenced by Illumina HiSeq2000 (Illumina) Rapid mode with 150 bp paired-end reads.

Variant annotation and analysis

In accordance with the Genome Analysis ToolKit (GATK) best practices ⁸, raw reads were mapped to the genome (GRCh37 build) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA MEM) (0.7.5a-r405) which was further converted to .bam files using Picard-tools (1.137). The GATK (3.4-46) was used for indel realignment, base-quality recalibration, and variant discovery. Individual variant calling was performed with the GATK Haplotype Caller, followed by multi-sample genotyping, and subsequently the variants were hard filtered. Variants with Quality score (QUAL) < 30.0, quality by depth (QD) < 5.0, genotype quality (GQ) < 20.0, heterozygous allele balance (ABHet) > 0.75, homopolymer run (HRun) >= 4, and clustered variants were excluded from the analysis. Variants were further annotated using ANNOVAR for deleteriousness ⁹ and was filtered for only missense and loss of function (LoF) variants (stop gained, stop lost, start lost, and variants on splice donor and acceptor sites). In addition we also annotated the variants with REVEL tool ¹⁰. Since we were interested in the variants exclusively present in cases, we excluded the variants that were present in Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC) (http://exac.broadinstitute.org/) for global population, Integrative Japanese Genome Variation Database (iJGVD) (3.5KJP) by Tohoku Medical Megabank Organization (ToMMo) (https://ijgvd.megabank.tohoku.ac.jp/) and Human Genetic Variation Database (HGVD) (http://www.hgvd.genome.med.kyoto-u.ac.jp/)

for Japanese population. The identified variants were further validated by the Sanger sequencing.

References

- **1.** McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al. The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* Sep 2010;20(9):1297-1303.
- **2.** Watanabe A, Toyota T, Owada Y, et al. Fabp7 maps to a quantitative trait locus for a schizophrenia endophenotype. *PLoS Biol* Nov 2007;5(11):e297.
- **3.** Zeng ZB. Theoretical basis for separation of multiple linked gene effects in mapping quantitative trait loci. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* Dec 1 1993;90(23):10972-10976.
- **4.** Hayashi T, Iwata H. A Bayesian method and its variational approximation for prediction of genomic breeding values in multiple traits. *BMC Bioinformatics* Jan 31 2013;14(1):34.
- **5.** Meuwissen THE, Hayes BJ, Goddard ME. Prediction of total genetic value using genome-wide dense marker maps. *Genetics* Apr 2001;157(4):1819-1829.
- **6.** Boyle EA, O'Roak BJ, Martin BK, Kumar A, Shendure J. MIPgen: optimized modeling and design of molecular inversion probes for targeted resequencing. *Bioinformatics* Sep 15 2014;30(18):2670-2672.
- **7.** O'Roak BJ, Vives L, Girirajan S, et al. Sporadic autism exomes reveal a highly interconnected protein network of de novo mutations. *Nature* Apr 4 2012;485(7397):246-250.
- Van der Auwera GA, Carneiro MO, Hartl C, et al. From FastQ data to high confidence variant calls: the Genome Analysis Toolkit best practices pipeline. *Curr Protoc Bioinformatics* 2013;43(1):11 10 11-11 10 33.
- **9.** Yang H, Wang K. Genomic variant annotation and prioritization with ANNOVAR and wANNOVAR. *Nat Protoc* Oct 2015;10(10):1556-1566.
- **10.** Ioannidis NM, Rothstein JH, Pejaver V, et al. REVEL: An Ensemble Method for Predicting the Pathogenicity of Rare Missense Variants. *Am J Hum Genet* Oct 6 2016;99(4):877-885.





Supplementary Figure 1: Experimental descriptions (**A**) Schematic representation describing evaluation of prepulse inhibition (PPI) (**B**) linkage analysis scheme for quantitative trait loci (QTL) analysis (**C**) chromosome 10 QTL for PPI at different prepulse levels

В

Α



Supplementary Figure 2: Cdh23 expression analysis in mouse brain sections across development. RNA in situ hybridization for Cdh23 in B6N mouse showed expression in the brain particularly in subthalamic and pontine regions.



Supplementary Figure 3: *Cdh23* expression in marmoset brain sections. (A) RNA *in situ* hybridization data shows pattern of *Cdh23* expression is conserved in primates as evidenced from neonatal and 3 months marmoset (*Callithrix jacchus*) brain sections (https://gene-atlas.brainminds.riken.jp/)





Supplementary Figure 4: *Cdh23* gene and the putative causal variant c.753G>A (rs257098870). (A) Gene structure of *Cdh23* gene with the putative causal variant marked (B) Electropherogram showing the c.753G>A variant alleles in B6N and C3HN mice strains (C) The variant (synonymous coding) does not cause amino acid change (P251P), (D) but results in tissue-dependent skipping of the exon 9, in varying levels. The frequency of the variant allele is relatively high as observed from the mouse genome project data, indicating strain specificity and lack of conservation



Supplementary Figure 5: Experimental descriptions (**A**) Schematic representation describing CRISPR-Cas9n mediated genomic editing for knocking in C3HN-specific G allele of *Cdh23* c.753G>A variant in B6N genetic background and the expected outcome of experiments by knocking in G allele. (**B**) Targeted genomic loci for genomic editing and designs for short guide RNA (sgRNA) and single-stranded oligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) (**C**) Experimental strategy for generating genome edited mouse.



