Modeling neutral viral mutations in the spread of SARS-CoV-2 epidemics

Vitor M. Marquioni¹, Marcus A. M. de Aguiar^{1*}

1 Instituto de Física "Gleb Wataghin", Universidade Estadual de Campinas -UNICAMP, Campinas, SP, Brazil

* aguiar@ifi.unicamp.br

1 Appendix A: Simulation parameters

The network simulations follow the model proposed in reference [\[1\]](#page-8-0), and the parameters ² are displayed in Table [1.](#page-0-0) \blacksquare

Table 1. Simulation Parameters. The number of nodes in each simulation is described properly.

*This is the input average degree for the networks construction, but the actual value for each realization fluctuates. For the communities simulations, this is the parameter for constructing each isolated network, as also for the control case $p = 0$.

For the numerical solution of mean-field approaches, following the SEIR model

$$
\dot{S} = -\beta SI/N \n\dot{E} = \beta SI/N - \sigma E \n\dot{I} = \sigma E - \gamma I \n\dot{R} = \gamma I
$$
\n(A1)

we have used the following parameters: $R_0 = 2.4$, $\gamma = 1/14$ day⁻¹; $\beta = R_0 \gamma$ and 5 $\sigma = 1/\langle t_i \rangle$, where $\langle t_i \rangle$ is the mean period of incubation, averaged over the distribution from Table [1](#page-0-0) $[1]$.

2 Appendix B: Analytical calculations

Our goal is to derive a recurrence equation for the average genetic distance, i.e., given ⁹ the distance d_t at time t, we aim to calculate the distance d_{t+1} at time $t+1$. The idea is to calculate d_{t+1} as a weighted average, where the weights are the number of pairs $\frac{1}{11}$ that are distanced by a certain amount. In a SEIR model, every iteration starts with a 12 given number of recovered (R_t) , infected (I_t) and exposed (E_t) individuals. When an \cdots individual recovers, its infecting virus stops to spread and to evolve, and we call it a ¹⁴ *final virus*. There are R_t final viruses at the beginning of a given iteration. Viruses $\frac{1}{15}$ infecting Exposed individuals can mutate during this iteration. However, viruses in ¹⁶ Infected individuals can either evolve and mutate in this time step or not, since their $\frac{1}{17}$ hosts might recover. The latter become final and are counted as r_t . Infected individuals 18 can also spread the virus, which replicate before evolving or becoming final. Such ¹⁹ offspring (x_t) increase the number of viruses in Exposed individuals in the next $\frac{20}{20}$ iteration, when they will be allowed to evolve.

At the beginning of iteration $t + 1$, there are $(R_t + E_t + I_t)(R_t + E_t + I_t - 1)/2$ pairs 22 of viruses sharing an average distance equal to d_t , but along the iteration some of the 23 distances may increase by a certain amount to be calculated, as also new viruses may 24 arise. Therefore, 25

$$
d_{t+1} = \frac{1}{Z'_t} \left(d_t \frac{(R_t + E_t + I_t)(R_t + E_t + I_t - 1)}{2} + \text{Increasing} + \text{Ofspring} \right), \quad (A2)
$$

where Z'_t is a normalization factor, which counts the total number of pairs at the end of 26 iteration $t + 1$, $\frac{27}{27}$

$$
Z'_{t} = \frac{(R_t + E_t + I_t + x_t)(R_t + E_t + I_t + x_t - 1)}{2}.
$$
 (A3)

If the mutation rate is zero and no new infections occur $(x_t = 0)$ the "Increases" term 28 and the "Offspring" term are equal to zero, and $d_{t+1} = d_t$, as expected.

