SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS

SUPPLEMENTARY FIGURES

Supplementary Fig. 1: Supporting molecular data for CD1 and TCR expression. a The expression levels of *CD1* genes in datasets not included in Fig.1. In the box plots, the box is enclosed between the first quartile ($25th$ percentile) and the third quartile ($75th$ percentile), the center is represented by the median, the whiskers are defined by 1.5 times the interquartile range (i.e., it is the distance between the upper and lower quartiles). Sample size of each dataset is detailed in Supplementary Table 1. **b** The TCR Vα and Vβ chains expressed by the selected CD1c self-reactive T cell clones. In red are the N regions. DN4.99 and P8E3 T cell clones expressed TRAV38 paired with TRBV28, while DN4.2 and PZP8A6 clones expressed TRAV26 paired with TRBV4. Clone DN7.6.16 expressed Vα and Vβ genes (*TRAV13* and *TRBV27*) different from the other five clones. All clones used different N regions and rearranged different J segments. **c** Representation of the LV vectors carrying cDNA for the CD1c self-reactive TCRs. **d, e** Deletion of CD1c expression from Jurkat 76 cells using CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of the *B2M* gene. Flow cytometry analysis using monoclonal antibodies specific for human HLA-ABC (BioLegend, clone W6/32; diluted 1:100), β2m (BioLegend, clone 2M2; diluted 1:50), and CD1c (Santa Cruz Biotechnology Inc., clone L161, diluted 1:20) on Wild-Type (WT) Jurkat 76 cells (**d**) and after CRISPR/Cas9-mediated editing of the *B2M* gene (**e**), as determined by labeling the cells with the indicated monoclonal antibodies. Dotted histograms represent fluorescence-minus-one (FMO) labeling control. Relative Fluorescence Intensity (RFI) was calculated as the ratio between the intensity of labeling of the sample and control.

Supplementary Fig. 2: Supporting phenotypical characterization of TCR-transduced T cells. a Transduction of primary polyclonal T cells with the DN4.99 chimeric TCR results in a sustained downregulation of the endogenous TCRs compared to the other methyl-lysophosphatidic acid (mLPA)-specific TCRs. Flow cytometry labeling of the transduced chimeric and endogenous TCRs detected with anti-mouse (m)TCRb and anti-human (h)TCRb monoclonal antibody, respectively, performed at days 8, 16, and 27 from T cell restimulation with the Dynabeads® human T-Activator CD3/CD28 (Thermofisher, Cat# 11131D) at a 3:1 bead:cell ratio. The expression of the endogenous TCRs (white histograms) by the same T cells undergoing the same activation protocol, but without chimeric TCR transduction, is also shown. Black histograms represent TCR-transduced T cells, white histograms represent non-transduced T cells, and dotted histograms represent florescence-minus-one (FMO) labeling control. Relative Fluorescence Intensity (RFI) is shown. **b, c** Distribution of T cell subpopulations (CCR7⁺CD95⁺ stem cell memory [T_{SCM}], central memory [T_{CM}], effector memory

[TEM] and terminal effector [T_{TE}]) within CD8⁺ (black histograms) and CD4⁺ (grey histograms) DN4.99 TCR transduced-T (DN4.99 TCR-T) cells (**b**) and non-transduced T cells (**c**) at day 17 from T cells restimulation with the Dynabeads® human T-Activator CD3/CD28 (Thermofisher, Cat# 11131D) at a 3:1 bead:cell ratio. The flow cytometry analysis was performed labeling the cells with anti-mouse (m)TCRβ-APC/Cy7, anti-human CD8-APC (BioLegend, clone SK1, diluted 1:100), CD62L-PE/Cy7 (BioLegend, clone DREG-56 diluted 1:100), CD45RA-FITC (BioLegend, clone HI100, diluted 1:50); CCR7-PE (BioLegend, clone G043H7, diluted 1:50;), CD95-PE (BioLegend, clone DX2, diluted 1:100) and CD4-V500 (BD, clone RPTA-4, diluted 1:100;) monoclonal antibodies. Dotted histograms represent florescence-minus-one (FMO) labeling control.

