Supplemental Table A: Measures

EMA Measures				
Construct Assessed	Measure	Citation	Item and Response Examples	Psychometrics and Notes
Prescription Stimulant Misuse (PSM)	Item derived from the National Survey on Drug Use and Health (NSDUH) and the National Epidemiologic Survey on Alcohol and Related Conditions-III (NESARC-III)	CBHSQ, 2018; Grant et al., 2014	Item: "Have you misused a stimulant since the last survey? That is, have you used your own stimulant medication in a way your doctor did not intend or have you used another person's stimulant medication?" Response: Yes/No	Single-item, N/A
PSM Motives	Derived from the NSDUH and past research	CBHSQ, 2018; McCabe and Cranford, 2012; Weyandt et al., 2009;	Item: Why did you use the stimulant medication? Please select all that apply Responses: to lose weight; to concentrate better; to stay awake; to study better; to experiment; to feel better or get high; to change the effects of another drug; because I am 'hooked'	Single-item, N/A
PSM Sources	Item derived from the NSDUH and Monitoring the Future surveys	CBHSQ, 2018; McCabe et al., 2019	Item: "Where do you get stimulant medication for misuse from? Please select any source you have ever used." Responses: It is my own medication; from a friend/roommate for free; from family for free; take it from a	Single-item, N/A

			friend/roommate without asking; take it from family without asking; buy it from a friend; buy it from family; buy it from a stranger or dealer; some other way	
Affect	The International PANAS, Short Form (I-PANAS-SF)	Thompson, 2007	Positive Affect Items: alert, inspired, determined, attentive, active Negative Affect Items: upset, hostile, ashamed, nervous, afraid Responses were on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 ("not at all") to 5 ("always")	the I-PANAS-SF had good psychometrics on both affective subscales, with internal consistency values of 0.75 or greater in different samples, a consistent two-factor solution in confirmatory factor analysis, eight-week test-retest of 0.84 and evidence of both convergent and divergent validity with a measure of subjective well-being
Perceived Stress	The 4-item Perceived Stress Scale (PSS-4)	Cohen, Kamarck, & Mermelstein, 1983; Lee, 2012	Item example: "Since the last survey, how often have you felt that you were unable to control the important things in your life?" Responses were on a 5-point Likert scale: 0 ("never"), 1 ("almost never"), 2 ("sometimes"), 3 ("fairly often"), and 4 ("very often)	It had good predictive validity for tobacco smoking, adequate internal consistency, and reliable factor solutions in past research and was modified to focus on perceived stress since the last EMA session to meet the aims of this project. The PSS-4 had less robust psychometrics than the 10- or 14-item scales, but given the imperative for a brief scale for use in EMA formats, it was selected.

Emotional Control	100 centimeter visual analogue scale (VAS)	N/A	Item: "How in control of your emotions do you feel right now?"now?"Responses had anchor points of 0 ("no control over my emotions") and 100 ("total control over my emotions")	No significant differences were found between VAS and Likert scale ratings across a variety of measures (Cook, Heath, Thompson, & Thompson, 2001; Couper, Tourangeau, Conrad, & Singer, 2006)
Academic Stress	100 centimeter VAS	N/A	Item: "How much stress about your college responsibilities do you feel <u>right now?"</u> Responses had anchor points of 0 ("no academic stress") and 100 ("strongest imaginable academic stress")	See above
Academic Demands	100 centimeter VAS	N/A	Item: "How demanding (or how much work) are your college responsibilities <u>right now?"</u> Responses had anchor points of 0 ("no academic stress") and 100 ("strongest imaginable academic stress")	See above
Academic Confidence	100 centimeter VAS	N/A	Item: "How confident are you that you can successfully take care of all your college responsibilities or work <u>right</u> <u>now?"</u> Responses had anchor points of 0 ("I have no confidence I can succeed in school") and 100 ("I	See above

			have total confidence I can		
			succeed in school")		
Baseline Measures					
Construct	Measure	Citation	Item and Response Examples	Psychometrics and Notes	
Assessed					
Attention	Adult ADHD Self-	Kessler et al.,	Item example: "How often do	The screener had better	
Deficit	Report Scale (ASRS)	2005;	you have problems remembering	reliability and validity than the	
Hyperactivity	screener	van de Glind et	appointments or obligations?"	full version, and the developers	
Disorder		al., 2013		recommended its use over the	
(ADHD)			Responses were on a 5-point	full version. The ASRS	
Symptoms			Likert scale: 1 ("never"), 2	screener also had good	
			("rarely"), 3 ("sometimes"), 4	specificity (0.66) and excellent	
			("often"), and 5 ("very often)	sensitivity (0.84) in treatment-	
				seeking adults with SUD.	
Impulsivity	Short UPPS-P	Cyders et al.,	Item example: "I generally like	The SUPPS-P had very strong	
	Impulsive Behavior	2014	to see things through to the end."	reliability and validity, with	
	scale (SUPPS-P)			internal consistency values at or	
			Responses were on a 4-point	above 0.74 for the subscales,	
			Likert scale: I ("agree	replication of the five-factor	
			strongly"), 2 ("agree some"), 3	model of the full UPPS-P	
			("disagree some"), 4 ("disagree	measure, and consistent	
			strongly")	relationships to a variety of	
D 1		X'1 D'1 1 0	Y. 1 (ANY 11	impulsive and risky behaviors.	
Delay	27-item Monetary	Kirby, Bickel, &	Item example: "Would you	The Monetary Choice	
Discounting	Choice Questionnaire	Petry, 1999;	prefer \$54 today, or \$55 in 11/	Questionnaire has strong	
		Kaplan et al.,	days?"	reliability and validity, as it	
		2016	\mathbf{B} are an example, $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{L}$ to $\mathbf{J} = \mathbf{r}^2$	consistently links greater	
			ar "\$55 in 117 days"	to substance use	
			01 \$55 III 117 days	to substance use.	
				The outcome is k or the	
				indifference point between the	
	1				

				delayed reward and the immediate reward.
PSM History	Four questions from the Stimulant Survey Questionnaire (SSQ)	Weyandt et al., 2009	Items: "I have used prescription stimulants with alcohol"; "I have snorted prescription stimulants"; "I have injected prescription stimulants"; "I have smoked prescription stimulants"	Single-items, N/A
			Responses were on a 5-point Likert scale from 1 ('never") to 5 ("always") and were dichotomized into never (1) versus at least once (2-5)	
PSM Motives	Item derived from the NSDUH and the NESARC-III	CBHSQ, 2018; Grant et al., 2014	Item: "Have you misused a stimulant since the last survey? That is, have you used your own stimulant medication in a way your doctor did not intend or have you used another person's stimulant medication?" Response: Yes/No	Single-item, N/A Items were categorized as <u>self-</u> <u>treatment motives only</u> or <u>recreational motives</u> . Self- treatment captured "to lose weight", "to concentrate better", "to stay awake", and "to study better"; these motives are often classified together as they are consistent with FDA indicated uses for stimulant medication.
PSM Sources	Item derived from the NSDUH and Monitoring the Future surveys	CBHSQ, 2018; McCabe et al., 2019	Item: "Where do you get stimulant medication for misuse from? Please select any source you have ever used."	Single-item, N/A Sources were dichotomized into <u>multiple sources</u> versus <u>single</u> <u>source PSM</u> .

	Responses: It is my own	
	medication; from a	
	friend/roommate for free; from	
	family for free; take it from a	
	friend/roommate without asking;	
	take it from family without	
	asking; buy it from a friend; buy	
	it from family; buy it from a	
	stranger or dealer; some other	
	way	