Supplementary Figure 6: *Cdh23* alternative splicing in inbred B6N mouse strain and prepulse inhibition (PPI) levels in *Cdh23* c.753G allele knock-in mice. Comparative analysis of *Cdh23* alternative splicing in the brain and inner ear from inbred B6N mouse strains showed tissue-specific alternative splicing of exon 9, which is lesser in brain (pontine and subthalamic region compared to the inner ear. The higher level of exon 9 containing transcripts in the inner ear from the *Cdh23* c.753G allele knock-in mouse was in agreement with the inbred strains with G allele, thus underscoring successful knock-in.

6 months old



Supplementary Figure 7: Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images of hair cells. Stereocillia morphology (middle area) is preserved in 6 months old *Cdh23* c.753G allele knock-in mouse (GG) in B6 genetic background, when compared to the *Cdh23* c.753A allele littermates (AA), which showed loss of hair cells, indicating age-related hearing loss



Supplementary Figure 8: Role of CDH23 in schizophrenia. We tested whether novel rare LoF variants are present in Japanese schizophrenia cases, using molecular inversion probe (MIP) based sequencing as outlined.

CDH23: Cadherin related 23

Chr	hg19_position	Ref	Alt	name	Gene		Annotations								RE	VEL_Scores	CADD_phred	GERP++_RS	Count_SCZ
10	73537606	Α	G	p.Tyr1672Cys	CDH23	D	D	D	D	D	Н	•	D	D		0.94	22.4	5.76	1
10	73539060	С	Т	p.Pro1742Ser	CDH23	D	D	D	D	D	Н		D	D		0.741	28.8	4.88	1
10	73572350	G	А	p.Ser3165Asn	CDH23	D	D	D	D	D	L	D	D	D		0.463	33	5.44	1
10	73269961	С	Т	p.Arg90Trp	CDH23	D	D	D	D	D	М	Т	Т	Т		<mark>0</mark> .378	18.71	1.76	1
10	73563142	С	Т	p.Arg2613Cys	CDH23	D	D	Ρ	D	D	М	Т	Т	Т		0.4 <mark>86</mark>	<mark>16</mark> .16	4.23	1
10	73326686	Α	С	p.Asn206Thr	CDH23	Т	D	D	D	D	Ν	Т	Т	Т		0.246	18.21	5.6	1
10	73337683	С	Т	p.Arg256Cys	CDH23	Т	D	D	D	D	Ν	Т	Т	Т		0.249	20.7	5.79	2
10	73434873	С	G	p.Thr485Ser	CDH23	Т	D	D	D	D	L		Т	Т		0.172	16. 85	5.72	1
10	73494096	G	А	p.Val1402Met	CDH23	Т	D	Ρ	D	D	М		Т	Т		0.201	22.2	4.49	1
10	73571298	Т	С	p.Phe3077Leu	CDH23	D	В	В	D	D	М	Т	Т	Т		0.157	15 .52	3.05	1
10	73571717	С	Т	p.Arg3109Cys	CDH23	D	D	В	D	D	L	Т	Т	Т		0.22	17. <mark>51</mark>	5.82	1
10	73468881	G	С	p.Val1045Leu	CDH23	D	В	В	D	D	L		Т	Т		0.249	19.9 ⁵	2.74	1
10	73330674	С	Т	p.Pro251Leu	CDH23	Т	В	В	D	D	L	Т	Т	Т		0.051	13.43	4.28	1
10	73498394	G	А	p.Ser1450Asn	CDH23	Т	В	В	D	D	Ν		Т	Т		0.031	11.65	5.38	1
10	73544798	С	Т	p.Arg1885Cys	CDH23	Т	Ρ	Ρ	Ν	D	М		Т	Т		0 .355	17. <mark>41</mark>	3.5	1
10	73553202	G	А	p.Glu2173Lys	CDH23	Т	Ρ	В	D	D	Ν		Т	Т		0.196	21	5.28	1
10	73567077	С	Т	p.Ser2741Leu	CDH23	Т	В	Ρ	D	D	L	Т	Т	Т		0.16	26.5	4.77	1
10	73337686	Α	G	p.lle257Val	CDH23	Т	В	В	Ν	D	Ν	Т	Т	Т		0.047	9.05	-2.29	1
10	73439175	Α	Т	p.Gln595Leu	CDH23	Т	В	В	Ν	D	Ν		Т	Т		0.063	1 3.46	3.78	1
10	73491830	G	А	p.Val1268Met	CDH23	Т	В	В	Ν	D	L		Т	Т		0.121	11.74	3.9	1
10	73500622	А	G	p.His1511Arg	CDH23	Т	В	В	Ν	D	Ν		Т	Т		0.201	13.23	5.06	1
10	73468899	G	А	p.Val1051lle	CDH23	Т	В	В	Ν	Ν	Ν		Т	Т		0.044	5.205	1.04	1
10	73550915	А	G	p.lle2026Val	CDH23	Т	В	В	Ν	Ν	Ν		Т	Т		0.037	2.379	-6.59	1