Supplementary Fig. 3: Supporting functional characterization of TCR-transduced T cells in vitro and in vivo. a-c CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ DN4.99 TCR-transduced T (DN4.99 TCR-T) cells are polyfunctional. **a** Primary T cells were transduced with the lead methyl-lysophosphatidic acid

(mLPA)-specific DN4.99 TCR and separated by immunomagnetic cell sorting using CD4 Isolation Kit (Miltenyi Biotec, Cat# 130-096-533) into $CD8^+$ and $CD4^+$ subsets at \geq 99% final purity. $CD8^+$ (b) and CD4⁺ (**c)** DN4.99 TCR-T cells were individually assessed in vitro against THP-1-CD1c cells (red bars) or THP-1-Wild-Type (WT) cells (grey bars) at Effector:Target (E:T) ratio 1:1 to analyze, after 48 hours of co-culture, the cytokine release with Th Cytokine Panel LEGENDPlex (Biolegend, Cat# 740722) according to manufacturer's instructions. CD8⁺ or CD4⁺ non-transduced T cells assessed against THP-1-CD1c cells (grey bars) were used as further control. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Shown is one of two independent experiments with $n=3$ replicates per experiment. *P < 0.05; **P < 0.01; ***P < 0.001; ****P < 0.0001 determined by Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. **d, e** CD8⁺ and CD4⁺ DN4.99 TCR-T cells differentially kill primary CD1c⁺ leukemia cell lines in vitro. CD8⁺ (black dots) and CD4⁺ (grey squares) subsets (obtained as described for panels a and b), were individually assessed in vitro for the ability to kill CD1c-expressing THP-1 (**d**) and MOLM-13 (**e**) acute leukemia cell lines at the indicated E:T ratio. The killing of the target cells was determined by flow cytometry labeling and expressed as Elimination Index, as described in Methods. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Shown is one of two consistent independently performed experiments with n=3 replicates per experiment. ****P < 0.0001 determined by Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. **f** DN4.99 TCR-T cell transfer doesn't induce Graft-versus-Host-Disease in mice injected with THP-1-CD1c cells. Mice receiving: DN4.99 TCR-T cells, red dots; T cells, black squares; and vehicle, grey triangles. Body weight was monitored at several time points after T cell injection. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Shown is one of two independent experiments with n=3 replicates per experiment. ${}^{*}P = 0.0396$ determined on AUC by two-tailed unpaired t-test.

Supplementary Fig. 4: DL15A31 TCR is not CD1c-self-reactive and not mLPA (methyllysophosphatidic acid) specific. a Jurkat 76 β2m-cells transduced with DN4.99 TCR (DN4.99 TCR-JK) or DL15A31 TCR (DL15A31 TCR-JK) were cultured at a 1:1 Effector:Target (E:T) ratio with 5x10⁴ K562-CD1c cells loaded (red histograms) or not (black histograms) with 1.5 ng/ml of methyllysophosphatidic acid (mLPA). K562-CD1d cells (grey histograms) were used as a negative control. Jurkat cell activation after overnight co-culture was assessed as increased intensity of CD69 expression as measured by flow cytometry (Mean Fluorescence Intensity, MFI, reported)**. b-d** DN4.99 TCR-T cells, but not DL15A31-TCR T cells, efficiently recognize and kill CD1c⁺ NALM-6 cells in vitro. **b** Recognition of NALM-6-CD1c cells by primary T cells transduced with the DN4.99, or DL15A31 TCRs or not-transduced upon co-culture at a 1:1 E:T ratio in the presence or in the absence of anti-CD1c monoclonal antibody (20μg/mL). After 48h supernatants were collected and IFN-γ production was measured by ELISA. Data are represented as mean \pm SD. Shown is one of two independent experiments with $n=3$ replicates per experiment. ****P < 0.0001 determined by Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test. **c, d** Representative killing of NALM-6-CD1c cells by DN4.99 TCR-T cells. After 72h of co-culture at a 1:1 E:T ratio,

killing of the NALM-6-CD1c cell line was determined by flow cytometry labeling (**c**) and expressed as elimination index (**d**), as described in Methods. Histogram data are represented as mean \pm SD. Results are representative of two independent experiments with n=3 replicates per experiment. ****P < 0.0001 determined by Ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's multiple comparison test.