In the following two subsections, we shall calculate the "Increases" term and the \qquad 30 "Offspring" term, which accounts for the evolution and for the spread, respectively. $\frac{31}{24}$

2.1 Increases $\frac{32}{2}$

Genetic distances between evolving viruses increase over time. In order to calculate how $\frac{33}{20}$ much these distances increase we first consider that mutations occurring in the same locus of different genomes are unlikely, as well as more than one mutation per locus on a ³⁵ single genome. This approximation holds as long as the epidemic duration T remains $\frac{36}{56}$ sufficiently small, $\mu T \ll 1$. Thus, after one time step, an evolving genome acquires, on $\mu T \ll 1$. average, $B\mu$ mutations. The distance between two evolving genomes will increase, on $\frac{38}{100}$ average, by $2B\mu$ nucleotides after one time step. The distance between viruses in $\frac{39}{2}$ exposed individuals, for example, increases by $2B\mu$ and because there are $E_t(E_t - 1)/2$ pairs of exposed individuals, their evolution along the iteration $t + 1$ contributes $\frac{41}{100}$ $2B\mu E_t(E_t-1)/2$ to the Increases term. On the other hand, the distance between 42 viruses in an exposed and a recovered individual, or an infected individual that recovers, ⁴³ is only $B\mu$, because the latter two do not evolve. There are $E_t(R_t + r_t)$ pairs among $\qquad 44$ these viruses, and thus their contribution to Increases is $E_t(R_t + r_t)B\mu$. We recall that the updates in our model occur in the order "Transmission", "Attempt to Recovery" 46 and lastly, "Genome Evolution". Thus, if an infected individual recovers its virus does $\frac{47}{47}$ not have the chance to mutate. $\frac{48}{48}$

Therefore, in order to compute the Increases term, we must calculate the average ⁴⁹ increase in distance between all pairs of viruses and how many pairs of these viruses $\frac{50}{20}$ exist. Table [2](#page-2-0) summarizes this information. We obtain

Increasing =

\n
$$
E_t R_t B \mu + E_t r_t B \mu + (I_t - r_t) r_t B \mu + (I_t - r_t) R_t B \mu + (I_t - r_t) E_t 2 B \mu + \frac{E_t (E_t - 1)}{2} 2 B \mu + \frac{(I_t - r_t)(I_t - r_t - 1)}{2} 2 B \mu.
$$
\n(A4)

Viruses	Number of Pairs	Average Distance Increase
(E_t) and (R_t)	$E_t R_t$	$B\mu$
(E_t) and (r_t)	E_tr_t	$B\mu$
$(I_t - r_t)$ and (r_t)	$(I_t - r_t)r_t$	$B\mu$
$(I_t - r_t)$ and (R_t)	$(I_t - r_t)R_t$	$B\mu$
$(I_t - r_t)$ and (E_t)	$(I_t - r_t)E_t$	$2B\mu$
(E_t) and (E_t)	$E_t(E_t-1)/2$	$2B\mu$
	$(I_t - r_t)$ and $(I_t - r_t)$ $(I_t - r_t)(I_t - r_t - 1)/2$	$2B\mu$
(R_t) and (R_t)	$R_t(R_t-1)/2$	θ
(r_t) and (r_t)	$r_t(r_t-1)/2$	θ
(r_t) and (R_t)	$r_t R_t$	θ

Table 2. Increases in average distance and number of pairs of viruses.

2.2 Offspring $\frac{1}{2}$

The contribution of the new infections to the average distance d_{t+1} , the Offspring term, $\overline{}$ is more tricky. To simplify matters we will assume that an infected individual infects ⁵⁴ only one susceptible per time step, which is a good assumption if the basic reproduction $\frac{55}{100}$ number R_0 is small compared to the average duration of symptoms. Thus, x_t is also the ϵ number of individuals who infected a susceptible within the time step $t + 1$, which will $\frac{5}{57}$ be called ancestors from now on. Let D_1 be the average distance between ancestors and $\overline{58}$ the other viruses at time t, and D_2 , the distance between the exposed and the other \sim viruses. Note that an ancestor may recover and, therefore, not mutate in this time step. \sim The Offspring term is a sum of different contributions between offspring and the other $\frac{61}{100}$ viruses in the population, as explained in detail below.

