CONSORT-EHEALTH (V 1.6.1) - Submission/Publication Form

The CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is intended for authors of randomized trials evaluating web-based and Internet-based applications/interventions, including mobile interventions, electronic games (incl multiplayer games), social media, certain telehealth applications, and other interactive and/or networked electronic applications. Some of the items (e.g. all subitems under item 5 - description of the intervention) may also be applicable for other study designs.

The goal of the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist and guideline is to be
a) a guide for reporting for authors of RCTs,
b) to form a basis for appraisal of an ehealth trial (in terms of validity)

CONSORT-EHEALTH items/subitems are MANDATORY reporting items for studies published in the Journal of Medical Internet Research and other journals / scientific societies endorsing the checklist.

Items numbered 1., 2., 3., 4a., 4b etc are original CONSORT or CONSORT-NPT (non-pharmacologic treatment) items.
Items with Roman numerals (i., ii, iii, iv etc.) are CONSORT-EHEALTH extensions/clarifications.

As the CONSORT-EHEALTH checklist is still considered in a formative stage, we would ask that you also RATE ON A SCALE OF 1-5 how important/useful you feel each item is FOR THE PURPOSE OF THE CHECKLIST and reporting guideline (optional).

Mandatory reporting items are marked with a red *.
In the textboxes, either copy & paste the relevant sections from your manuscript into this form - please include any quotes from your manuscript in QUOTATION MARKS,
or answer directly by providing additional information not in the manuscript, or elaborating on why the item was not relevant for this study.

YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE PUBLISHED AS A SUPPLEMENTARY FILE TO YOUR PUBLICATION IN JMIR AND ARE CONSIDERED PART OF YOUR PUBLICATION (IF ACCEPTED).
Please fill in these questions diligently. Information will not be copyedited, so please use proper spelling and grammar, use correct capitalization, and avoid abbreviations.

DO NOT FORGET TO SAVE AS PDF _AND_ CLICK THE SUBMIT BUTTON SO YOUR ANSWERS ARE IN OUR DATABASE !!!

Citation Suggestion (if you append the pdf as Appendix we suggest to cite this paper in the caption):
Eysenbach G, CONSORT-EHEALTH Group
CONSORT-EHEALTH: Improving and Standardizing Evaluation Reports of Web-based and

Mobile Health Interventions
J Med Internet Res 2011;13(4):e126
URL: http://www.jmir.org/2011/4/e126/
doi: 10.2196/jmir.1923
PMID: 22209829

* Required

Your name *

First Last

Ryan Hulteen

Primary Affiliation (short), City, Country *

University of Toronto, Toronto, Canada

Louisiana State University, Baton Rouge, USA

Your e-mail address *

abc@gmail.com

rhulteen@lsu.edu

Title of your manuscript *

Provide the (draft) title of your manuscript.

Online-Delivered Group and Personal Exercise Programs to Support Low Active Older Adults' Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Controlled Trial

Name of your App/Software/Intervention *

If there is a short and a long/alternate name, write the short name first and add the long name in brackets.

SCOPE: Seniors COvid-19 Pandemic and Exerc

Evaluated Version (if any)

e.g. "V1", "Release 2017-03-01", "Version 2.0.27913"

Not applicable

Language(s) *

What language is the intervention/app in? If multiple languages are available, separate by comma (e.g. "English, French")

English

URL of your Intervention Website or App

e.g. a direct link to the mobile app on app in appstore (itunes, Google Play), or URL of the website. If the intervention is a DVD or hardware, you can also link to an Amazon page.

https://canvas.ubc.ca/

URL of an image/screenshot (optional)

Your answer

Accessibility *

Can an enduser access the intervention presently?

- ○ access is free and open
- ◉ access only for special usergroups, not open
- ○ access is open to everyone, but requires payment/subscription/in-app purchases
- ○ app/intervention no longer accessible
- ○ Other:

Primary Medical Indication/Disease/Condition *

e.g. "Stress", "Diabetes", or define the target group in brackets after the condition, e.g. "Autism (Parents of children with)", "Alzheimers (Informal Caregivers of)"

Physical Activity (low active adults)

Primary Outcomes measured in trial *

comma-separated list of primary outcomes reported in the trial

Psychological Flourishing

Secondary/other outcomes

Are there any other outcomes the intervention is expected to affect?

Global mental and physical health, life satisfaction, depression symptoms

Recommended "Dose" *

What do the instructions for users say on how often the app should be used?

- ○ Approximately Daily
- ○ Approximately Weekly
- ○ Approximately Monthly
- ○ Approximately Yearly
- ○ "as needed"
- ◉ Other: 3 times per week

Approx. Percentage of Users (starters) still using the app as recommended after 3 months *

- ◉ unknown / not evaluated
- ○ 0-10%
- ○ 11-20%
- ○ 21-30%
- ○ 31-40%
- ○ 41-50%
- ○ 51-60%
- ○ 61-70%
- ○ 71%-80%
- ○ 81-90%
- ○ 91-100%
- ○ Other:

Overall, was the app/intervention effective? *

- ○ yes: all primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ○ partly: SOME primary outcomes were significantly better in intervention group vs control
- ○ no statistically significant difference between control and intervention
- ○ potentially harmful: control was significantly better than intervention in one or more outcomes
- ○ inconclusive: more research is needed
- ◉ Other: Primary outcome-no intervention effects; Secondary outcomes-Interv

Article Preparation Status/Stage *

At which stage in your article preparation are you currently (at the time you fill in this form)

- ○ not submitted yet - in early draft status
- ○ not submitted yet - in late draft status, just before submission
- ○ submitted to a journal but not reviewed yet
- ◉ submitted to a journal and after receiving initial reviewer comments
- ○ submitted to a journal and accepted, but not published yet
- ○ published
- ○ Other:

Journal *

If you already know where you will submit this paper (or if it is already submitted), please provide the journal name (if it is not JMIR, provide the journal name under "other")