Supplementary Fig. 5: Gating strategy for Figures 2-4 and Supplementary Figures 3 and 4. a Gating strategy for Fig. 2a to determine the expression of the transduced chimeric TCRs on Jurkat 76 cells. **b** Gating strategy for Fig. 2b and Fig. 4a to determine the CD1c expression on the leukemia cell lines. **c** Gating strategy for Fig. 2c-e and Supplementary Fig. 4a to determine the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD69 on TCR-transduced Jurkat 76 cells. **d** Gating strategy for Fig. 3a and 3b to

determine the expression of transduced chimeric TCRs (Fig. 3a) and the endogenous TCR (Fig 3b) on transduced primary T cells. Chimeric TCR expression on transduced (black histograms) and nontransduced (white histograms) primary polyclonal T cells was determined by labeling the CD3⁺ cells with anti-mouse TCRβ (mTCRβ) monoclonal antibody. The endogenous human TCR expression in chimeric TCR-transduced T cells was determined by labeling the mTCR β ⁺ transduced T cells with anti-human TCRβ (hTCRβ) monoclonal antibody. The endogenous human TCR expression in nontransduced T cells (white histograms) was evaluated in the CD3⁺ cells. **e** Gating strategy for Fig. 4ce and Supplementary Figures 3c-d and 4c-d to assess the killing of CD1c-expressing leukemia cell lines. Effector T cells were labelled with anti-human CD3 monoclonal antibody; Target cells were identified as follow: AML (THP-1, MOLM-13, K562) as CD33⁺; B-ALL (NALM-6, CCRF-SB) and B lymphoblatoid cells (C1R) as CD19⁺ or CD10⁺; T-ALL (MOLT-4) as CD1d⁺.

Supplementary Fig. 6: Gating strategy for Figures 5-8 and Supplementary Figure 2. a Gating strategy for Fig. 5a to determine the expression of CD1c on primary leukemia blasts. **b** Gating strategy for Fig. 5c-d and Fig. 6d-e to assess the killing of both primary CD1c⁺ acute leukemia blasts (Fig. 5c-d), and normal CD1c⁺ blood cells (Fig. 6d-e). Effector T cells were labelled with anti-human CD3 monoclonal antibody; Target cells were identified as follow: B-ALL as CD10⁺; AML as CD33⁺ or CD34⁺ depending on the AML blasts phenotype; B cells as CD19⁺ or CD20⁺; monocytes and circulating dendritic cells (cDCs) as CD11c⁺. c Gating strategy for Fig. 5a-e to assess the normal B cells, monocytes and cDCs purification and the CD1c expression. **d** Gating strategy for figure 7e to determine at sacrifice the persistence of DN4.99 TCR-transduced T cells in the liver of NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid IL- 2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ (NSG) mice that received intravenous injection of THP-1-CD1c leukemic cells. **e** Gating strategy for Fig. 8c, 8f and 9c to determine both the percentage of tumors cells and their CD1c expression (Fig. 8), and the percentage of transferred DN4.99 TCR-transduced T cells (Fig. 9) in the peripheral blood of NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid IL-2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ (NSG) tumor bearing mice. Human T cells were identified as mouse (m)CD45 human (h)CD3⁺; human leukemia cells were identified either as human (h)CD19⁺ (the B-ALL NALM-6-CD1c) or as human (h)CD33⁺ (the AML MOLM-13-CD1c). The mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of CD1c were evaluated on CD19⁺ NALM-6-CD1c leukemic cells. **f** Gating strategy for Fig. 8d to assess the presence of DN4.99 TCR-T cells in the bone marrow of NOD.Cg-Prkdcscid IL- 2rgtm1Wjl/SzJ (NSG) NALM-6 CD1c bearing mice. The human cells were identified as mouse (m)CD45- human (h)CD45⁺ . **g** Gating strategy for Supplementary Fig. 2 for the phenotypical characterization of TCR-transduced T cells.