- 1. Genetic distance between offspring and recovered. The number of pairs is $x_t R_t$. Because offspring do not evolve in the time step they appear, their average 64 distance is D_1 . Then, its contribution to the Offspring term is $x_t R_t D_1$.
- 2. Genetic distance between offspring and exposed. The number of pairs is $x_t E_t$. Because the exposed evolve, these pairs contribute with $x_t E_t(D_2 + B\mu)$ to the 67 Offspring term. $\frac{68}{1000}$
- 3. Genetic Distance between offspring of an infected (ancestor) that does not recover ϵ_{0} (there are $(I_t - r_t)$ of these individuals) and infected: $\frac{r_0}{r_0}$
	- (a) The distance between an offspring and its ancestor is $B\mu$, since the ancestor π evolves. There are $x_t(I_t - r_t)/I_t$ new infections of this type, contributing \overline{r} with $x_t((I_t - r_t)/I_t)B\mu$ to the distance.
	- (b) For each offspring there are $I_t r_t 1$ infected individuals that did not $\frac{1}{74}$ recover and are not its ancestral. The distance between the offspring and $\frac{75}{75}$ these individuals is $(D_1 + B\mu)$, adding $\frac{76}{4}$ $x_t((I_t - r_t)/I_t)(I_t - r_t - 1)(D_1 + B\mu)$ to the Offspring term.
	- (c) The distance between the offspring and individuals that recover is D_1 , \blacksquare because neither of these viruses evolve in this time step. There are ⁷⁹ $x_t((I_t - r_t)/I_t)r_t$ pairs of these viruses, adding $x_t((I_t - r_t)/I_t)r_tD_1$ to the so Offspring term.
- 4. Genetic distance between offspring of infected (ancestor) that recover in this $\frac{1}{2}$ *iteration (there are* r_t *of these individuals) and infected:* s_3
- (a) The distance between offspring and its ancestor is zero, because none of $\frac{84}{84}$ them evolve. $\frac{85}{25}$
	- (b) The distance between the offspring and the other viruses of type is D_1 . There are $x_t r_t / I_t$ new infections of this type, contributing $\frac{87}{100}$ $(x_t r_t/I_t)(r_t - 1)D_1$ to the Offspring term.
	- (c) The distance between offspring and the other infected individuals is $\frac{89}{90}$ $(x_t r_t/I_t)(I_t - r_t)(D_1 + B\mu)$, since the other infected viruses evolve..
- 5. Genetic distance between offspring. Because each ancestor gives rise to only one 91 new infection, this distance equals D_1 , and once there are $x_t(x_t - 1)/2$ pairs of 92 offspring, this contribution is $(x_t(x_t - 1)/2)D_1$.
- 6. By summing everything up, we get

Offspring =
$$
x_t R_t D_1 + x_t E_t (D_2 + B\mu)
$$

+ $x \frac{(I_t - r_t)}{I_t} B\mu + x_t \frac{(I_t - r_t)}{I_t} (I_t - r_t - 1)(D_1 + B\mu) + x_t \frac{(I_t - r_t)}{I_t} r_t D_1$
+ $x_t \frac{r_t}{I_t} 0 + x_t \frac{r_t}{I_t} (r_t - 1) D_1 + x_t \frac{r_t}{I_t} (I_t - r_t)(D_1 + B\mu)$
+ $\frac{x_t(x_t - 1)}{2} D_1$. (A5)

Putting all these terms together and defining $Z_t \equiv 2 Z'_t$ we obtain

$$
d_{t+1} = \frac{1}{Z_t} (d_t (R_t + E_t + I_t)(R_t + E_t + I_t - 1)
$$

+ $x_t D_1 (x_t - 3 + 2R_t + 2I_t + 2E_t D_2/D_1)$
+ $2B\mu (E_t + I_t - r_t)(E_t + I_t + R_t + x_t - 1)).$ (A6)