- ○ not submitted yet / unclear where I will submit this
- ◉ Journal of Medical Internet Research (JMIR)
- ○ JMIR mHealth and UHealth
- ○ JMIR Serious Games
- ○ JMIR Mental Health
- ○ JMIR Public Health
- ○ JMIR Formative Research
- ○ Other JMIR sister journal
- ○ Other:

Is this a full powered effectiveness trial or a pilot/feasibility trial? *

- ○ Pilot/feasibility
- ◉ Fully powered

Manuscript tracking number *

If this is a JMIR submission, please provide the manuscript tracking number under "other" (The ms tracking number can be found in the submission acknowledgement email, or when you login as author in JMIR. If the paper is already published in JMIR, then the ms tracking number is the four-digit number at the end of the DOI, to be found at the bottom of each published article in JMIR)

- ○ no ms number (yet) / not (yet) submitted to / published in JMIR
- ◉ Other: 30709

TITLE AND ABSTRACT

1a) TITLE: Identification as a randomized trial in the title

1a) Does your paper address CONSORT item 1a? *

I.e does the title contain the phrase "Randomized Controlled Trial"? (if not, explain the reason under "other")

- (●) yes
- ( ) Other:

1a-i) Identify the mode of delivery in the title

Identify the mode of delivery. Preferably use “web-based” and/or “mobile” and/or “electronic game” in the title. Avoid ambiguous terms like “online”, “virtual”, “interactive”. Use “Internet-based” only if Intervention includes non-web-based Internet components (e.g. email), use “computer-based” or “electronic” only if offline products are used. Use “virtual” only in the context of “virtual reality” (3-D worlds). Use “online” only in the context of “online support groups”. Complement or substitute product names with broader terms for the class of products (such as “mobile” or “smart phone” instead of “iphone”), especially if the application runs on different platforms.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	( )	( )	( )	( )	(●)	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Online-Delivered Group and Personal Exercise Programs to Support Low Active Older Adults’ Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Controlled Trial

1a-ii) Non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title

Mention non-web-based components or important co-interventions in title, if any (e.g., “with telephone support”).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Online-Delivered Group and Personal Exercise Programs to Support Low Active Older Adults’ Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Controlled Trial

1a-iii) Primary condition or target group in the title

Mention primary condition or target group in the title, if any (e.g., “for children with Type I Diabetes”) Example: A Web-based and Mobile Intervention with Telephone Support for Children with Type I Diabetes: Randomized Controlled Trial

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1a-iii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Online-Delivered Group and Personal Exercise Programs to Support Low Active Older Adults’ Mental Health During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Randomized Controlled Trial

1b) ABSTRACT: Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions

NPT extension: Description of experimental treatment, comparator, care providers, centers, and blinding status.

1b-i) Key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention key features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator in the abstract. If possible, also mention theories and principles used for designing the site. Keep in mind the needs of systematic reviewers and indexers by including important synonyms. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Objective: To assess whether a group-based exercise program relative to a personal exercise program (both delivered online) and wait-list control (WLC)..."

1b-ii) Level of human involvement in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Clarify the level of human involvement in the abstract, e.g., use phrases like “fully automated” vs. “therapist/nurse/care provider/physician-assisted” (mention number and expertise of providers involved, if any). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The Seniors COvid-19 Pandemic and Exercise (SCOPE) Trial was a 3-arm, parallel randomized controlled trial conducted between May and September 2020 in which low active older adults (aged ≥ 65 years) were randomized to one of two 12-week exercise programs (delivered online by older adult instructors)..."

1b-iii) Open vs. closed, web-based (self-assessment) vs. face-to-face assessments in the METHODS section of the ABSTRACT

Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic or a closed online user group (closed usergroup trial), and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment). Clearly say if outcomes were self-assessed through questionnaires (as common in web-based trials). Note: In traditional offline trials, an open trial (open-label trial) is a type of clinical trial in which both the researchers and participants know which treatment is being administered. To avoid confusion, use “blinded” or “unblinded” to indicated the level of blinding instead of “open”, as “open” in web-based trials usually refers to “open access” (i.e. participants can self-enrol). (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The Seniors COvid-19 Pandemic and Exercise (SCOPE) Trial was a 3-arm, parallel randomized controlled trial conducted between May and September 2020 in which low active older adults (aged ≥ 65 years) were recruited via media outlets and social media. After baseline assessments, consented participants were randomized to one of two 12-week exercise programs (delivered online by older adult instructors) or a waitlist control condition. 241 older adults (n= 187 women) provided baseline measures (via online questionnaires), were randomized (Ngroup = 80, Npersonal = 82 Ncontrol = 79), and completed measures every two weeks for the duration of the trial. The trial's primary outcome was psychological flourishing. Secondary outcomes included global measures of mental and physical health, life satisfaction and depression symptoms."

1b-iv) RESULTS section in abstract must contain use data

Report number of participants enrolled/assessed in each group, the use/uptake of the intervention (e.g., attrition/adherence metrics, use over time, number of logins etc.), in addition to primary/secondary outcomes. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The results of latent growth modeling revealed no intervention effects for flourishing, life satisfaction, or depression symptoms (Ps> .05). Participants in the group condition displayed improved mental health relative to WLC participants over the first 10 weeks (ES = .288 to .601), and although the week 12 effect (ES = .375) was in the same direction the difference was not statistically significant (P >. 05). Participants in the personal condition displayed improved mental health, when compared to WLC participants, in the same medium effect size range (ES = .293 to .565) over the first 8 weeks, and while the effects were of a similar magnitude at weeks 10 (ES = .455) and 12 (ES = .258) they were not statistically significant (P >.05). In addition, participants in the group condition displayed improvements in physical health when compared to the WLC (ES = .079 to .496) across all 12 weeks of the study following baseline. No differences were observed between the personal exercise condition and WLC for physical health (P>.05)."

