

Corresponding author(s):	Gil Ast, Galit Lev Maor
Last updated by author(s):	Jun 15, 2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see our <u>Editorial Policies</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

~			0.00	
<u>_</u>	ta:	tic	:+:	\sim

For	ali st	atistical analyses, confirm that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods section.
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	X	The exact sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	×	A statement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	×	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	X	A description of all covariates tested
	×	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	×	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)
	×	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
x		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
X		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
	×	Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	'	Our web collection on statistics for biologists contains articles on many of the points above.

Software and code

Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>

Data collection No software was used

Data analysis trimmomatic software version 0.39 Bowtie2 v2.1.0

Bowtie2 2.4.2 STAR aligner v2.7.1a

bam2wig.pl (http://search/cpan.org/~rjparnell/Bio-ToolBox-1.44)

bwtool v1.0 Cufflinks v2.2.1 MaxEntScan::score5ss R package bamsignals

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

All ChIP-seq, RNA ChIP-seq, and RNA-seq raw and processed data generated in this study have been deposited in and are publically available in the GEO database

	ecific reporting one below that is the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.
Life sciences	Behavioural & social sciences
	f the document with all sections, see nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf
	nces study design
	lisclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.
Sample size	RNA-seq (Fig 4 and Sup 5)- 1 replicate, ChIP-seq (Fig 4 and Sup 5)- 1 replicate, RNA ChIP-seq (Fig 4 and Sup 5)- duplicate, CRISPR RNA ChIP-seq (Fig 3d)- 1 replicate, RNA ChIP (Sup 2a)-1 replicate Fig 1b-1 replicate. All other experiments were performed in triplicate to access statistical
	significance.
Data exclusions	
Data exclusions Replication	significance.
	Significance. No data was excluded from our analyses. RNA-seq (Fig 4 and Sup 5)- 1 replicate, ChIP-seq (Fig 4 and Sup 5)- 1 replicate, RNA ChIP-seq (Fig 4 and Sup 5)- duplicate, CRISPR RNA ChIP-seq (Fig 3d)- 1 replicate, RNA ChIP (Sup 2a)-1 replicate Fig 1b-1 replicate. All other experiments were performed in triplicate to access statistical

Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods

We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each materials. system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response.

Materials & experimental systems		Methods	
n/a	Involved in the study	n/a	Involved in the study
	x Antibodies		x ChIP-seq
	x Eukaryotic cell lines	×	Flow cytometry
×	Palaeontology and archaeology	×	MRI-based neuroimaging
×	Animals and other organisms		
×	Human research participants		
×	Clinical data		
×	Dual use research of concern		

Antibodies

Antibodies used

anti-pol II p-Ser2 (Abcam; ab5095) (1:500), anti-pol II p-Ser5 (Abcam; ab5408) (1:1000), anti SNRPC (U1C) (Abcam; ab157116) (1:200), anti-U2AF2 (a gift of Prof. Juan Valcarcel, Centre for Genomic Regulation, Barcelona, Spain) (1:500), anti-U2AF35 (Abcam; ab172614) (1:250), anti-FUS (Abcam; ab23439) (1:400), anti-SAP155/SF3B1 (MBL; D221-3) (1:1000), anti-NXF1/TAP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc- 32319) (1:500), anti-GAPDH (GenScript; A00191-40) (1:1000), anti-PTBP1 (Abcam; ab133734) (1:5000), anti a-tubulin (abcam; ab18251) (1:40000), anti-histone 4 (Millipore; 05-858) (1:30000), donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Abcam; ab97064) and goat anti-mouse IgG (Abcam; ab7068).

anti-pol II p-Ser2 (Abcam; ab5095)- Fu C et al. BRF Negatively Regulates Thermotolerance Defect of fes1a in Arabidopsis. Front Plant Sci 11:171 (2020).

> anti-pol II p-Ser5 (Abcam; ab5408)- Bou Sleiman M et al. Enteric infection induces Lark-mediated intron retention at the 5' end of Drosophila genes. Genome Biol 21:4 (2020).

anti SNRPC (U1C) (Abcam; ab 157116) (1:200), no ref for that antibody

anti-U2AF2 (a gift of Prof. Juan Valcarcel, Centre for Genomic Regulation, Barcelona, Spain) (1:500), U2AF65 antibody was described in that article:https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1097276512000329.

anti-U2AF35 (Abcam; ab 172614) (1:250) ab172614 has been referenced in 2 publications.

Esfahani MS et al. Functional significance of U2AF1 S34F mutations in lung adenocarcinomas. Nat Commun 10:5712 (2019). PubMed: 31836708

Palangat M et al. The splicing factor U2AF1 contributes to cancer progression through a noncanonical role in translation regulation. Genes Dev 33:482-497 (2019). PubMed: 30842218

anti-FUS (Abcam; ab23439) (1:400), according to datasheet cited 22 times

anti-SAP155/SF3B1 (MBL; D221-3) (1:1000), according to datasheet cited 11 times

anti-NXF1/TAP (Santa Cruz Biotechnology; sc- 32319) (1:500), according to datasheet selected cited 7 times

anti-GAPDH (GenScript; A00191-40) (1:1000), according to datasheet selected cited 10 times

anti-PTBP1 (Abcam; ab133734) (1:5000), according to datasheet cited 7 times

anti a-tubulin (abcam; ab 18251) (1:40000), according to datasheet cited 234 times

anti-histone 4 (Millipore; 05-858) (1:30000), according to datasheet cited 58 times

donkey anti-rabbit IgG (Abcam; ab 97064) according to datasheet cited 24 times

and goat anti-mouse IgG (Abcam; ab 7068) according to datasheet cited 12 times

Eukaryotic cell lines

Policy information about cell lines

Cell line source(s)

