

Supplementary material

Table S1: List of primers

Name	Sequence	Description											
Genetic engineering													
ompR deletion / complementation oCK647 gaggaattcgagctcggtaccCGGTTTCACGTACTCGATAGC ompR-up F													
oCK647	gaggaattcgagctcggtaccCGGTTTCACGTACTCGATAGC	ompR-up F											
oCK648	tcgcctcatgcccgggGTTTATACTCCCAAAGGTTCG	ompR-up R											
oCK649	aaaccccgggCATGAGGCGATTGCGCTTC	<i>ompR</i> -down F											
oCK650	ctatcaacaggagtccaagactagtATCCGCCAGTTGCTTAACACC	ompR-down R											
oCK354	CCGAGCGTTCTGAACAAATC	plasmid integration											
oCK576	CATCGGCAGGAGGTTAAGAC	<i>ompR</i> deletion verification F											
oCK578	ATCAGCAGCGTGCGGTCATC	<i>ompR</i> deletion verification R											
oVT559	gctcggtacccggggatcctctagaaagaggagaaaATGCAAGAGAACT	OmpR complementation F											
	ACAAGATTC												
oVT361	cgcaagcttgcatgcctgcagATAAGTCGTCACCAGGCTG	OmpR complementation R											
qRT-PCR		•											
oVT614	TGCAGTTTCCAGCTCCAAAC	ompC-F											
oVT615	AGCGTCGTATTTCAGACCAC	ompC- R											
oVT436	AAAAACGAGCGTGACACTGC	ompF- F											
oVT437	AGCACCAACGATACCAAAGC	ompF R											
oBV97	TGATGCTGGCTGAAAACACC	<i>rpoD-</i> F											
oBV98	TTCAACGGTGCCCATTTCAC	rpoD- R											
Sequencing	τ 5	1											
oVT357	AGGGGCGTTTTCATCTCGTT	ompR-F											
oVT599	TCGGCAAAATCGCGAAGTTC	ompR-R											
oVT1083	GTAAGCTGACGAACCAGGCA	fim-F											
oVT1084	TAATCTCTGGCTCCCGTTGC	fim-F											

Strain		MIC (µg/ml)														
		IPM	РМВ	СІР	MIN	KAN	GEN	AMK	тов	CHL	SPT					
655	WT	0.0625	0.25	< 0.008	1	1	0.125	0.5	0.25	4	8					
MG1	$\Delta ompR$	0.031	0.25	0.008	1	1	0.125	0.5	0.125	8	8					
7136	WT	0.0625	0.25	0.008	0.5	2	0.5	1	0.5	4	8					
	$\Delta ompR$	0.031	0.25	0.008	0.5	2	0.25	1	0.25	4	8					
3136	WT	0.0625	0.25	0.016	2	2	0.5	1	1	8	16					
SI	$\Delta ompR$	0.031	0.25	0.008	2	2	0.5	1	0.25	8	16					
S179	WT	0.0625	0.25	0.008	0.5	4	0.5	1	0.5	8	32					
	$\Delta ompR$	0.0625	0.25	0.008	0.5	2	0.5	1	0.5	8	16					
S135	WT	0.0625	0.25	0.008	0.5	2	0.5	2	0.5	4	8					
	$\Delta ompR$	0.031	1	0.016	0.5	4	0.25	1	0.5	4	16					
4	WT	0.0625	0.25	0.008	0.5	2	0.5	2	0.5	4	16					
S2	$\Delta ompR$	0.031	0.5	0.008	0.5	2	0.25	0.5	0.25	8	16					
52	WT	0.125	0.25	<0.008	1	4	1	2	1	4	16					
S5	$\Delta ompR$	0.125	0.25	0.016	1	4	1	2	1	8	16					
62	WT	0.031	0.5	<0.008	0.5	4	0.5	1	0.5	4	16					
SI	$\Delta ompR$	0.031	0.5	0.008	0.5	2	0.5	1	0.5	8	32					
31	WT	0.0625	0.5	< 0.008	2	8	0.5	2	0.5	4	16					
LF3	ΔompR	0.031	0.25	< 0.008	0.5	2	0.25	1	0.25	4	16					

Table S2: MIC of standard of care antibiotics against WT and △ompR AIEC strains

IPM: imipenem, PMB: polymyxin B, CIP: ciprofloxacin, MIN: minocycline, KAN: kanamycin, GEN: gentamycin, AMK: amikacin, TOB: tobramycin, CHL: chloramphenicol, SPT: spectinomycin. MIC shifts equal or higher than 4-fold between WT and $\Delta ompR$ strains are highlighted in bold.