1b-v) CONCLUSIONS/DISCUSSION in abstract for negative trials

Conclusions/Discussions in abstract for negative trials: Discuss the primary outcome - if the trial is negative (primary outcome not changed), and the intervention was not used, discuss whether negative results are attributable to lack of uptake and discuss reasons. (Note: Only report in the abstract what the main paper is reporting. If this information is missing from the main body of text, consider adding it)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 1b-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript abstract (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"There were no intervention effects for the trial's primary outcome, psychological flourishing. It is possible that the high levels of psychological flourishing at baseline may have limited the extent to which those indicators could continue to improve further through intervention (i.e., potential ceiling effects). However, the intervention effects for mental and physical health point to the potential capacity of low-cost and scalable at-home programs to support the mental and physical health of previously inactive adults in the COVID-19 pandemic."

INTRODUCTION

2a) In INTRODUCTION: Scientific background and explanation of rationale

2a-i) Problem and the type of system/solution

Describe the problem and the type of system/solution that is object of the study: intended as stand-alone intervention vs. incorporated in broader health care program? Intended for a particular patient population? Goals of the intervention, e.g., being more cost-effective to other interventions, replace or complement other solutions? (Note: Details about the intervention are provided in "Methods" under 5)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"As the full scale and impact of the COVID-19 pandemic became evident in early 2020, older adults were identified as being particularly susceptible to severe illness and mortality [1]. National and local governments across the globe subsequently implemented a range of physical distancing mandates, which meant that older adults, in particular, were identified as being at risk of social isolation [2,3]. In direct response, mental health experts emphasized the importance of developing approaches to support and maintain the physical and mental health of older adults during this unprecedented time [2].
One widely scalable, non-pharmacological, and cost-effective approach promoted by the World Health Organization to support mental health during the pandemic corresponds to regular physical activity [4]. Although some correlational studies [5,6], including those focused on older adults [7,8], point to the possibility that regular physical activity may protect against depleted psychological well-being during the pandemic, there has been a distinct absence of experimental studies through which causality might be better ascertained. In this study we sought to examine the efficacy of two different types of exercise programs, both delivered online, to support the mental health of previously low active older adults (accumulating 60 minutes or less of moderate intensity activity per week) within the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic in comparison to participants in a waitlist control condition."

2a-ii) Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system

Scientific background, rationale: What is known about the (type of) system that is the object of the study (be sure to discuss the use of similar systems for other conditions/diagnoses, if appropiate), motivation for the study, i.e. what are the reasons for and what is the context for this specific study, from which stakeholder viewpoint is the study performed, potential impact of findings [2]. Briefly justify the choice of the comparator.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 2a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Results of previous (pre-COVID-19) experimental research suggest that when older adults exercise in groups with other older adults, led by older adult instructors, and have the opportunity to socially connect after classes, they displayed improvements in group cohesion (i.e., they feel more connected)[9], adherence behavior [10], and psychological flourishing [11] when compared to older adults who exercise in classes with middle-aged and younger adults. Other research similarly indicates that when people exercise in group settings, especially within groups that are cohesive, they tend to stick with those programs to a greater extent than when exercising on their own [12]."

2b) In INTRODUCTION: Specific objectives or hypotheses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 2b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"In this study we sought to examine the efficacy of two different types of exercise programs, both delivered online, to support the mental health of previously low active older adults (accumulating 60 minutes or less of moderate intensity activity per week) within the context of the current COVID-19 pandemic in comparison to participants in a waitlist control condition."
"In the current trial, we identified psychological flourishing as the a priori primary outcome measure, since psychological flourishing has been identified as an important broad indicator of well-being [19,20], that involves feeling engaged in daily life, optimistic, having a sense of meaning and purpose, and having positive relationships [21]. Flourishing has also been identified as well as a viable target for intervention [22]. We hypothesized that older adults randomized to the virtual group program would display better well-being (higher levels of psychological flourishing) than those in a personal exercise condition, who in turn, would display better well-being than wait-list controls. As secondary outcomes, we also assessed global measures of mental and physical health, life satisfaction, and depression symptoms. Also, because individuals who live alone may benefit more from a group-based exercise program that fosters social connectivity compared to those who live with others, we also investigated whether intervention effects are stronger in those who live alone versus with others. The above hypotheses were pre-registered via the Open Science Framework and ClinicalTrials.gov (see Methods)."

METHODS

3a) Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The Seniors COvid-19 Pandemic and Exercise (SCOPE) study was a prospective, 3-arm, parallel, randomized controlled trial. The corresponding groups were a synchronous group based exercise or asynchronous personal exercise programs (both delivered online), or a wait-list control (WLC) condition."

3b) Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 3b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Changes to recruitment were made. This can be found directly in the manuscript under the heading "Changes to the Trial"

3b-i) Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes

Bug fixes, Downtimes, Content Changes: ehealth systems are often dynamic systems. A description of changes to methods therefore also includes important changes made on the intervention or comparator during the trial (e.g., major bug fixes or changes in the functionality or content) (5-iii) and other "unexpected events" that may have influenced study design such as staff changes, system failures/downtimes, etc. [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 3b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no bug fixes, downtimes, or content changes during the trial.

4a) Eligibility criteria for participants

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Low active, older adults (aged ≥ 65 years) without any medical contraindication that might prevent them from participating in moderate-intensity physical activity, were eligible to participate. Additional inclusion criteria included (a) the ability to speak and read English, (b) currently live in Canada, (c) one participant in the study per household, and (d) participants had to be able to access the internet at home via a personal smartphone, tablet (e.g., iPad), or computer (with camera functionality)."

4a-i) Computer / Internet literacy

Computer / Internet literacy is often an implicit “de facto” eligibility criterion - this should be explicitly clarified.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There was no assessment of internet literacy, although participants had to be able to access the internet at home via a personal smartphone, tablet (e.g., iPad), or computer (with camera functionality).

