

Figure S1: Proportion of active voxels estimated using an uncorrected threshold (0.001) for the locally moderated t-statistic with different neighborhoods. Results are organized by task and effect size group. Smaller neighborhoods provide improved results. Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.



Figure S2: False negative rates estimated using an uncorrected threshold (0.001) for the locally moderated t-statistic with different neighborhoods. Results are organized by task and effect size group. Smaller neighborhoods provide improved results. Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.



Figure S3: Proportion of active voxels estimated using an FWER-corrected threshold (0.05) for the locally moderated t-statistic with different neighborhoods. Results are organized by task and effect size group. Smaller neighborhoods provide improved results. Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.



Figure S4: False negative rates estimated using an FWER-corrected threshold (0.05) for the locally moderated tstatistics approach with different neighborhoods. Results are organized by task and effect size group. Smaller neighborhoods provide improved results. Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.



Figure S5: The empirical error control for the locally moderated t test, estimated using the fake 'working memory' data. The results show the FWER corresponding to different neighborhood sizes and sample sizes. In general, the FWER is controlled at the 5% level, indicated by the solid horizonal black line. However, neighborhoods with smaller radius have excessive FWER at small sample sizes. Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.



Figure S6: False negative rates for each task using an uncorrected p-value threshold at 0.001. (Top) Rates are shown for four different methods: standard t-statistics, moderated t-statistics, locally moderated t-statistics with  $r = 5$ , and non-parametric pseudo t-statistics. Rates are stratified according to effect size group. (Bottom) The difference in false negative rate between moderated/locally moderated t-statistics and the standard t-statistic is shown for each task and effect size group. The results highlight the differences in results for small sample sizes. The results illustrate that locally moderated t-statistics performs significantly better than the other methods for small sample sizes. Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.



Figure S7: Proportion of active voxels in each task using an uncorrected p-value threshold at 0.001. (Top) Proportions are shown for four different methods: standard t-statistics, moderated t-statistics, locally moderated t-statistics with  $r = 5$ , and non-parametric pseudo t-statistics. Proportions are stratified according to effect size group. (Bottom) The difference in proportions between moderated/locally moderated t-statistics and the standard t-statistic is shown for each task and effect size group. The results shows the proportion is always larger for moderated t-statistics, particularly for small sample sizes.Note if two lines overlap their colors are mixed.

## **A Sample code for using the limmi R package**

The example data is taken from the HCP motor task described in Section 2.2.2. The data consists of COPEs comparing finger-tapping vs baseline for  $n = 15$  subjects. Before using the limmi package for the first time, it should be downloaded from GitHub. This can be done by typing the command:

```
install_github("muschellij2/limmi")
```
Note you will need to have the devtools package installed and loaded prior to running this command.

We begin the analysis by loading the necessary R-packages.

```
library(RNifti)
library(limmi)
library(neurobase)
```
Note these packages may need to be installed prior to first use.

The data we use in this example is in a folder consisting of two sub-directories. The first is named Overlay and contains an anatomical T1 image  $\verb|single\_subj_T1.nii|$  taken from SPM12<sup>2</sup>. The second is named Motor\_Data and contains first-level COPEs from 15 subjects. These COPEs are taken from the HCP database, but have been renamed in this illustration.

We first create a variable files that contains paths to each of the 15 COPEs we will use in our second-level analysis.

```
files = list.files(path = "Motor_Data/", pattern='.nii')
files = file.path("Motor_Data", files)
files
[1] "Motor_Data/subject01.nii" "Motor_Data/subject02.nii" "Motor_Data/subject03.nii"
[4] "Motor_Data/subject04.nii" "Motor_Data/subject05.nii" "Motor_Data/subject06.nii"
[7] "Motor_Data/subject07.nii" "Motor_Data/subject08.nii" "Motor_Data/subject09.nii"
[10] "Motor_Data/subject10.nii" "Motor_Data/subject11.nii" "Motor_Data/subject12.nii"
[13] "Motor_Data/subject13.nii" "Motor_Data/subject14.nii" "Motor_Data/subject15.nii"
```
Next, we read in the anatomical T1 image that will be used later as an overlay to present results.

img = readNIfTI("Overlay/single\_subj\_T1.nii", reorient = FALSE)

To help facilitate the analysis, it is useful to create a mask excluding voxels whose values are zero for all subjects. As part of this process we first use the function nifti\_images\_to\_matrix to place the COPEs into matrix format.

mat = nifti\_images\_to\_matrix(files, verbose = FALSE)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Statistical Parametric Mapping, version 12; http://www.fil.ion.ucl.ac.uk/spm/



Figure S8: (Left) The results of the second-level analysis using the moderated t-statistic, with thresholding performed at p-value < 0.001 uncorrected. (Right) The results of the second-level analysis using the moderated t-statistic, with thresholding performed at p-value < 0.01 FDR-corrected using the Benjami-Hochberg approach.

```
keep = rowSums(mat > 0)mask = array(keep, dim = dim(img))mask = asNitti(mask, reference = img)
```
Now we are ready to use the function nifti\_eBayes to compute the moderated t-statistic. This function takes the variables files and mask and computes the moderated t-statistic for all voxels included in the mask.

gb\_eb = nifti\_eBayes(files, mask, verbose = FALSE)

To visualize the results we create a map indicating voxels with p-values below 0.001 overlayed on the anatomical image; see Fig. S8 (left panel).

```
neurobase::ortho2(img, gb_eb$images$p.value <= 0.001, pdim = pdim)
```
By default, nifti\_eBayes does a topTable from limma to adjust the p-values using the Benjamini-Hochberg approach. We can show results using these values by replacing gb\_eb\$images\$p.value by gb\_eb\$images\$adjusted\_p\_value in the command above; see Fig. S8 (right panel).

```
neurobase::ortho2(img, gb_eb$images$adjusted_p_value <= 0.01, pdim = pdim)
```
In addition, the command provides results for the standard t-statistic. We can show results using these values by replacing gb\_eb\$images\$p.value by gb\_eb\$images\$standard\_t\_stat\_p\_val in the command above.

Next, we run findNearestNeighbors to create a list of the neighbors within a specified radius for each voxel. The function  $nifti\_local\_moderated_t\_stat$  can then be used to produce moderated t-statistics with respect to these pre-defined neighbors. The computation can be parallelized by specifying the parameter mc.cores.

```
# Specify the radius of the neighborhood
r = 2findnn = findNearestNeighbors(maskImg = mask, radius = r, threads = 8)
```


Figure S9: The results of the second-level analysis using the locally moderated t-statistic, with thresholding performed at p-value < 0.001 uncorrected.

```
localt = nifti_local_moderated_t_stat(imgs = files, mask = mask, nn = findnn,
                                      radius = r, adjust.method = "BH", mc.cores = 1)
```
To visualize the results we create a map indicating voxels with p-values below 0.001 overlayed on the anatomical image; see Fig. S9.

```
neurobase::ortho2(img,localt$pvalmap <= 0.001, pdim = pdim)
```