The reason for assigning the distance D_1 between infected and other viruses, instead $\frac{94}{94}$ of d_t , is that infected individuals represent only a fraction of the viruses in the population, and the distance between them and other viruses grows over time, therefore $\frac{1}{96}$ being above the average d_t . The same holds for the exposed individuals. \Box

Although we were not able to analytically find an expression for D_1 and D_2 , we can approximate them as follows. First we assume that $D_2 \approx D_1$. When the epidemic begins, all viruses are infected, so that $D_1 = d_t$. However, the ratio between infected 100 and recovered individuals decreases to zero along the epidemic, making D_1 larger than 101 d_t . Thus, to first order, it is possible to approximate $D_1 \approx d_t(1+\epsilon)$, with ϵ a function $\frac{102}{2}$ of the number of recovered individuals, $R_t/(I_t + E_t + R_t)$ and the average number of \qquad mutations $B\mu$. Our simulations showed that the linear function 104

 $D_1 = d_t(1 + 2B\mu R_t/(I_t + E_t + R_t))$ works well (considering the parameters in Appendix 105 A), leading to the theoretical result expressed by $Eq.(2)$ from the main text.

2.3 Continuum Limit $\frac{107}{107}$

To achieve the continuum limit we start by substituting $r_t = R_{t+1} - R_t$ and $x_t = E_{t+1} - E_t + I_{t+1} - I_t + R_{t+1} - R_t$ in Eq.(2) from the main text and subtracting d_t from both sides of this equation:

$$
d_{t+1} - d_t = \frac{1}{Z_t} \left\{ 2d_t \left(E_{t+1} - E_t + I_{t+1} - I_t + R_{t+1} - R_t \right) \times \right.\
$$

$$
\times \left[-1 + B\mu \frac{R_t}{I_t + E_t + R_t} \left(R_{t+1} + R_t + I_{t+1} + I_t + E_{t+1} + E_t - 3 \right) \right] + 2B\mu \left(E_t + I_t + R_t - R_{t+1} \right) \left(E_{t+1} + I_{t+1} + R_{t+1} - 1 \right) \right\} \tag{A7}
$$

with

$$
Z_t = (E_{t+1} + I_{t+1} + R_{t+1})(E_{t+1} + I_{t+1} + R_{t+1} - 1).
$$
 (A8)

Then, we consider the first order approximations

$$
f_t \approx f(t)
$$

$$
f_{t+1} \approx f(t) + \dot{f}(t)\Delta t,
$$

and once $B\mu$ in the last line of Eq.(A7) is the number of mutations per time step, we replace it by $B\mu\Delta t$

$$
\begin{split}\n\dot{d}(t)\Delta t &= \tag{A9} \\
\frac{1}{Z_t} \left\{ 2d(t)\Delta t \left(\dot{E}(t) + \dot{I}(t) + \dot{R}(t) \right) \times \right. \\
&\times \left[-1 + B\mu \frac{R(t)}{I(t) + E(t) + R(t)} \left(2R(t) + 2I(t) + 2E(t) + \Delta t (\dot{E}(t) + \dot{I}(t) + \dot{R}(t)) - 3 \right) \right] \\
&+ 2B\Delta t \mu \left(E(t) + I(t) - \dot{R}(t)\Delta(t) \right) \left(R(t) + I(t) + E(t) + \Delta t (\dot{E}(t) + \dot{I}(t) + \dot{R}(t)) - 1 \right) \right\}\n\end{split}
$$
\n(A9)

with

$$
Z_t = (R(t) + I(t) + E(t) + \Delta t(\dot{E}(t) + \dot{I}(t) + \dot{R}(t))) \times
$$

$$
\times (R(t) + I(t) + E(t) + \Delta t(\dot{E}(t) + \dot{I}(t) + \dot{R}(t)) - 1).
$$
 (A11)

Finally, by taking the limit $\Delta t \to 0$ we obtain the continuous time equation.

