Sensitivity A - minus Raitasuo

Study or subgroup	SMR	SE N	Weight	SMR IV, Random, 95%Cl	SMR IV, Random, 95% Cl				
Forsgren et al (1996) 15	1.19	0.26	9.7%	3.29 [1.97, 5.47]					
Patja et al (2001) 14	1.32	0.06	10.1%	3.74 [3.33, 4.21]			•		
Glover et al (2017) ^a	1.59	0.1	10.1%	4.90 [4.03, 5.97]			-		
Tyrer & McGrother (2009)23	1.7	0.09	10.1%	5.47 [4.59, 6.53]			-		
Hosking et al (2016) ²⁴	1.9	0.11	10%	6.69 [5.39, 8.29]			-		
Cooper et al (2020)28	1.91	0.14	10%	6.75 [5.13, 8.89]			-		
Ng et al (2017) ¹²	2.53	0.07	10.1%	12.55 [10.94,14.40]			•		
Smith et al (2020) 10	4.01	0.13	10%	55.15 [42.74, 71.15]			1	-	
Hollins1 et al (1998)11	4.31	0.14	10%	74.44 [56.58, 97.94]			1	+	
Hollins2 et al (1998)11	4.91	0.12	10%	135.64 [107.21, 171.61]				-	
Total (95% CI)			100%	12.67 [6.01, 26.73]	_		-		
11-1	CO OF # 0 (1		Mb 12 -000/		0.005	0.1	1 10	200	
Heterogeneity: Tau ² = 1.43; Chi ² = 1260.95, df = 9 (P<0.00001); l ² =99% Test for overall effect: Z = 6.67 (P<0.00001)						General population		Intellectual disability	

Sensitivity B - minus Raitasuo and Tyrer

9 0.26 2 0.06 9 0.1 9 0.11 1 0.14	11.2% 11.2% 11.2%	3.29 [1.97, 5.47] 3.74 [3.33, 4.21] 4.90 [4.03, 5.97] 6.69 [5.39, 8.29] 6.75 [5.13, 8.89]		+ + + +	
9 0.1 0 0.11 1 0.14	11.2% 11.2%	3.74 [3.33, 4.21] 4.90 [4.03, 5.97] 6.69 [5.39, 8.29]		-	
0.11	11.2%	4.90 [4.03, 5.97] 6.69 [5.39, 8.29]		+	
1 0.14				-	
	11.1%	6.75 [5.13, 8.89]		-	
3 0.07	11.2%	12.55 [10.94,14.40]		•	
1 0.13	11.1%	55.15 [42.74, 71.15]		-	-
1 0.14	11.1%	74.44 [56.58, 97.94]			-
1 0.1	11.1%	135.64 [107.21, 171.61]			-
	100%	13.92 [6.02, 32.15]			200
	01 0.12	01 0.12 11.1%	0.12 11.1% 135.64 [107.21, 171.61]	1 0.12 11.1% 135.64 [107.21, 171.61] 100% 13.92 [6.02, 32.15] $df = 8 (B < 0.0001) \cdot F = 98\%$	1 0.12 11.1% 135.64 [107.21, 171.61] 100% 13.92 [6.02, 32.15] 0.005 0.1 1 10

Test for overall effect: Z = 6.16 (P<0.00001)