4a-ii) Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments:

Open vs. closed, web-based vs. face-to-face assessments: Mention how participants were recruited (online vs. offline), e.g., from an open access website or from a clinic, and clarify if this was a purely web-based trial, or there were face-to-face components (as part of the intervention or for assessment), i.e., to what degree got the study team to know the participant. In online-only trials, clarify if participants were quasi-anonymous and whether having multiple identities was possible or whether technical or logistical measures (e.g., cookies, email confirmation, phone calls) were used to detect/prevent these.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-ii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were recruited via social media advertisements (e.g. Twitter, Facebook) and news coverage related to the trial (radio, print media), which directed them to the study website. Interested participants were invited to contact the trial coordinator (RH) who scheduled a scripted eligibility screening phone call with a member of the research team. After ascertaining eligibility, interested participants provided informed consent and completed baseline measures for all study measures (i.e., demographics plus all health measures) online via Qualtrics (FIPPA compliant [29])."

4a-iii) Information giving during recruitment

Information given during recruitment. Specify how participants were briefed for recruitment and in the informed consent procedures (e.g., publish the informed consent documentation as appendix, see also item X26), as this information may have an effect on user self-selection, user expectation and may also bias results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Pre-screening also involved completion of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire for Everyone (PARQ+) and the Electronic Physical Activity Readiness Medical Examination (ePARmed-X+; [28]). If the ePAR-medX+ highlighted that physician approval was required prior to joining the program, the respective individual was informed that this approval was required before they could enroll in the study. Following the initial screening process, informed consent was obtained.
"Interested participants were invited to contact the trial coordinator (RH) who scheduled a scripted eligibility screening phone call with a member of the research team. After ascertaining eligibility, interested participants provided informed consent and completed baseline measures for all study measures (i.e., demographics plus all health measures) online via Qualtrics (FIPPA compliant [29])."

4b) Settings and locations where the data were collected

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 4b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"After ascertaining eligibility, interested participants provided informed consent and completed baseline measures for all study measures (i.e., demographics plus all health measures) online via Qualtrics (FIPPA compliant [29])."
"Participants in all three conditions were sent questionnaires related to the trial's measures (see below) for completion (via Qualtrics) at the end of weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12."

4b-i) Report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires

Clearly report if outcomes were (self-)assessed through online questionnaires (as common in web-based trials) or otherwise.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4b-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"After ascertaining eligibility, interested participants provided informed consent and completed baseline measures for all study measures (i.e., demographics plus all health measures) online via Qualtrics (FIPPA compliant [29])."
"Participants in all three conditions were sent questionnaires related to the trial's measures (see below) for completion (via Qualtrics) at the end of weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12."

4b-ii) Report how institutional affiliations are displayed

Report how institutional affiliations are displayed to potential participants [on ehealth media], as affiliations with prestigious hospitals or universities may affect volunteer rates, use, and reactions with regards to an intervention.(Not a required item – describe only if this may bias results)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 4b-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

In the recruitment and consent materials, institutional affiliations were clearly stated and displayed in accordance with IRB requirements.

5) The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered

5-i) Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners

Mention names, credential, affiliations of the developers, sponsors, and owners [6] (if authors/evaluators are owners or developer of the software, this needs to be declared in a “Conflict of interest” section or mentioned elsewhere in the manuscript).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No new software was developed as part of this trial. Existing infrastructure (e.g., Canvas, Zoom) was used.

5-ii) Describe the history/development process

Describe the history/development process of the application and previous formative evaluations (e.g., focus groups, usability testing), as these will have an impact on adoption/use rates and help with interpreting results.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This item is not applicable. As per 5-i above, no new software was developed for this trial.

5-iii) Revisions and updating

Revisions and updating. Clearly mention the date and/or version number of the application/intervention (and comparator, if applicable) evaluated, or describe whether the intervention underwent major changes during the evaluation process, or whether the development and/or content was “frozen” during the trial. Describe dynamic components such as news feeds or changing content which may have an impact on the replicability of the intervention (for unexpected events see item 3b).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No application was developed or implemented as part of this trial. No changes to the respective platforms (i.e., Canvas, Zoom) occurred during the trial.

5-iv) Quality assurance methods

Provide information on quality assurance methods to ensure accuracy and quality of information provided [1], if applicable.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-iv?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The data were collected via Qualtrics which is FIPPA compliant (Government of British Columbia: Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act. 1996) and has established standards with regard to data collection, protection, and retention of personal information (for details see https://www.bclaws.gov.bc.ca/civix/document/id/complete/statreg/96165_00). We also provide the code used to conduct the main study analyses in the online supplementary materials.

5-v) Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used

Ensure replicability by publishing the source code, and/or providing screenshots/screen-capture video, and/or providing flowcharts of the algorithms used. Replicability (i.e., other researchers should in principle be able to replicate the study) is a hallmark of scientific reporting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-v?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Supplementary Files for Analytic Codes

5-vi) Digital preservation

Digital preservation: Provide the URL of the application, but as the intervention is likely to change or disappear over the course of the years; also make sure the intervention is archived (Internet Archive, webcitation.org, and/or publishing the source code or screenshots/videos alongside the article). As pages behind login screens cannot be archived, consider creating demo pages which are accessible without login.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-vi?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Intervention accessible at: https://canvas.ubc.ca/ We have not provided screenshots of each of the classes due to privacy issues of the trial instructors (as per IRB requirements).