2.4 Multiple Infections

The average distance $d_{root,t}^{(i)}$ between viruses from a lineage and its root is calculated using the same technique discussed above, however it is much simpler, once we only need to calculate the average distance from a kind of virus and the root (a single virus which does not evolve). Using the same notation, but now with a super-index to denote the lineage, we obtain

$$
d_{root, t+1}^{(i)} = \frac{1}{Z_t} \left[\left(R_t^{(i)} + E_t^{(i)} + I_t^{(i)} \right) d_{root, t}^{(i)} + E_t^{(i)} B \mu + \left(I_t^{(i)} - r_t^{(i)} \right) B \mu + x_t^{(i)} D_{1, root}^{(i)} \right]
$$
\n(A12)

with $Z_t = (E_t^{(i)} + I_t^{(i)} + R_t^{(i)} + x_t^{(i)})$ and $D_{1,root}^{(i)}$ being the average distance between 110 infected and the root, which is given (similarly to D_1) by 111

$$
D_{1,root}^{(i)} = d_{root,t}^{(i)} \left(1 + 4B\mu \frac{R_t^{(i)}}{E_t^{(i)} + I_t^{(i)} + R_t^{(i)}} \right).
$$

The factor 4 is a fit from numerical investigations. The continuum limit is obtained by $_{112}$ subtracting $d_{root,t}^{(i)}$ from both sides of Eq.(6) from the main text, applying the 113 continuous approximation for each epidemic curve and taking the limit $\Delta t \to 0$.

3 Appendix C: Real genetic evolution algorithm 115

In order to estimate the real (from genetic data) genetic evolution, we used 55 complete 116 genome sequences collected in China [\[8\]](#page-8-7). First, these sequences were ordered and ¹¹⁷

numbered by its collection date and a matrix of genetic distances d_{ij} between genomes i 118 and j has been constructed. Each pair of sequences were alligned with the $\frac{1}{119}$ Needleman-Wunsch algorithm, with score +1 for match and −1 for mismatch [\[9\]](#page-8-8). Then, 120 the distance between two genomes was computed counting the number of substitutions 121 between the sequences, neglecting *indels*.

We defined a time window $\tau_W = 14 = \tau_0$ days. Thus, every genome collected within 123 τ_W are considered infected, and the genomes collected before this time window are 124 considered recovered. Now, we calculate the average distance among the infected $d_{I,t}$, 125 recovered $d_{R,t}$ and among infected and recovered $d_{IR,t}$ at the time t. Fig[.1](#page-6-0) shows an 126 example of a distance matrix with a specific time window. Finally, the average distance 127 at time t can be computed as 128

$$
d_{t} = \frac{d_{I,t}I_{t}(I_{t}-1) + 2d_{IR,t}I_{t}R_{t} + d_{R,t}R_{t}(R_{t}-1)}{(R_{t}+I_{t})(R_{t}+I_{t}-1)}
$$
(A13)

where I_t and R_t are respectively given by $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ described evaluated in the 129 supplemental material.

With this algorithm, we obtained 20 non-overlapping sets of infected genomes. One $_{131}$ of these sets contained only one sequence and was not usable; a second set was too far ¹³² from all other data and was also discarded. Thus, we were able to calculate 18 points 133 (that appear in Fig.4 from the main text) with error bars given by the standard ¹³⁴ deviation of each set of distances (between infected, recovered and between infected and 135 recovered) at each time t .

