1 Supplementary Tables and Figures

2

					N	lormal						
	Propofol			Ketamine			IPK			Sleep		
	Recording Sessions	Ctx sites	GPe sites	Recording Sessions	Ctx sites	GPe sites	Recording Sessions	Ctx sites	GPe sites	Recording Nights	Ctx sites	GPe sites
Monkey Ch	9	22	20	8	26	18	1	4	3	6	18	12
Monkey Cs	8	18	20	6	17	14	2	5	7	13	34	33
Total	17	40	40	14	43	32	3	9	10	19	52	45
					MPT	TP treated	1					
	Propofol			Ketamine			IPK					
	Recording Sessions	Ctx sites	GPe sites	Recording Sessions	Ctx sites	GPe sites	Recording Sessions	Ctx sites	GPe sites			
Monkey Ch	3	11	11	3	12	8	2	7	6			
Monkey Cs	3	7	8	3	8	10	2	6	8			
Total	6	18	19	6	20	18	4	13	14			

3

4 Supplementary Table 1 | Recording database.

5 For each sedative drug and sleep, recording sessions, nights and sites from both monkeys are given. Abbreviations as

6 in Fig.1.

7

			Propot	fol		
		Normal (N=17)			MPTP (N=6)	
	Sal-Ppf	Ppf-Wash	Sal-Wash	Sal-Ppf	Ppf-Wash	Sal-Wash
All	*** 0.0004	*** 0.0004	0.36	* 0.0312	* 0.0312	0.44
Long	*** 0.0004	*** 0.0004	0.33	* 0.0312	* 0.0312	0.44
Short	*** 0.0003	*** 0.0003	0.62	0.0625	* 0.0312	0.31
			Ketam	ine		
		Normal (N=14)			MPTP (N=6)	
	Sal-Ktm	Ktm-Wash	Sal-Wash	Sal-Ktm	Ktm-Wash	Sal-Wash
All	*** 0.0001	*** 0.0001	** 0.0040	* 0.0312	* 0.0312	* 0.0312
Long	*** 0.0001	*** 0.0001	** 0.0067	* 0.0312	* 0.0312	* 0.0312
Short	0.95	** 0.0012	* 0.0245	0.44	0.56	0.22

10 Supplementary Table 2 | Eye closure proportion changed during propofol and ketamine sedation.

11 Statistics of Fig.1e raster and bar plots. Two propofol sedations before MPTP with no air puff applied were excluded

12 from rate plot in Fig.1e. P-value is given, two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test. Abbreviations as in Fig.1.



16

Supplementary Figure 1: Firing rate properties change during ketamine and propofol in cortex and the basal

ganglia. a. Examples of firing rate of GPe during propofol (left), ketamine (center) and IPK (right) sedation sessions.
The spiking from time locations marked with asterisks is shown (lower). b. Averaged firing rate difference of multiunits of Ctx (upper) and GPe (lower) during propofol (left), ketamine (center) and IPK (right) before and after MPTPtreatment. Top black bar shows significant difference in firing rate compared to saline (p<0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon
rank sum test). c. Normalized total power (0.5-100 Hz) of SPK of Ctx (upper) and GPe (lower) during propofol (left),
ketamine (center) and IPK (right) before and after MPTP-treatment. Top black bar shows significant difference

24	compared to saline (p<0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). Color represents session epochs: saline baseline
25	(green), propofol sedation (blue), ketamine sedation (red) and saline washout (propofol, cyan; ketamine orange).
26	Abbreviations as in Fig.1.
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	



40 Supplementary Figure 2: Propofol and ketamine increase low and high frequency power, respectively, in frontal,

41 parietal and occipital EEG. The normalized power spectrograms of Frt, Prt and Ocp EEG during propofol (upper)

42 and ketamine (lower) before (left) and after MPTP-treatment (right). Lower bar represents 1-hour time periods of

43 saline baseline (green), propofol sedation (blue), ketamine sedation (red) and saline washout (propofol, cyan;

44 ketamine, orange). Number of sites is given for both monkeys in each subplot. Abbreviations as in Fig.1.