5-vii) Access

Access: Describe how participants accessed the application, in what setting/context, if they had to pay (or were paid) or not, whether they had to be a member of specific group. If known, describe how participants obtained “access to the platform and Internet” [1]. To ensure access for editors/reviewers/readers, consider to provide a “backdoor” login account or demo mode for reviewers/readers to explore the application (also important for archiving purposes, see vi).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-vii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants in the two experimental conditions were subsequently directed to a password protected and secured web-platform housed by the first author’s institution (i.e., CanvasTM). This platform provided access to the appropriate exercise programs and intervention materials. Individuals randomized to receive the group-based exercise program, received an adapted version of the group program that was previously implemented for older adults for in-person exercise classes[10].
"Classes were hosted on ZoomTM by a trained research assistant who provided technical assistance to ensure that the classes were accessible to participants."
"Participants in all three conditions were sent questionnaires related to the trial’s measures (see below) for completion (via Qualtrics) at the end of weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12. In return for survey completion, at each time point, participants were provided $10 CDN ($70; baseline plus 6 follow-up assessments). Participants also received up to $50CAN if any costs were incurred for obtaining medical clearance from their respective family doctor."

5-viii) Mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework

Describe mode of delivery, features/functionalities/components of the intervention and comparator, and the theoretical framework [6] used to design them (instructional strategy [1], behaviour change techniques, persuasive features, etc., see e.g., [7, 8] for terminology). This includes an in-depth description of the content (including where it is coming from and who developed it) [1]," whether [and how] it is tailored to individual circumstances and allows users to track their progress and receive feedback" [6]. This also includes a description of communication delivery channels and – if computer-mediated communication is a component – whether communication was synchronous or asynchronous [6]. It also includes information on presentation strategies [1], including page design principles, average amount of text on pages, presence of hyperlinks to other resources, etc. [1].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-viii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see the "Study Interventions" section in manuscript for a full elaboration.

5-ix) Describe use parameters

Describe use parameters (e.g., intended "doses" and optimal timing for use). Clarify what instructions or recommendations were given to the user, e.g., regarding timing, frequency, heaviness of use, if any, or was the intervention used ad libitum.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-ix?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Classes were offered 7 days a week at 9am Pacific Standard Time (12 noon Eastern Standard Time, 1pm Atlantic Standard Time), and lasted approximately 50-60 minutes. Classes included a warm-up component, moderate intensity exercises as the core component of the class, and a cool-down, and were designed specifically for older adults to include strength, flexibility, balance, and aerobic components. Consistent with international guidelines for weekly physical activity by older adults (150 mins of moderate-to-vigorous intensity physical activity [27]), participants were encouraged to attend at least three classes each week. Classes were hosted on ZoomTM by a trained research assistant who provided technical assistance to ensure that the classes were accessible to participants."

5-x) Clarify the level of human involvement

Clarify the level of human involvement (care providers or health professionals, also technical assistance) in the e-intervention or as co-intervention (detail number and expertise of professionals involved, if any, as well as “type of assistance offered, the timing and frequency of the support, how it is initiated, and the medium by which the assistance is delivered”. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of human involvement required for the trial, and the level of human involvement required for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-x?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see item 5-viii which species all of the human involvement in this study.

5-xi) Report any prompts/reminders used

Report any prompts/reminders used: Clarify if there were prompts (letters, emails, phone calls, SMS) to use the application, what triggered them, frequency etc. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of prompts/reminders required for the trial, and the level of prompts/reminders for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xi? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There was no 'app' used and as such there was no such app prompt or reminders sent to participants.

5-xii) Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support)

Describe any co-interventions (incl. training/support): Clearly state any interventions that are provided in addition to the targeted eHealth intervention, as ehealth intervention may not be designed as stand-alone intervention. This includes training sessions and support [1]. It may be necessary to distinguish between the level of training required for the trial, and the level of training for a routine application outside of a RCT setting (discuss under item 21 – generalizability.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 5-xii? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No additional co-intervention components were provided beyond the exercise programs described in the manuscript.

6a) Completely defined pre-specified primary and secondary outcome measures, including how and when they were assessed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The trial was pre-registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (#NCT04412343) and the Open Science Framework [24]."
"Participants in all three conditions were sent questionnaires related to the trial's measures (see below) for completion (via Qualtrics) at the end of weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12."

6a-i) Online questionnaires: describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed

If outcomes were obtained through online questionnaires, describe if they were validated for online use and apply CHERRIES items to describe how the questionnaires were designed/deployed [9].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

All measures used in the study have been widely used and shown to display sound validity and reliability evidence. Multi-item measures in the current study displayed acceptable reliability (Cronbach alpha). In addition, in the context of a randomized controlled trial, participants reported equivalence in the study measures at baseline.
"There were no differences between groups at baseline (as indicated by the non-significant intercepts in Tables S1-5: see supplementary online materials) with regard to any of the five dependent measures assessed in the study."

6a-ii) Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored

Describe whether and how “use” (including intensity of use/dosage) was defined/measured/monitored (logins, logfile analysis, etc.). Use/adoption metrics are important process outcomes that should be reported in any ehealth trial.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

"As a manipulation check, participants were considered to have attended a class/session if they recorded > 10 minutes of recorded program access."

6a-iii) Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained

Describe whether, how, and when qualitative feedback from participants was obtained (e.g., through emails, feedback forms, interviews, focus groups).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 6a-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript text

We conducted qualitative interviews with a subgroup of participants (N =16). However, given the length and quantitative nature of the current manuscript, this data will be published in a forthcoming manuscript.

6b) Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 6b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no changes to the outcomes of the trial.

7a) How sample size was determined

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care provides or centers was addressed

7a-i) Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size

Describe whether and how expected attrition was taken into account when calculating the sample size.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 7a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from manuscript title (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"To account for interdependence amongst observations (i.e., multiple observations within the same participant), we conducted the power analysis using Optimal Design Software [36]. On the basis of seven observations (baseline, plus weeks 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, and 12), a total sample size of 527 was identified as necessary to detect a small effect size (in psychological flourishing) of δ = .25, with ICC set at .05, Power (1 - β) set at 80%, and alpha at .05 with seven time points. To account for a study attrition rate of 10 % (over the course of the study), a sample size of 600 was considered sufficient to examine the latent growth models proposed in this trial."