	3	Δ	3			8	8	3	3	7	3	3	3	5		7	10	5	8	8	٩	R	8			9	
	0	1	0	1	3	5	4	0	0		0	0	0	2	2	4	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{2}$	5.	5	6	5	5	5		6	$\overline{2}$
	1	0	1			6	6	1	1	5.	1	1		3	3	5	8	3	$\boldsymbol{6}$	6	7	6	6				3
	0		0		٦	5	ţ,	Ø	0		Ø	0	A	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf 2$	4	7	$\overline{2}$	5	5	6	ς	5				
	1	2	1	0		6	6	1	1	4	1	1		3	1	5	8	3	6	6	7	6	6		5		
	3	4	3	4	0	$\overline{2}$	4	3	3	3	3	3	3	5		3	6	5	8	4	5	4	$\mathbf 2$			9	
	5	6	5	6	$\overline{2}$	0	6	5	5	5	5	5	5	7	7	5	8	7	10	6	7	6	4	10	9	11	3
	4	6	5	6	4	6	Ø	5	5	4	5	5	5	7	7	5	8	7	10	6	7	6	6	10	9	10	3
	0		0			5	5	0	0		ø	0	Ø	$\overline{2}$			7	$\overline{2}$	5	5	6	5	5			6	
3	0		Ø		3	5	5	0	0	4	ø	0	0	$\overline{2}$	$\mathbf 2$	4	$\overline{7}$	$\mathbf 2$	5	5	6	5	5			6	
	4	5	4		3	5	4	4	4	0	4	4		6	5	4	$\overline{7}$	16	9	5	6	5	5	8	8	10	$\overline{2}$
В	0		0			5	5	0	0	4	0	0	Ø	$\overline{2}$	2	4	$\overline{}$	$\overline{2}$	5	5	6	5	5			6	
3	0		Ø		3	5	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	4	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{2}$	5	5	6	5	5	5		6	
3	0	1	0	1	3	5	5	0	0	4	0	0	0	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	4	$\overline{7}$	$\overline{2}$	5	5	6	5	5	5		6	$\overline{2}$
	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$			7	7	$\mathbf{2}$	$\overline{2}$	6	$\mathbf{2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	$\mathbf{2}$	0		6	9	4	$\overline{7}$	7		7	7				
5	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$	1	5.	$\overline{1}$	7	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	5	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	4	0	6	9	4	$\overline{7}$	7	8	7	7	5	6	8	4
7	4	5	4	5	3	5	5	4	4	4	4	4	4	6	6	0	$\overline{}$	6	9	5	6	1	5	9	8	10	$\overline{2}$
10	$\overline{1}$	8	$\overline{7}$	8		8	8	7	7	7	7	7	7	9	9	7	θ	9	12	8	9	8	8	12	11	13	
5	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$	3	5.	$\overline{}$	$\overline{}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	16	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{\mathbf{2}}$	4	Δ	6	9	0	$\overline{7}$	7	8	7	$\overline{7}$	7	6	8	4
8	5	6	5	6	8	10	10	5	5	9	5	5	5	7		9	12	7	0	10	11	10	10	10	9	$\frac{11}{2}$	
8	5	6	5			6	6	5	5	5	5	5					8	$\overline{7}$	10	0	3	6	6	10	9	11	
9	6		6	7	5	7	7	6	6	6	6	6	6	8	8	6	9	8	11	3	0	7	$\overline{7}$	11	10	12	4
	5						6	5									8	7	10	6	$\overline{7}$	0	6	10	9	$\mathbf{11}$	3
	5	6	5			4	6	5	5	5	5	5				5	8	7	10	6	7	6	0	10	9	11	3
8	5	6	5	4	8	10	10	5	5	8	5	5	5	$\overline{7}$	5	9	12	7	10	10	$\frac{11}{2}$	10	10	0	9	$\mathfrak{U}% =\mathfrak{U}_{1}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{U}_{2}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{U}_{3}\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}}\mathfrak{U}_{4}$	7
	4					9	9									8	11	6	9	9	10	9	9.	9	0	10	6
9	6		6		9	$\frac{11}{2}$	10	6	6	10				8	8	10	13	8	11	$\frac{11}{2}$	12	$\frac{11}{2}$	$\frac{11}{2}$	11	10	0	
5	$\overline{2}$	3	$\overline{2}$	-3	$\,1\,$	3	3	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	$\overline{2}$	γ	$\overline{2}$	\mathfrak{p}	Δ	4	$\overline{2}$	$\mathsf S$	4	7	3	\mathcal{L}	3	$\mathbf{3}$	$\overline{7}$	6	8	0

