

	Seque		Hyb			Odds ratio	Odds ratio	Risk of bias
Study of subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, fixed, 95% CI	M-H, fixed, 95% CI	ABCDEFG
Chen et al. (2015)	68	87	81	88	28.6%	0.31 [0.12, 0.78]		$\Theta \oplus \Theta \oplus \Theta \oplus \Theta$
Sardarian et al. (2013)	161	210	188	210	71.4%	0.38 [0.22, 0.66]	-	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$
Total (95% CI)		297		298	100.0%	0.36 [0.23, 0.58]	•	
Total events	229		269			-		-
Heterogeneity: Chi ² =0.16, df=1 (p=0.69); I ² =0%						0.0	1 0.1 1 10 10	00
Test for overall effect: Z=4.23 (p<0.0001)							Hybrid Sequential	

Supplementary Figure 6. Forest plot comparing the different therapies in terms of the eradication rates between sequential therapy and hybrid therapy in intention-to-treat analysis. Risk of bias: A, random sequence generation (selection bias); B, allocation concealment (selection bias); C, blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias); D, blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias); E, incomplete outcome data (attrition bias); F, selective reporting (reporting bias); G, other bias. M-H, Mantel-Haenszel; CI, confidence interval.