Annotations: SIFT, Polyphen2_HDIV, Polyphen2_HVAR, LRT, MutationTaster, MutationAssessor, FATHMM, RadialSVM, LR https://annovar.openbioinformatics.org/en/latest/user-guide/filter/#ljb42-dbnsfp-non-synonymous-variants-annotation

SIFT (sift)	D: Deleterious (sift<=0.05); T: tolerated (sift>0.05)						
PolyPhen 2 HDIV (pp2_hdiv)	D: Probably damaging (>=0.957), P: possibly damaging (0.453<=pp2_hdiv<=0.956); B: benign (pp2_hdiv<=0.452)						
PolyPhen 2 HVar (pp2_hvar)	D: Probably damaging (>=0.909), P: possibly damaging (0.447<=pp2_hdiv<=0.909); B: benign (pp2_hdiv<=0.446)						
LRT (Irt)	D: Deleterious; N: Neutral; U: Unknown						
MutationTaster (mt)	A" ("disease_causing_automatic"); "D" ("disease_causing"); "N" ("polymorphism"); "P" ("polymorphism_automatic")						
MutationAssessor (ma)	H: high; M: medium; L: low; N: neutral. H/M means functional and L/N means non-functional						
FATHMM (fathmm)	D: Deleterious; T: Tolerated						
RadialSVM	D: Deleterious; T: Tolerated						
LR	D: Deleterious; T: Tolerated						
REVEL	higher scores are more deleterious						
CADD_phred	higher scores are more deleterious						
GERP++ (gerp++)	higher scores are more deleterious						

Supplementary Figure 9: CDH23 variants Identified in Japanese individuals with schizophrenia.

Patient-specific novel rare loss of function (LoF) variants predicted by *in silico* tools. There variants were very rare and observed in individual cases.

hiPSC

Neurospheres

Neurons



B Proxies for rs7082486 in Japanese population (1000 genome project data)



Supplementary Figure 10: hiPSCs generated from a healthy individual with rs769896655 (c.753G>A) variant and the linkage disequilibrium pattern of the PPI GWAS hit in Japanese population (A) Bright field images of hiPSC established from a healthy subject (TKUR120) heterozygous (G/A) for the variant rs769896655, neurospheres, and neurons derived from the hiPSC are shown, which was used for testing the allele specific expression in *CDH23* transcript. (B) Significant variant from the GWAS of PPI in schizophrenia, rs7082486 in *CDH23*, was located downstream of the the variant

rs769896655 (c.753G>A) with considerable linkage disequilibrium (LD) in Japanese population (https://ldlink.nci.nih.gov/?tab=home). Since the variant rs769896655 was not represented in the 1000genome data, the exact LD value could not be estimated

List of supplementary tables

Supplementary table 1: Strain-specific single nucleotide variants located on the previously reported loci for PPI, selected for genotyping

Supplementary Table 2: Sequence for short guide RNA (sgRNA) and single-strandedoligodeoxynucleotide (ssODN) for generating CRISPR/Cas9n mediated knock-in mouse

Supplementary Table 3: Primer sequences for the gene expression analysis

Supplementary Table 4: Molecular inversion probes sequences targeting the coding exons and the flanking exon-intron boundaries of *CDH23*

Supplementary Table 5: QTL mapping performed for 86 dB PPI by composite interval mapping with LOD score and percentage of variance explained for the phenotype (R2) in 1,010 F2 mice derived from F1 parents (B6NjC3Hj), both combined and dichotomized for gender

Supplementary Table 6: Bayesian multiple QTL mapping performed for 86 dB-PPI with posterior probabilities across additive and dominant models along with percentage of variance explained (R2) in 1,010 F2 mice derived from F1 parents (B6NjC3Hj), both combined and dichotomized for gender

Supplementary Table 7: Single nucleotide variants, which were distinct between the C57BL/6NJ and C3H/HeJ mouse strains, within the chromosome 10 QTL region from the sequence variation database of mouse strains (<u>https://www.sanger.ac.uk/science/data/mouse-genomes-project/</u>; REL-1303- GRCm38)

Supplementary Table 8: Variant allele frequency of the *CDH23* rs769896655 among different populations

Supplementary Table 9: Association analysis of *CDH23* variant rs769896655 with schizophrenia (pooled samples)