SUPPLEMENTARY TABLES

Supplementary Table 1: Leukemia list of the datasets investigated for CD1 expression. Leukemia type, number of cases, and Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) or The Cancer Genome Atlas program (TCGA) ID of the datasets investigated for CD1 expression. AML: Acute Myeloid Leukemia; B-ALL: B-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia; T-ALL: T-cell Acute Lymphoblastic Leukemia.

Supplementary Table 2: Differential expression analysis of CD1c in 31 tumors from the TCGA project and their corresponding normal tissue. The normal cohort is a sum of normal samples from both TCGA and GTEx databases. Modulation was specified for significant datasets only. Up: the gene is significantly more highly expressed in the tumor tissue compared to normal tissue; down: the gene is significantly less expressed in tumor tissue compared to normal tissue; ns: p-value > 0.01 according to one-way ANOVA.

Supplementary Table 3: Primers used to clone the CD1c cDNA. Underlined the Kozak sequence,

in red ATG and stop codons.

SUPPLEMENTARY REFERENCES

- 1. Cancer Genome Atlas Research Network *et al.* Genomic and epigenomic landscapes of adult de novo acute myeloid leukemia. *N Engl J Med* **368,** 2059–2074 (2013).
- 2. Metzeler, K. H. *et al.* An 86-probe-set gene-expression signature predicts survival in cytogenetically normal acute myeloid leukemia. *Blood* **112,** 4193–4201 (2008).
- 3. Verhaak, R. G. W. *et al.* Prediction of molecular subtypes in acute myeloid leukemia based on gene expression profiling. *Haematologica* **94,** 131–134 (2009).
- 4. Balgobind, B. V. *et al.* Evaluation of gene expression signatures predictive of cytogenetic and molecular subtypes of pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Haematologica* **96,** 221–230 (2011).
- 5. Radtke, I. *et al.* Genomic analysis reveals few genetic alterations in pediatric acute myeloid leukemia. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* **106,** 12944–12949 (2009).
- 6. Staal, F. J. T. *et al.* Genome-wide expression analysis of paired diagnosis-relapse samples in ALL indicates involvement of pathways related to DNA replication, cell cycle and DNA repair, independent of immune phenotype. *Leukemia* **24,** 491–499 (2010).
- 7. Fulci, V. *et al.* Characterization of B- and T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia by integrated analysis of MicroRNA and mRNA expression profiles. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer* **48,** 1069–1082 (2009).
- 8. Meyer, L. H. *et al.* Early relapse in ALL is identified by time to leukemia in NOD/SCID mice and is characterized by a gene signature involving survival pathways. *Cancer Cell* **19,** 206–217 (2011).
- 9. Kang, H. *et al.* Gene expression classifiers for relapse-free survival and minimal residual disease improve risk classification and outcome prediction in pediatric B-precursor acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **115,** 1394–1405 (2010).
- 10. Lee, S.-T. *et al.* Epigenetic remodeling in B-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia occurs in two tracks and employs embryonic stem cell-like signatures. *Nucleic Acids Res* **43,** 2590–2602 (2015).
- 11. Winter, S. S. *et al.* Identification of genomic classifiers that distinguish induction failure in T-lineage acute lymphoblastic leukemia: a report from the Children's Oncology Group. *Blood* **110,** 1429–1438 (2007).
- 12. Silveira, A. B. *et al.* PI3K inhibition synergizes with glucocorticoids but antagonizes with methotrexate in T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Oncotarget* **6,** 13105–13118 (2015).
- 13. Van Vlierberghe, P. *et al.* The recurrent SET-NUP214 fusion as a new HOXA activation mechanism in pediatric T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *Blood* **111,** 4668–4680 (2008).
- 14. Zangrando, A., Dell'orto, M. C., Kronnie, Te, G. & Basso, G. MLL rearrangements in pediatric acute lymphoblastic and myeloblastic leukemias: MLL specific and lineage specific signatures. *BMC Med Genomics* **2,** 36–12 (2009).
- 15. Schmitz, R. *et al.* Genetics and pathogenesis of Diffuse Large B-Cell Lymphoma. *N Engl J Med* **378,** 1396–1407 (2018).