THE LANCET Psychiatry

Supplementary appendix

This appendix formed part of the original submission and has been peer reviewed. We post it as supplied by the authors.

Supplement to: Tzur Bitan D, Kridin K, Dov Cohen A, Weinstein O. COVID-19 hospitalisation, mortality, vaccination, and postvaccination trends among people with schizophrenia in Israel: a longitudinal cohort study. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2021; published online Aug 5. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(21)00256-X.

Appendix

Proportional hazard assumption in Cox regression

Significant associations were found between the partial residuals of the adjusted proportional hazard models for hospitalization group, marital status, and smoking. In prediction of mortality, evidence for non-proportionality was detected in smoking. Therefore, the adjusted models were re-analyzed while stratifying time into two phases: early (first 208 days) and late (last 208 days). The results of the stratified adjusted proportional hazard regression models are specified in Table 1S.

Higher hazard ratio (HR) was detected in the early phase analysis of the effect of group on rates of hospitalization, while lower HR was found in the late phase. Nonetheless, both were greater than 1 and within the range of confidence intervals (CIs) of the original models, and both produced significant effects (p<·001). Hazard ratio of mortality in both the early and late stages was within the range of the original model produced with the whole sample; nonetheless, the early model failed to reach significance, most likely due to the small number of cases in the early phase.

Table 1S. Stratified adjusted proportional hazard regression models for hospitalization and mortality, divided into early and late stages.

	Hospitalization		Mortality			
	HR	95% CI	p	HR	95% CI	P
Whole sample	4.81	3.57-6.48	<-001	2.52	1.64-3.85	<-001
Early phase (0-6 months)	6.44	4.19-9.90	<-001	2.15	0.82-5.64	·11
Late phase (7-13 months)	3.40	2.22-5.20	<-001	2.72	1.74-4.25	<.001

Vaccination prevalence among the schizophrenia and control group stratified by time

For vaccinations, all variables except for asthma indicated non-proportionality; therefore, these models were also assessed for early (first month of vaccination plan) and late phases (second month of vaccination plan). Results are elaborated in Table 2S. Analyses indicated an HR estimate of 0.84 in the early phase, and a slightly higher HR in the late phase (0.88); nonetheless, both estimates are within the range of the HR in the full sample (0.83), and both produced a significant effect (p<.001).

Table 2S. Stratified adjusted proportional hazard regression models for vaccination, divided into early and late stages.

	Vacci	nation	
	HR	95% CI	p
Whole sample	0.83	0.81	0.86
Early phase (0-6 months)	0.84	0.82	0.87
Late phase (7-13 months)	0.88	0.84	0.92

Predictors for COVID-19 vaccination

Table 3S. Four-block hierarchical logistic regression predicting COVID-19 vaccination

Models	Predictive variables	OR	95% CI	p
Model 1:	Age	1.04	1.03-1.04	<.001
Demographics	Sex	0.88	0.85-0.92	<.001
G 1	SES	1.54	1.48-1.60	<.001
	Marital status	1.34	1.28-1.39	<.001
	Population group - Ultraorthodox	0.44	0.41 - 0.48	<.001
	Population group - Arab	0.42	0.40 - 0.44	<.001
Model 2:	Asthma	1.05	0.96-1.14	·25
Clinical risk	Diabetes	0.96	0.90-1.01	·16
factors	Hypertension	0.99	0.94-1.05	.83
	Obesity	1.05	1.01-1.10	.01
	Smoking	0.89	0.88 - 0.95	<.001
	COPD	0.97	0.87 - 1.07	·51
	Hyperlipidemia	1.36	1.30-1.42	<.001
	IHD	0.89	0.81-0.98	·01
Model 3:	Schizophrenia	0.75	0.72 - 0.78	<.001
Schizophrenia				
Model 4:	Age	0.98	0.98-0.99	<.001
Interactions of	Sex	1.06	0.97-1.15	·14
predictors with	SES	0.90	0.83-0.98	<.001
schizophrenia	Marital status	0.60	0.56-0.66	<.001
_	Asthma	0.84	0.70 - 0.99	.04
	Diabetes	1.17	1.04-1.32	.009
	Hypertension	1.15	1.02-1.29	·014
	Obesity	1.20	1.09-1.32	<.001
	Smoking	1.02	0.94-1.10	.59
	COPD	1.29	1.01-1.65	.03
	Hyperlipidemia	1.02	0.93-1.12	·64
	IHD	0.93	0.77-1.12	·45

Note. Reference group for sex is male; for SES is low; for marital status is not being married; for population group is general Jewish population; for COVID-19 infection is being infected; and not having the condition in all of the clinical factors. OR = odds ratio. CI = confidence interval.

STROBE Statement—checklist of items that should be included in reports of observational studies

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the abstract	1
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was done and what was found	2
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	
Methods			1
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	9
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Cohort study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants. Describe methods of follow-up	9-10
		Case-control study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of case ascertainment and control selection. Give the rationale for the choice of cases and controls	
		Cross-sectional study—Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of participants	
		(b) Cohort study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and unexposed	10
		Case-control study—For matched studies, give matching criteria and the number of controls per case	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/ measurement	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	10-11
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	11
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable, describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	(a) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for confounding	10-11
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	10-11
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	N/A

(d) Cohort study—If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	N/A
Case-control study—If applicable, explain how matching of cases and controls was addressed	
Cross-sectional study—If applicable, describe analytical methods taking account of sampling strategy	
(<u>e</u>) Describe any sensitivity analyses	N/A

Continued on next page

Results Participants	13	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers	N/A
. ar crosparits	*	potentially eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the	'', / '
		study, completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	N/A
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	N/A
Descriptive	14	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	10
data	*	and information on exposures and potential confounders	
		(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of	N/A
		interest	
		(c) Cohort study—Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)	N/A
Outcome data	15	Cohort study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over	12
	*	time	
		Case-control study—Report numbers in each exposure category, or summary	12
		measures of exposure	
		Cross-sectional study—Report numbers of outcome events or summary	12
		measures	
Main results	16	(a) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates	12-13
		and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders	
		were adjusted for and why they were included	
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized	12-13
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for	12-13
		a meaningful time period	
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done—eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and	14-16
		sensitivity analyses	
Discussion			
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	17
Limitations	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or	19
		imprecision. Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias	
Interpretation	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives,	17-19
		limitations, multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other	
		relevant evidence	
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	19
Other informati	on		
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and,	11
		if applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based	

^{*}Give information separately for cases and controls in case-control studies and, if applicable, for exposed and unexposed groups in cohort and cross-sectional studies.

Note: An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely

available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at www.strobe-statement.org.