Fig 1. Example of distance matrix to illustrate the algorithm to infer the **genetic evolution.** Every genome collected within a time window τ_W is considered to belong to an infected individual. The red block shows distances between these viruses. The blue block shows viruses that appeared before the present time window, whose individuals are considered to have recovered. Green blocks are distances between infected and recovered individuals. The remaining entries are distances from viruses that have not appeared yet at that considered time, i.e., they appeared after the considered time window.

4 Appendix D: The COVID-19 data from China

We got the Chinese epidemic data from the dataset "Epidemic Data for Novel" Coronavirus COVID-19" from Wolfram data repository [\[10\]](#page-8-9). Unfortunately, this dataset ¹³⁹ starts on 22 January (going up to 18 August by the date of our analysis), lacking the ¹⁴⁰ previous data. Another concern is about the change in the notification protocols ¹⁴¹ adopted by the Chinese government. On 13 February, the Hubei province started to $_{142}$ report not only the positive laboratory tests, but also the clinically diagnosed cases as $_{143}$ infected too, appearing a sudden increase in infected curve [\[11\]](#page-8-10). We also need to correct ¹⁴⁴ the data by including undetected cases. 145

Firstly, in order to correct the notification problem, we smoothly distribute the ¹⁴⁶ sudden increase number of cases among the previous dates. Following reference [\[11\]](#page-8-10), the $_{147}$ corrected accumulated number of cases $I_{a,c}(t)$ is given by 148

$$
I_{a,c}(t) = I_a(t) + 15133 \frac{\sum_{i=22 \text{ Jan}}^{t} I_a(t)}{\sum_{i=22 \text{ Jan}}^{13 \text{ Feb}} I_a(t)}
$$
(A14)

for $t \in \{22 \text{ Jan}, \ldots, 12 \text{ Feb}\}\,$, where $I_c(t)$ is the accumulated number of cases at date t , \ldots and $15133 = I_a(13 \text{ Feb}) - I_a(12 \text{ Feb})$ is the sudden increase due to the changes in the 150 notification protocol.

Now, the undetected cases in China were estimated in reference [\[12\]](#page-9-1), and also 152 following reference $[11]$, we get $\frac{1}{3}$

$$
I_{a,c'}(t) = \frac{I_{a,c}(t)}{1 - \theta(t)}
$$
\n(A15)

for the estimated total number of cases at time t, where θ is the undetected fraction, $_{154}$

$$
\theta(t) = \begin{cases} \n0.86, \text{ for } t \le 24 \text{ Jan} \\ \nline \text{linear decrease, for 24 Jan} \le t \le 08 \text{ Feb} \\ \n0.31, \text{ for } t \ge 08 \text{ Feb} \n\end{cases} \tag{A16}
$$

This correction is also applied to the recovered curve. However, the Wolfram data ¹⁵⁵ distincts recovered $Rec(t)$ from deaths $Dea(t)$, while our theory does not differentiates 156 these numbers. Thus, the number of recovered individuals we must consider is

$$
R(t) = \frac{Rec(t) + Dead(t)}{1 - \theta(t)}
$$
\n(A17)

and the infected curve is now given as 158

$$
I(t) = I_{a,c'}(t) - R(t)
$$
\n(A18)

Fig. 2 shows the curves after these corrections. Once we do no have directly access to 159 exposed data, we did not consider exposed individuals, meaning, at this point, that we 160 are dealing with a SIR model without any prejudice to the present theory. However, bad data is an important source of error.

Fig 2. Chinese epidemic curves after corrections. The left chart shows the cumulative number of infections in China. The blue curve is the reported number of cases before the smoothness procedure of Eq.[\(14\)](#page-7-1) and the orange curve is the result of this procedure. The right charts are the recovered and infected curves $R(t)$ and $I(t)$.