7b) When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 7b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No interim analyses were conducted.

8a) Method used to generate the random allocation sequence

NPT: When applicable, how care providers were allocated to each trial group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were stratified to ensure equal distribution of men and women across conditions. Sequence generation was completed separately for men and women using the randomizer.org tool for researchers, with blocks of 3 unique numbers (1, 2, 3) that designated one of the three randomization groups. A researcher external to the project team generated the sequence and remained blind to participant allocation."

8b) Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 8b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see item 8a for response.

9) Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 9? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Please see item 8a for response.

10) Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 10? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"A researcher external to the project team generated the sequence and remained blind to participant allocation. Participants were randomized in the order they completed baseline surveys. Although the trial co-ordinator (RH) was aware of condition assignment (following randomization), there were no experimenter or investigator expectancy effects related to the mental health outcome measures as all assessments occurred online (i.e., online questionnaires). Once baseline measures were completed, the trial coordinator contacted each participant to inform them of their condition assignment as a result of the trial's randomization procedures."

11a) If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those assessing outcomes) and how

NPT: Whether or not administering co-interventions were blinded to group assignment

11a-i) Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't

Specify who was blinded, and who wasn't. Usually, in web-based trials it is not possible to blind the participants [1, 3] (this should be clearly acknowledged), but it may be possible to blind outcome assessors, those doing data analysis or those administering co-interventions (if any).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 11a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Participants were stratified to ensure equal distribution of men and women across conditions. Sequence generation was completed separately for men and women using the randomizer.org tool for researchers, with blocks of 3 unique numbers (1, 2, 3) that designated one of the three randomization groups. A researcher external to the project team generated the sequence and remained blind to participant allocation. Participants were randomized in the order they completed baseline surveys. Although the trial co-ordinator (RH) was aware of condition assignment (following randomization), there were no experimenter or investigator expectancy effects related to the mental health outcome measures as all assessments occurred online (i.e., online questionnaires). Once baseline measures were completed, the trial coordinator contacted each participant to inform them of their condition assignment as a result of the trial's randomization procedures."

11a-ii) Discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator"

Informed consent procedures (4a-ii) can create biases and certain expectations - discuss e.g., whether participants knew which intervention was the "intervention of interest" and which one was the "comparator".

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 11a-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Participants were not informed as to whether one intervention was favored over another.

11b) If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions

(this item is usually not relevant for ehealth trials as it refers to similarity of a placebo or sham intervention to a active medication/intervention)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 11b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

A full explanation of intervention conditions is provided in the manuscript.

12a) Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes

NPT: When applicable, details of whether and how the clustering by care providers or centers was addressed

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We conducted our main data analyses for the five outcome variables using latent growth modelling based on a structural equation modeling (SEM) framework, including all randomized participants (intention-to-treat analyses) using the Mplus version 7.4 software[38] with maximum likelihood robust (MLR) estimation. As the data were collected on multiple occasions over 12 weeks following baseline assessments, we tested both linear and non-linear trends. First, we conducted an unconditional growth model, and compared linear (see Figure S1) and quadratic (see Figure S2) growth models, and determined the optimal model through commonly used model fit indices. This corresponded to the comparative fit index (CFI), the root mean square error of approximation (RMSEA), and the standardized root mean square residual (SRMR). The criteria for evaluating model fit was designated with CFI values > 0.90, and RMSEA and SRMR values < .08 (Hu & Bentler, 1998, 1999). Quadratic models were utilized to take account of non-linear growth trends. Second, to test the hypothesized treatment effects we included the intervention conditions (personal exercise versus group exercise) in the analysis, and controlled for the effects of covariates, including sex, age, living situation (i.e., 'alone' versus 'with others'), and chronic health conditions. In light of our a priori hypothesis that living status would moderate the intervention effects, we included the interaction of living situation and experimental conditions in this step. We computed effect sizes at each time point using Feingold's [39–41] approach for growth modeling (equivalent to Cohen's d)."

12a-i) Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values

Imputation techniques to deal with attrition / missing values: Not all participants will use the intervention/comparator as intended and attrition is typically high in ehealth trials. Specify how participants who did not use the application or dropped out from the trial were treated in the statistical analysis (a complete case analysis is strongly discouraged, and simple imputation techniques such as LOCF may also be problematic [4]).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 12a-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See item 12a for specific text

12b) Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 12b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See item 12a for specific text

X26) REB/IRB Approval and Ethical Considerations [recommended as subheading under "Methods"] (not a CONSORT item)

X26-i) Comment on ethics committee approval

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X26-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The study procedures were approved by the research ethics board of The University of British Columbia, with the design, conduct, and reporting of this study adhering to the Consolidated Standards of Reporting Trials (CONSORT) guidelines [23].

x26-ii) Outline informed consent procedures

Outline informed consent procedures e.g., if consent was obtained offline or online (how? Checkbox, etc.?), and what information was provided (see 4a-ii). See [6] for some items to be included in informed consent documents.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X26-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Following the initial screening process, informed consent was obtained.
Participants were recruited via social media advertisements (e.g. Twitter, Facebook) and news coverage related to the trial (radio, print media), which directed them to the study website. Interested participants were invited to contact the trial coordinator (RH) who scheduled a scripted eligibility screening phone call with a member of the research team. After ascertaining eligibility, interested participants provided informed consent and completed baseline measures for all study measures (i.e., demographics plus all health measures) online via Qualtrics (FIPPA compliant [29])."

X26-iii) Safety and security procedures

Safety and security procedures, incl. privacy considerations, and any steps taken to reduce the likelihood or detection of harm (e.g., education and training, availability of a hotline)

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X26-iii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Pre-screening also involved completion of the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire for Everyone (PARQ+) and the Electronic Physical Activity Readiness Medical Examination (ePARmed-X+; [28]). If the ePAR-medX+ highlighted that physician approval was required prior to joining the program, the respective individual was informed that this approval was required before they could enroll in the study."
In addition, contact details for both the research team, as well as the University's IRB was a mandatory item in the consent form.

