Supplemental material

PRISMA CHECKLIST

Title of study: Resource availability, utilization and cost in the provision of critical care in Tanzania: A protocol for a systematic review

Item	Item	Description	Page and line
Number			number
1	Title	Identify the report as a systematic review	Page 1, lines 4-5
2	Abstract	Summary of the study	Page 2, Lines 39-61
3	Rationale	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of existing knowledge	Page 3, Lines 118-135
4	Objectives	Provide an explicit statement of the objective(s) or question(s) the review addresses	Page 4, Lines 137-146
5	Eligibility criteria	Specify the inclusion and exclusion criteria for the review and how studies were grouped for the syntheses	Page 6, Lines 205-209 And appendix 2
6	Information sources	Specify all databases, registers, websites, organisations, reference lists, and other sources searched or consulted to identify studies.	Page 5, Lines 175-187
7	Search strategy	Present the full search strategies for all databases, registers, and websites, including any filters and limits used	Page 5, Lines 175-199 And Appendix 1
8	Selection process	Specify the methods used to decide whether a study met the inclusion criteria of the review, including how many reviewers screened each record and each report retrieved, whether they worked independently, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	Page 7, Lines 212-217
9	Data collection process	Specify the methods used to collect data from reports, including how many reviewers collected data from each report, whether they worked independently, any processes for obtaining or confirming data from study investigators, and, if applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	Page 7, Lines 219-224
10a	Data items	List and define all outcomes for which data were sought. Specify whether all results that were compatible with each outcome domain in each study were sought (for example, for all measures, time	Table 1: Page 4

		points, analyses), and, if not, the methods used to decide which results to collect	
1.01	D		D 7 1: 210 222
10b	Data items	List and define all other variables for which data were sought (such	Page 7, Lines 219-222
		as participant and intervention characteristics, funding sources).	
		Describe any assumptions made about any missing or unclear	
		information	
11	Study risk of bias	Specify the methods used to assess risk of bias in the included	Page 7, Lines 225-233
		studies, including details of the tool(s) used, how many reviewers	
		assessed each study and whether they worked independently, and, if	
		applicable, details of automation tools used in the process	
12	Effect measures	Specify for each outcome the effect measure(s) (such as risk ratio,	Not applicable
		mean difference) used in the synthesis or presentation of results	
13a	Synthesis	Describe the processes used to decide which studies were eligible for	Page 7, Lines 222-227
	methods	each synthesis (such as tabulating the study intervention	
		characteristics and comparing against the planned groups for each	
		synthesis (item #5))	
13b	Synthesis	Describe any methods required to prepare the data for presentation or	Page 7, Lines 234-243
	methods	synthesis, such as handling of missing summary statistics or data	
		conversions	
13c	Synthesis	Describe any methods used to tabulate or visually display results of	Page 7, Lines 235-242
	methods	individual studies and syntheses	
13d	Synthesis	Describe any methods used to synthesise results and provide a	Page 7, Lines 235-242
	methods	rationale for the choice(s). If meta-analysis was performed, describe	
		the model(s), method(s) to identify the presence and extent of	
		statistical heterogeneity, and software package(s) used	
13e	Synthesis	Describe any methods used to explore possible causes of	Not applicable
	methods	heterogeneity among study results (such as subgroup analysis, meta-	
		regression)	
13f	Synthesis	Describe any sensitivity analyses conducted to assess robustness of	Not applicable
	methods	the synthesised results	
14	Reporting bias	Describe any methods used to assess risk of bias due to missing	Not applicable at this
	assessment	results in a synthesis (arising from reporting biases)	stage

15	Certainty	Describe any methods used to assess certainty (or confidence) in the	Page 7, 237-238
	assessment	body of evidence for an outcome	
16a	Study selection	Describe the results of the search and selection process, from the	Not applicable at this
		number of records identified in the search to the number of studies	stage
		included in the review, ideally using a flow diagram	
16b	Study selection	Cite studies that might appear to meet the inclusion criteria, but	Not applicable at this
		which were excluded, and explain why they were excluded	stage
17	Study	Cite each included study and present its characteristics	Not applicable at this
	characteristics		stage
18	Risk of bias in	Present assessments of risk of bias for each included study	Not applicable at this
	studies		stage
19	Results of	For all outcomes, present for each study (a) summary statistics for	Not applicable at this
	individual studies	each group (where appropriate) and (b) an effect estimate and its	stage
		precision (such as confidence/credible interval), ideally using	
		structured tables or plots	
20a	Results of	For each synthesis, briefly summarise the characteristics and risk of	Not applicable at this
	syntheses	bias among contributing studies	stage
20b	Results of	Present results of all statistical syntheses conducted. If meta-analysis	Not applicable at this
	syntheses	was done, present for each the summary estimate and its precision	stage
		(such as confidence/credible interval) and measures of statistical	
		heterogeneity. If comparing groups, describe the direction of the	
		effect	
20c	Results of	Present results of all investigations of possible causes of	Not applicable at this
	syntheses	heterogeneity among study results	stage
20d	Results of	Present results of all sensitivity analyses conducted to assess the	Not applicable at this
	syntheses	robustness of the synthesised results	stage
21	Risk of reporting	Present assessments of risk of bias due to missing results (arising	Not applicable at this
	bias in syntheses	from reporting biases) for each synthesis assessed	stage
22	Certainty of	Present assessments of certainty (or confidence) in the body of	Not applicable at this
	evidence	evidence for each outcome assessed	stage

23a	Discussion	Provide a general interpretation of the results in the context of other evidence	Not applicable at this stage
23b	Discussion	Discuss any limitations of the evidence included in the review	Not applicable at this stage
23c	Discussion	Discuss any limitations of the review processes used	Not applicable at this stage
23d	Discussion	Discuss implications of the results for practice, policy, and future research	Not applicable at this stage
24a	Registration and protocol	Provide registration information for the review, including register name and registration number, or state that the review was not registered	Page 4, Lines 150-151
24b	Registration and protocol	Indicate where the review protocol can be accessed, or state that a protocol was not prepared	Page 4, Lines 150-151
24c	Registration and protocol	Describe and explain any amendments to information provided at registration or in the protocol	Not applicable at this stage
25	Support	Describe sources of financial or non-financial support for the review, and the role of the funders or sponsors in the review	Page 10, lines 360- 361
26	Competing interests	Declare any competing interests of review authors	Page 10, Lines 362- 364
27	Availability of data, code and other materials	Report which of the following are publicly available and where they can be found: template data collection forms; data extracted from included studies; data used for all analyses; analytic code; any other materials used in the review	Not applicable at this stage