Supplementary Information for

CFTR is a negative regulator of $\gamma\delta$ T cell IFN- γ production and anti-tumor immunity.

Duan et al.



Fig S1. CFTR was highly expressed in the cell surface of $\gamma\delta$ T and CD4⁺ T cells, and CFTR polarized towards to immunological synapse via cytoskeleton in yoo T cells. A) Quantitative PCR analysis of different types of chloride ion channels in splenic CD4⁺ and $v\delta$ T cells (n=3); B) Flow cytometry of splenic $v\delta$ T/CD4⁺ T cells with PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 μ g/ml) stimulation for 6 h in the presence of CLICs inhibitor IAA94 (50 µM) or its vehicle DMSO to detect IFN-y and IL-17 production (n=3); C) Left: Immunoblot analysis of CFTR expression in mouse splenic $y\delta$ and CD4⁺ T cells on the 10% SDS-PAGE gel. Doublets at ~140 kDa and ~180 kDa correspond to the nonglycosylated and glycosylated forms of CFTR. GAPDH was detected as loading control; Right: Immunoblot analysis of CFTR expression in mouse splenic WT and CFTR^{-/-} γδ T cells on the 10% SDS-PAGE gel; D) Confocal microscopy of the expression of CFTR in splenic WT or CFTR^{-/-} CD4⁺ and $\gamma\delta$ T cells stained with Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (yo T) or mouse anti-CFTR followed by Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated anti-mouse IgG (CD4⁺T) (scale bar: 2.5 μm); E) Confocal microscopy of the stimulated WT or CFTR^{-/-} γδ T cells with Dynabeads® Mouse T-Activator CD3/CD28, and stained with mouse anti-CFTR antibody followed by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, and with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated phalloidin (arrowheads indicate the immunosynapse area) (scale bar: 5 μ m); F) Confocal microscopy of the stimulated $\gamma\delta$ T cells with Dynabeads® Mouse T-Activator CD3/CD28, and stained with mouse anti-CFTR followed by Alexa Fluor 488-conjugated anti-mouse IgG, and with Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated phalloidin or with rabbit anti-ezrin followed by Alexa Fluor 594conjugated anti-rabbit IgG (scale bar: 5 µm); G) Immunoblot analysis of HEK293T cells transfected with Flag-tagged PLCy-1 and Myc-tagged CFTR-wt, Myc-tagged CFTR-ΔPDZ, or Myc empty vector (Mock). Cells were immunoprecipitated with anti-FIAG M2 magnetic beads and probed with anti-Myc or anti-Flag antibodies. Data are representative of at least three experiments; H) Current-voltage relationship of the Forskolin (FSK, 10 µM)-induced current (P=0.002, n=7) (left) and the GlyH101(10 μ M)-inhibited current (P=0.002, n=7) (middle), and inhibition by GlyH101 to FSK-induced current (FSK: P=0.042, GlyH101: P=0.007, n=5) in $\gamma\delta$ T cells.



Duan et al. Fig.S2

Fig S2. CFTR exerted no effect on the thymic programming. A) representative flow cytometry and statistical analysis of CD44 and CD69 in WT or CFTR^{-/-} thymic $\gamma\delta$ T cells (n=3). B) representative flow cytometry and statistical analysis of WT or CFTR^{-/-} thymic $\gamma\delta$ T cells with the PMA (50 ng/ml) and ionomycin (1 µg/ml) stimulation for 6 h to detect the IFN- γ and IL-17 production (n=3).



Duan et al. Fig.S3

Fig S3. CFTR deficiency and dysfunction in $\gamma\delta$ T cells displayed higher killing ability against B16 melanoma and EL4 lymphoma. A) The real-time confocal microscopy and statistical analysis of coculture of the in vitro-expanded WT or CFTR^{-/-} $\gamma\delta$ T cells with calcein AM-labeled B16 cells in 5:1 ratio for 6 h (n=5); B) Co-culture of the in vitro-expanded WT or CFTR^{-/-} $\gamma\delta$ T cells with CFSE labeled EL4 cells in indicated ratio, anti-IFN- γ (10 µg/ml) was added to neutralize the cytotoxicity and isotype control antibody was added. 6 h later, dead EL4 cells were assessed by PI staining (***, p<0.001; *, p<0.05; ns, not significant; n=3); C) *In vitro*-expanded $\gamma\delta$ T cells were pretreated with CFTR inhibitor CFTR_{inh172} (5 μ M), CLICs inhibitor IAA94 (50 μ M) or its vehicle DMSO for 2 h, and then cocultured with CFSE labeled EL4 cells in indicated ratio. 6 h later, the dead EL4 cells were assessed by PI staining (***, p<0.001; *, p<0.05; ns, not significant; n=3); D) B16 cells (2 × 10⁵ cells/mouse) were mixed with *in vitro*-expanded and purified WT or CFTR_{inh172}- treated $\gamma\delta$ T cells (0.5 × 10⁵ cells/mouse) and s.c. injected into B6 TCR $\delta^{-/-}$ mice (n = 4 per each group). At day 12 post tumor injection, tumors were isolated (left panel, scale bar=5mm) and tumor sizes were measured (right panel, paired t test; *, p< 0.05).



Duan et al. Fig.S4

Fig S4. Proposed model for regulating IFN- γ production in $\gamma\delta$ T cells. We observed that molecular mechanisms underline the regulation of CFTR on IFN- γ production by $\gamma\delta$ T cells were either TCR dependent or Ca²⁺ influx in $\gamma\delta$ T cells. In one hand, CFTR, which was served as a part of TCR signaling cascade and a regulator, suppresses Lck-P38-c-Jun pathway as well as TCR-stimulated Ca²⁺ influx and decreases IFN- γ expression. On the other hand, CFTR functions as a chloride channel via TCR-independent manner. Once CFTR channel activity is

blocked, the resultant elevated [Cl⁻]_i hyperpolarizes V_m and triggers Ca²⁺ influx, which in turn leads to downstream Calcineurin-NFATc1 signaling pathway and IFN- γ production of $\gamma\delta$ T cells. Proper inactivation of CFTR could enhance anti-tumor immunity in $\gamma\delta$ T cell-mediated cancer immunotherapy.