Stugin		MIC (μg/ml)	
Stram		Vancomycin	Rifampicin
655	WT	128	4
MGI	$\Delta ompR$	64	2
98	WT	128	4
713	$\Delta ompR$	128	4
98	WT	128	2
S13	$\Delta ompR$	64	2
6/	WT	128	4
SI3	$\Delta ompR$	64	4
S135	WT	>128	2
	$\Delta ompR$	64	2
† †	WT	128	2
$S2^{\prime}$	$\Delta ompR$	64	2
2	WT	>128	8
SS	$\Delta ompR$	>128	4
62	WT	128	4
S16	$\Delta ompR$	64	2
31	WT	>128	2
LF	$\Delta ompR$	64	2

Table S3: MIC of vancomycin and rifampicin against WT and $\triangle ompR$ AIEC strains

MIC shifts equal or higher than 4-fold between WT and $\Delta ompR$ strains are highlighted in bold.



yeast aggregation (titer =0.16)

Figure S1: Example of a yeast aggregation titer assessment. A fixed amount of yeast cells suspension and decreasing concentrations of bacteria were mixed, and the lowest bacterial dilution still able to form homogenous aggregation was used as read-out. In this example, the yeast aggregation titer is 0.16.

Consensus T 7136 T LF31 T S135 T S136 T S162 T S162 T			T T T T T T	A A A A A A A A	A A A A A A A A	A A A A A A A	T T T T T T T T		2 fir T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1 T 1			ТТТТТ	0 0 0 0 0 0	A A A A A A A	A A A A A A	T T T T T	T ' T ' T ' T '		г т г т г т г т г т	т т т т	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	T T T T T T	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	ТТТТТ	T T T T T T T	0 0 0 0 0 0	A A A A A A A	ТТТТТ	T T T T T T	T ⁻ T ⁻ T ⁻ T ⁻ T ⁻				T T T T T T	6 6 6 6 6 6 6	T T T T T T T	т ттттт т	A A A A A A A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A A A A A A A	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6
S244 T S52 T	ΓΑ ΓΑ	, т , т	T T	A A	A A	A A	T T	T T	ר ד ר ד	r G	G C G C	T T	G G	A A	A A	T T	T T	T T T T	гт гт	T T	A A	T T	G G	T T	T T	G G	A A	T T	T T	т [.] т [.]	Γ A Γ A	4 C 4 C	ст Ст	T T	G G	T T	T T	A A	C C	A A	G G
									P1	fiml	3																														
Consensus G 7136 G LF31 G S135 G S136 G S162 G S179 G S244 G S52 G	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A			A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C A C				6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		T - T - T - T - T - T - T - T -		3 T 3 T 3 T 3 T 3 T 3 T 3 T 3 T 5 T			0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0000000000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A	A A A A A A A A	A	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	T T T T T T T T T T	T T T T T T		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T				A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	T T T T T T T T T	A	A	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	T T T T T T T T	A	T T T T T T T T
							P1	fimE	•																								_	•							
Consensus T 7136 T LF31 T S135 T S136 T S162 T S179 T S244 T S52 T	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	A A A A A A A A A A A A A A			T T T T T T T T	A	T T T T T T T T	T T T T T T T T	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T		T T T T T T	A A A A A A A A A A A	T T T T T T T T	T T T T T T T T	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6		A T A T A T A T A T A T A T A T A T		A A A A A A A		AAAAAAAAAA	T T T T T T T T	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	A A A A A A A A A A A	A A A A A A A A A A A	T T T T T T T	G G G G G G G G G G G G G					A A A A A A A A A	0000000000	A	T T T T T T T	G <mark>A</mark> G G G G G G G	T T T T T T T	T T T T A T T T	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0

Figure S2: Sequence of the *fimB* and *fimE* promoters of the 8 AIEC strains. No mutations were found in

the two promoters of *fimB* while the S162 AIEC strain carries a mutation in the *fimE* promoter.



Figure S3: Effect of X-100 Triton on bacterial cells. The T84 cell lysis treatment (1% X-100 Triton for 5 min at room temperature) used in the adhesion assay was applied to the AIEC S136 WT and $\Delta ompR$ mutant to ensure that bacterial cells are not lysed.



Figure S4: Adhesion levels of AIEC WT strains to intestinal epithelial cells T84.

Adhesion assay was performed with T84 intestinal epithelial cells infected with the different WT strains at a MOI of 10 bacteria/cell for 3 hrs. Results are expressed in CFU/well (means \pm sem, 5 independent experiments).



Figure S5: Growth curve of WT, $\triangle ompR$ and $\triangle ompC \triangle ompF$ strains in presence of 0%, 0.1%, 0.5% and 1% of DOC.

The WT K12 MG1655 *E.coli* strain (A), its $\Delta ompR$ mutant (B) and $\Delta ompC\Delta ompF$ (C) were grown in LB supplemented with (black line) 0 % DOC, (blue line) 0.1% DOC, (green line) 0.5% DOC and (red line) 1% DOC. Data representative of at least two independent experiments.



Figure S6: Influence of deoxycholate on gene expression.

Expression levels of *ompR*, *ompC*, *ompF* and *mdtE* were quantified by qRT-PCR in WT and $\Delta ompR$ S136 strains that were grown to mid-log growth phase (OD₆₀₀ 0.4) and incubated with 0% (black), 0.01% (grey) and 0.1% (white) deoxycholate (DOC) for 30 minutes. The expression levels were normalized to the S136 WT strain without DOC (means ± sem, 2 technical replicates). * expression not detected.