RESULTS

13a) For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analysed for the primary outcome

NPT: The number of care providers or centers performing the intervention in each group and the number of patients treated by each care provider in each center

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Figure 1 CONSORT Flow Diagram

13b) For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 13b? (NOTE: Preferably, this is shown in a CONSORT flow diagram) *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Figure 1 CONSORT Flow Diagram

13b-i) Attrition diagram

Strongly recommended: An attrition diagram (e.g., proportion of participants still logging in or using the intervention/comparator in each group plotted over time, similar to a survival curve) or other figures or tables demonstrating usage/dose/engagement.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 13b-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript or cite the figure number if applicable (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Figure 1 CONSORT Flow Diagram for trial attrition. See Figure 2 for program attendance in the two experimental conditions across the 12-week trial.

14a) Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"On July 17th 2020 study recruitment was terminated for several reasons. First, during our recruitment window (June and July 2020),..."

14a-i) Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period

Indicate if critical “secular events” fell into the study period, e.g., significant changes in Internet resources available or “changes in computer hardware or Internet delivery resources”

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 14a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No secular events occurred in this trial.

14b) Why the trial ended or was stopped (early)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 14b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The trial did not end or stop early.

15) A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group

NPT: When applicable, a description of care providers (case volume, qualification, expertise, etc.) and centers (volume) in each group

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 15? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Table 1-Participant Demographic Information

15-i) Report demographics associated with digital divide issues

In ehealth trials it is particularly important to report demographics associated with digital divide issues, such as age, education, gender, social-economic status, computer/Internet/ehealth literacy of the participants, if known.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 15-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

We did not collect data in relation to digital divide issues in the current trial, but report such considerations in the Discussion.

16) For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups

16-i) Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions

Report multiple “denominators” and provide definitions: Report N’s (and effect sizes) “across a range of study participation [and use] thresholds” [1], e.g., N exposed, N consented, N used more than x times, N used more than y weeks, N participants “used” the intervention/comparator at specific pre-defined time points of interest (in absolute and relative numbers per group). Always clearly define “use” of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 16-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

As specified in the Statistical Analyses section, intention-to-treat analyses were used. The number of participants' data who were analyzed is also specified in Figure 1.

16-ii) Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat

Primary analysis should be intent-to-treat, secondary analyses could include comparing only “users”, with the appropriate caveats that this is no longer a randomized sample (see 18-i).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 16-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"We conducted our main data analyses for the five outcome variables using latent growth modelling based on a structural equation modeling (SEM) framework, including all randomized participants (intention-to-treat analyses) using the Mplus version 7.4 software[38] with maximum likelihood robust (MLR) estimation."

17a) For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17a? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All primary and secondary outcomes have provided results for each group with estimated effects size and 95% confidence interval. We have not included a direct quote of this text for brevity, but it can be found in the Results section of our manuscript.

17a-i) Presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use

In addition to primary/secondary (clinical) outcomes, the presentation of process outcomes such as metrics of use and intensity of use (dose, exposure) and their operational definitions is critical. This does not only refer to metrics of attrition (13-b) (often a binary variable), but also to more continuous exposure metrics such as “average session length”. These must be accompanied by a technical description how a metric like a “session” is defined (e.g., timeout after idle time) [1] (report under item 6a).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 17a-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

See Figure 2 for program attendance.

17b) For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 17b? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No binary outcomes are reported in the trial.

18) Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 18? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

All analyses, plus the code used to perform these analyses have been provided as supplemental materials. We have not included a direct quote of this text for brevity, but it can be found both in the main body of the manuscript and in the supplementary materials.

18-i) Subgroup analysis of comparing only users

A subgroup analysis of comparing only users is not uncommon in ehealth trials, but if done, it must be stressed that this is a self-selected sample and no longer an unbiased sample from a randomized trial (see 16-iii).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 18-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This item is not applicable.

19) All important harms or unintended effects in each group

(for specific guidance see CONSORT for harms)

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 19? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

No unintended effects or harms occurred in this trial.

19-i) Include privacy breaches, technical problems

Include privacy breaches, technical problems. This does not only include physical “harm” to participants, but also incidents such as perceived or real privacy breaches [1], technical problems, and other unexpected/unintended incidents. “Unintended effects” also includes unintended positive effects [2].

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	◉	○	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 19-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

There were no privacy breaches or technical problems in this study.

19-ii) Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers

Include qualitative feedback from participants or observations from staff/researchers, if available, on strengths and shortcomings of the application, especially if they point to unintended/unexpected effects or uses. This includes (if available) reasons for why people did or did not use the application as intended by the developers.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 19-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

This is the main outcomes paper for the trial, we have a separate manuscript which will specifically address qualitative feedback from participants in the trial. It would not be feasible to fully report the qualitative data/findings alongside the primary outcomes in one manuscript.

DISCUSSION

22) Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence

NPT: In addition, take into account the choice of the comparator, lack of or partial blinding, and unequal expertise of care providers or centers in each group

22-i) Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use)

Restate study questions and summarize the answers suggested by the data, starting with primary outcomes and process outcomes (use).

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 22-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The overall purpose of this study was to test the efficacy of two physical activity programs to support previously low active older adults' psychological and physical well-being early in the COVID-19 pandemic. Both physical activity interventions were delivered online, with one designed to foster a sense of social connectivity, and the other designed to support independent physical activity, and compared against a control condition. After displaying comparable levels of program adherence over the first four weeks of the trial, participants in the group program displayed improved adherence compared to those in the personal exercise program; over the last four weeks the proportion of participants attending 3 or more sessions per week was 10% or more in the group condition than the personal condition. Despite this, there were no intervention effects for either condition, in relation to the trial's primary outcome, psychological flourishing, or measures of life satisfaction and depression symptoms. Both intervention conditions did, however, display significant intervention effects (in the medium effect size range) for a global/omnibus measure of mental health when compared to the control condition. In addition, participants in the group exercise condition also demonstrated significant intervention effects, again in the medium effect size range, for self-reported physical health when compared to controls."