Flp-In-HEK293 (Invitrogen), HEK293 (ATCC), and HeLa (ATCC)

Authentication

Cell lines were not authenticated

Mycoplasma contamination

Cell lines were tested negative for mycoplasma

Commonly misidentified lines (See ICLAC register)

No commonly misidentified cell lines were used in this study

ChIP-seq

Data deposition

x Confirm that both raw and final processed data have been deposited in a public database such as <u>GEO</u>.

x Confirm that you have deposited or provided access to graph files (e.g. BED files) for the called peaks.

May remain private before publication.

The datasets generated and analyzed during the current study are available in the GEO repository, GSE145092

Files in database submission

DNA-ChIP: pol2 ser2.fastq.gz U1.fastq.gz pol2_ser2_U1.fastq.gz input.fastq.gz pol2_ser2_PTPBP1.fastq.gz pol2_ser2_U2AF65.fastq.gz U2AF65.fastq.gz

pol2_ser2.bw U1.bw pol2_ser2_U1.bw input.bw pol2_ser2_PTPBP1.bw pol2_ser2_U2AF65.bw U2AF65.bw pol2_ser2_normalized.bw U1_normalized.bw pol2_ser2_U1_normalized.bw pol2_ser2_PTPBP1_normalized.bw pol2_ser2_U2AF65_normalized.bw U2AF65_normalized.bw

RNA-ChIP:

```
pol2 ser2_U1_noAMT.fastq.gz
pol2 ser2_U1_AMT.fastq.gz
pol2_ser2_AMT.fastq.gz
input_AMT.fastq.gz
input_noAMT.fastq.gz
pol2_ser2_U1_AMT_rep2_R1.fastq.gz
pol2_ser2_AMT_rep2_R1.fastq.gz
input_AMT_rep2_R1.fastq.gz
pol2_ser2_U1_AMT_rep2_R2.fastq.gz
pol2_ser2_AMT_rep2_R2.fastq.gz
input_AMT_rep2_R2.fastq.gz
U1_149_R1.fastq.gz
U1_149_R2.fastq.gz
input_149_R1.fastq.gz
input_149_R2.fastq.gz
pol2_ser2_U1_noAMT.bw
pol2_ser2_U1_AMT.bw
pol2_ser2_AMT.bw
input_AMT.bw
input_noAMT.bw
pol2_ser2_U1_noAMT_normalized.bw
pol2_ser2_U1_AMT_normalized.bw
pol2_ser2_AMT_normalized.bw
149_U1_ctrl_aligned_to_U1_Mut_and_WT.bam
149_U1_IP_aligned_to_U1_Mut_and_WT.bam
```

Genome browser session (e.g. <u>UCSC</u>)

https://genome.ucsc.edu/index.html (hg38)

Methodology

Replicates

Two replicates for RNA-ChIP AMT samples and one replicate for the rest of the samples

Sequencing depth

DNA-ChIP:

No. of reads:

input: 86,705,671;pol2: 96,597,994;U1:94,346,442;pol2-U1: 115,304,779

Uniquely no. of reads:

input: 72,871,782;pol2: 83,103,090;U1: 80,894,252;pol2-U1: 97,017,802

Length of reads:

50bp

single-end

RNA-ChIP:

No. of reads:

pol2_ser2_U1_noAMT: 223515808; pol2_ser2_U1_AMT: 38880282 ;pol2_ser2_AMT: 91368693; input_AMT: 76189608;

input_noAMT: 135791769;

pol2_ser2_U1_AMT_rep2: 159097024; pol2_ser2_AMT_rep2: 138895578; input_AMT_rep2: 129131298;

U1_149: 30927748; control_149: 26135531;

Uniquely no. of reads

noAMT-input: 74866260;AMT-input: 11790788;AMT-pol2-u1: 3348234;AMT-pol2: 10314128;no-AMT-pol2-u1: 5817163

AMT-input-rep2:51127968; AMT-pol2-rep2:37466160; AMT-pol2-U1-rep2:62454420

U1_149: 243486; input_149: 29968

Length of reads:

50bp, single-end + 75bp, paired-end

Antibodies

1) https://www.abcam.com/rna-polymerase-ii-ctd-repeat-ysptsps-phospho-s2-antibody-chip-grade-ab5095.html

 $2) \ https://www.abcam.com/u1-c-antibody-ab157116.html; https://www.abcam.com/u2af35u2af1-antibody-epr12649bab172614.$

html

Peak calling parameters

Reads were mapped to the human reference genome hg38 using either STAR aligner using default parameters, or with Bowtie2 using default parameters with –very-sensitive mode. Only uniquely mapped reads were kept and duplicate reads were removed.

For RNA-ChIP exon-intron junctions, peaks were inferred using custom code in MATLAB (see Methods). Briefly, the criterion for peak calling was at least 1.5 fold-change difference between normalized number of reads in sample compared to input.

Data quality

QC was carried out using fastQC and visual inspection using IGV. 4647 and 5262 peaks were significant at FDR levels of 0.05 and 0.1, respectively. 502 peaks were significant with fold-change greater than 5 between AMT-pol2-U1 and input samples.