Finally, we fit an exponential curve to a few initial data points of $I(t)$ and $R(t)$ and 163 extrapolate it to previous dates. For the I -curve, we have adjusted the exponential 164 $e^{a(t-t_0)}$, with fit parameters a and t_0 , on the first $n_I = 10$ data points and extrapolated 165 it up to the first case t_0 days before. With this approach, we found $t_0 = 11$ Dec, which 166 is close to the first case reported by WHO, 08 Dec [\[13\]](#page-9-2). For the $R(t)$ -curve, we have $_{167}$ used the first $n_R = 13$ data points. The numbers n_I and n_R were chosen in order to 168 make the exponential extrapolation makes sense according to WHO estimates of the ¹⁶⁹ first case, as also to make $R(t) < I(t)$ in a plausible way.

Now, the curves $R(t)$ and $I(t)$ can be implemented in the recurrence equation and 171 the distance evolution can be estimated, with the first distance d_0 equalling zero. 172

References

- 1. Marquioni VM, de Aguiar MAM. Quantifying the effects of quarantine using an IBM SEIR model on scalefree networks. Chaos, Solitons & Fractals. 2020;138:109999. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chaos.2020.109999.
- 2. Guo YR, Cao QD, Hong ZS, Tan YY, Chen SD, Jin HJ, et al. The origin, transmission and clinical therapies on coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak–an update on the status. Military Medical Research. 2020;7(1):1–10.
- 3. Akhmetzhanov AR, Mizumoto K, Jung Sm, Linton NM, Omori R, Nishiura H. Epidemiological characteristics of novel coronavirus infection: A statistical analysis of publicly available case data. medRxiv. 2020;.
- 4. Morris DH, Rossine FW, Plotkin JB, Levin SA. Optimal, near-optimal, and robust epidemic control. arXiv preprint arXiv:200402209. 2020;.
- 5. Backer JA, Klinkenberg D, Wallinga J. Incubation period of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) infections among travellers from Wuhan, China, 20–28 January 2020. Eurosurveillance. 2020;25(5):2000062.
- 6. Shen Z, Xiao Y, Kang L, Ma W, Shi L, Zhang L, et al. Genomic Diversity of Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome–Coronavirus 2 in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019. Clinical Infectious Diseases. 2020;71(15):713–720. doi:10.1093/cid/ciaa203.
- 7. Zhao Z, Li H, Wu X, Zhong Y, Zhang K, Zhang YP, et al. Moderate mutation rate in the SARS coronavirus genome and its implications. BMC evolutionary biology. 2004;4(1):21.
- 8. Wolfram Research. Genetic Sequences for the SARS-CoV-2 Coronavirus; 2020. Wolfram Data Repository <https://doi.org/10.24097/wolfram.03304.data>.
- 9. Sung WK. Algorithms in bioinformatics: A practical introduction. CRC Press; 2009.
- 10. Wolfram Research. Epidemic Data for Novel Coronavirus COVID-19; 2020. Wolfram Data Repository <https://doi.org/10.24097/wolfram.04123.data>.
- 11. Ivorra B, Ferrández MR, Vela-Pérez M, Ramos A. Mathematical modeling of the spread of the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) taking into account the undetected infections. The case of China. Communications in nonlinear science and numerical simulation. 2020;88:105303.
- 12. Li R, Pei S, Chen B, Song Y, Zhang T, Yang W, et al. Substantial undocumented infection facilitates the rapid dissemination of novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2). Science. 2020;368(6490):489–493.
- 13. World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) Situation Report 37; 2020. [https:](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200226-sitrep-37-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=2146841e_2) [//www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200226-sitrep-37-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=2146841e_2) [20200226-sitrep-37-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=2146841e_2](https://www.who.int/docs/default-source/coronaviruse/situation-reports/20200226-sitrep-37-covid-19.pdf?sfvrsn=2146841e_2).