22-ii) Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research

Highlight unanswered new questions, suggest future research.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 22-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Nevertheless, with the proportion of older adults who have access to the internet doubling between 2007 and 2016 in Canada (from 32% to 68%) [44], and with trends expected to improve further [44], programs such as those delivered in this trial have considerable potential to be delivered to older adults, either in circumstances such as the current COVID-19 pandemic, or other contexts such as living in remote or rural communities. Such online programs also have considerable potential to be delivered at scale."
"Although no significant intervention effects resulted in relation to the trial's primary outcome (i.e., psychological flourishing), the intervention effects for both the group and personal conditions in relation to mental and physical health (in the medium effect size range) point to the capacity of low-cost and scalable at-home programs, delivered on-line, to support older adults' well-being in the COVID-19 pandemic, as well as other remote and/or hard-to-reach rural settings."

20) Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses

20-i) Typical limitations in ehealth trials

Typical limitations in ehealth trials: Participants in ehealth trials are rarely blinded. Ehealth trials often look at a multiplicity of outcomes, increasing risk for a Type I error. Discuss biases due to non-use of the intervention/usability issues, biases through informed consent procedures, unexpected events.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	○	◉	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 20-i? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

Limitations can be distilled to recruitment issues, the requirement for data collection that could not occur in person (e.g., reliance on questionnaire data), and a lack of subgroup analysis due to a small number of participants who displayed identifiably depleted levels of mental health. The limitations paragraph elaborates on these points.

21) Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings

NPT: External validity of the trial findings according to the intervention, comparators, patients, and care providers or centers involved in the trial

21-i) Generalizability to other populations

Generalizability to other populations: In particular, discuss generalizability to a general Internet population, outside of a RCT setting, and general patient population, including applicability of the study results for other organizations

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	○	◉	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 21-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Although older adults may not accrue the same quality of connections with other class members that occurs within more typical in-person groups, the adherence data indicated that online groups can act to substantively retain older adults' involvement in physical activity programs (at least in the context of a global pandemic). Second, the programs delivered in this trial were designed so that all exercises could be completed in the home environment with minimal need for equipment. Thus, provided that participants had access to the internet at home via a personal smartphone, tablet (e.g., iPad), or computer, there were no barriers to participation. We recognize that some older adults face 'digital inequalities' that limit their access to the internet (and programs delivered via the internet) [43]. Nevertheless, with the proportion of older adults who have access to the internet doubling between 2007 and 2016 in Canada (from 32% to 68%) [44], and with trends expected to improve further [44], programs such as those delivered in this trial have considerable potential to be delivered to older adults, either in circumstances such as the current COVID-19 pandemic, or other contexts such as living in remote or rural communities. Such online programs also have considerable potential to be delivered at scale."

21-ii) Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting

Discuss if there were elements in the RCT that would be different in a routine application setting (e.g., prompts/reminders, more human involvement, training sessions or other co-interventions) and what impact the omission of these elements could have on use, adoption, or outcomes if the intervention is applied outside of a RCT setting.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	◉	○	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem 21-ii?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

It is beyond the scope of this trial to speculate how the findings may be applicable to in person settings.

OTHER INFORMATION

23) Registration number and name of trial registry

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 23? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The trial was pre-registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (#NCT04412343) and the Open Science Framework [24]."

24) Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 24? *

Cite a Multimedia Appendix, other reference, or copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"The trial was pre-registered at ClinicalTrials.gov (#NCT04412343) and the Open Science Framework [24]."

25) Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders

Does your paper address CONSORT subitem 25? *

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

"Funding for this research was provided by the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (Grant # PJT - 169211)."

X27) Conflicts of Interest (not a CONSORT item)

X27-i) State the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated

In addition to the usual declaration of interests (financial or otherwise), also state the relation of the study team towards the system being evaluated, i.e., state if the authors/evaluators are distinct from or identical with the developers/sponsors of the intervention.

	1	2	3	4	5	
subitem not at all important	○	○	◉	○	○	essential

Clear selection

Does your paper address subitem X27-i?

Copy and paste relevant sections from the manuscript (include quotes in quotation marks "like this" to indicate direct quotes from your manuscript), or elaborate on this item by providing additional information not in the ms, or briefly explain why the item is not applicable/relevant for your study

The research team developed the exercise programs that were evaluated in the trial. They are distinct from the web-based systems (e.g., Canvas, Zoom) that housed the respective programs.

About the CONSORT EHEALTH checklist

As a result of using this checklist, did you make changes in your manuscript? *

- ○ yes, major changes
- ◉ yes, minor changes
- ○ no

What were the most important changes you made as a result of using this checklist?

The abstract (added more details)

How much time did you spend on going through the checklist INCLUDING making changes in your manuscript *

2 hours to go through it all

As a result of using this checklist, do you think your manuscript has improved? *

- ◉ yes
- ○ no
- ○ Other:

Would you like to become involved in the CONSORT EHEALTH group?

This would involve for example becoming involved in participating in a workshop and writing an "Explanation and Elaboration" document

- ○ yes
- ◉ no
- ○ Other:

Clear selection

Any other comments or questions on CONSORT EHEALTH

Your answer

STOP - Save this form as PDF before you click submit

To generate a record that you filled in this form, we recommend to generate a PDF of this page (on a Mac, simply select "print" and then select "print as PDF") before you submit it.

When you submit your (revised) paper to JMIR, please upload the PDF as supplementary file.

Don't worry if some text in the textboxes is cut off, as we still have the complete information in our database. Thank you!

Final step: Click submit !

Click submit so we have your answers in our database!

Submit