45

46 Supplementary Figure 3: Spiking activity in the basal ganglia shows increased power in beta band after MPTP-

47 treatment. a. Examples of GPe SPK before (left) and after (right) MPTP-treatment. b. Average power spectrum

48 densities of Ctx/GPe SPK during propofol (upper) and ketamine (lower) before (left) and after (right) MPTP-

- 49 treatment. First 15min of each sedation stage is not included in averaging. Power is given as fraction of total power in
- 50 the range of 0.5-100 Hz. Top color bar shows significant difference between sedation, washout and saline (p<0.05,
- 51 two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). c. Averaged power spectrum densities of Ctx/GPe SPK during saline before and

- 52 after MPTP-treatment. Left, normalized by total power. Right, normalized by frequency multiplication (whitening,
- 53 1/f normalization). Top black bar shows significant difference (p<0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). Color
- 54 represents before (light green) and after (dark green) MPTP-treatment. Number of sites is given. Color codes are the
- same as Supplementary Figure 1. Abbreviations as in Fig.1.



57 Supplementary Figure 4: Propofol and ketamine increase and decrease low frequency EEG pairwise

58 synchronization, respectively. The normalized magnitude-square coherograms of all pairs of EEG during propofol

- 59 (upper) and ketamine (lower) before (left) and after (right) MPTP-treatment. Lower bar represents 1-hour time
- 60 periods of saline baseline (green), propofol sedation (blue), ketamine sedation (red) and saline washout (propofol,

61 cyan; ketamine, orange). Number of pairs is given in each subplot. Color scale represents z-score. Abbreviations as in

62 Fig.1.

- 63
- 64

65





Supplementary Figure 5: Example of sleep stage and sleep statistics. a. One-night example of the output of the
semiautomatic sleep staging algorithm (monkey Cs). The different sleep stages (wake, NREM, and REM) are staged
by high/low EEG power ratio, EMG RMS, and eye-open fraction. b. The average proportion of sleep stages out of the
all nights' duration for the two monkeys. N is number of nights. c. Examples of polysomnography (left), LFP/SPK of

- 71 Ctx (center) and GPe (right) during wake (upper, green), NREM (center, blue) and REM (lower, red) sleep.
- 72 Abbreviations as in Fig.4.

73			
74			
75			
76			
77			
78			



81	Supplementary Figure 6: Frontal, parietal and occipital EEG during natural NREM and REM sleep show increased
82	low frequency power/synchronization and increased high frequency power/synchronization, respectively. a. The
83	normalized power spectrograms of Frt, Prt and Ocp EEG during NREM (upper) and REM (lower) sleep. Lower bar
84	represents time periods of wake (green), NREM (blue) and REM (red). b. The normalized coherograms of all pairs of
85	EEG during NREM (lower left) and REM (upper right). Lower bar represents time periods of wake (green), NREM
86	(blue) and REM (red). Number of sites (A) and pairs (B) is given for both monkeys for each subplot. Abbreviations as
87	in Fig.4.



91 Supplementary Figure 7: Polysomnography behaves differently during ketamine, propofol sedation and sleep 92 stages. a. Normalized total power of EEG (upper), EMG (center) and EOG (lower) during propofol, ketamine, IPK 93 sedation and sleep. Top black bar shows significant difference compared to saline (wake) (p<0.05, two-sided 94 Wilcoxon rank sum test). b. Average power spectrum densities of EEG (upper), EMG (center) and EOG (lower) 95 during propofol, ketamine, IPK sedation and sleep. First 15 min (10 min for IPK) of each sedation stage is not 96 included in the average. Power is given as fraction of total power. Top color bar shows significant difference between 97 sedation, washout and saline or NREM, REM and wake (p<0.05, two-sided Wilcoxon rank sum test). EMG is filtered 98 15-1000 Hz. Color codes and abbreviations are as Fig.1 and Fig.4.



100

101 Supplementary Figure 8: Propofol/NREM and ketamine/REM show decreased and increased high/low

102 power/synchronization difference, respectively, in both monkeys. Color represents saline baseline (green), propofol

103 sedation (blue), ketamine sedation (red), saline washout (propofol, cyan; ketamine, orange), wake (green), NREM

104 (blue) and REM (red). Number of sedation sessions or nights is given for each monkey for each subplot.

105

106