

Supplementary information

**Bottleneck size and selection level
reproducibly impact evolution of antibiotic
resistance**

In the format provided by the
authors and unedited

Supplementary Table 1: Statistical results of Welch t-tests for area under curve (AUC) of harmonic mean Population sizes from the GEN and CIP experiments.

Comparison	GEN experiment			CIP experiment		
	t	Df	P	t	Df	P
IC80 k50-IC20 k50	0.2012	13.279	0.8436	14.654	11.373	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC20 M5	5.6754	7.4104	0.0006	5.9505	8.5935	0.0003

The test compares the difference in AUC (harmonic mean population size over transfer) between the individual treatment groups.

Supplementary Table 2: Statistical results of a general linear mixed model for yield over time for the GEN and CIP experiments.

Predictor	GEN experiment			CIP experiment		
	χ^2	Df	P	χ^2	Df	P
IC	31.34	3	<0.0001	16.05	3	<0.0001
BN	17.19	2	<0.0001	33.35	2	<0.0001
Transfer	21.46	1	<0.0001	48.44	1	<0.0001
IC*BN	4.47	6	0.0345	1.54	6	0.2151
IC*Transfer	15.40	3	<0.0001	5.45	3	0.0195
BN*Transfer	5.97	2	0.0145	2.98	2	0.0842
IC*BN*Transfer	0.73	6	0.3919	2.01	6	0.1565

The model tests the influence of drug concentration (IC), bottleneck size (BN) and time of transfer, as well as their individual interactions, on population yield. Formula: Yield ~ IC * BN * Transfer * (1 | Well on plate).

Supplementary Table 3: Statistical results of a Tukey's HSD test for difference in yield over time for the GEN and CIP experiments.

Comparison	GEN experiment		CIP experiment	
	diff	P	diff	P
IC20 M5-IC20 k50	0.19	<0.0001	0.43	<0.0001
IC80 k50-IC20 k50	-0.11	0.0058	- 0.56	0.0003
IC80 M5-IC20 k50	-0.05	0.4816	0.15	0.0974
IC80 k50-IC20 M5	-0.31	<0.0001	- 0.99	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC20 M5	-0.24	<0.0001	- 0.28	0.0001
IC80 M5-IC80 k50	0.06	0.2979	0.71	<0.0001

The test compares the difference in mean yield between the individual treatment groups. Formula: Yield ~ Treatment.

Supplementary Table 4: Statistical results of a Tukey's HSD test for area under curve (AUC) of growth rate from evolved populations from the GEN and CIP experiments.

Comparison	GEN experiment		CIP experiment	
	diff	P	diff	P
IC20 M5-IC20 k50	-0.0086	0.0069	0.0334	<0.0001
IC80 k50-IC20 k50	-0.0004	0.9976	-0.0916	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC20 k50	-0.0041	0.3691	0.0013	0.9956
IC80 k50-IC20 M5	0.0082	0.0107	-0.1250	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC20 M5	0.0045	0.3199	-0.0321	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC80 k50	-0.0037	0.4653	0.0929	<0.0001

The test compares the difference in AUC (growth rate over transfer) between the individual treatment groups. Formula: $AUC \sim Treatment$.

Supplementary Table 5: Drug concentrations used to test for evolved resistance in the GEN and CIP experiments (all concentrations given in ng/ml).

	IC20	IC80	MIC	2*MIC	4*MIC	8*MIC	16*MIC
GEN	380	500	600	1200	2400	4800	9600
CIP	15	40	60	120	240	480	960

Supplementary Table 6: Linear mixed effect model results for evolved resistance for the GEN and CIP experiments.

Predictor	Df	GEN experiment			CIP experiment		
		Sum Sq	F	P	Sum Sq	F	P
IC	3	0.22	0.71	0.4084	6.32	32.70	<0.0001
BN	2	0.24	0.76	0.3903	0.64	3.33	0.0823
IC*BN	6	3.53	11.43	0.0022	3.84	19.87	0.0002

The model tests the influence of drug concentration (IC), bottleneck size (BN) and their interaction on evolved resistance (AUC) to the treatment drug. Formula: $AUC \sim IC * BN$.

Supplementary Table 7: Statistical results of Tukey's HSD for evolved resistance for the GEN and CIP experiments.

Comparison	GEN experiment		CIP experiment	
	diff	P	diff	P
IC20 M5-IC20 k50	-0.83	0.0292	- 0.78	0.0094
IC80 k50-IC20 k50	-0.49	0.3110	- 0.69	0.4646
IC80 M5-IC20 k50	0.03	0.9994	0.83	0.0059
IC80 k50-IC20 M5	0.34	0.6257	0.09	0.9975
IC80 M5-IC20 M5	0.86	0.0280	1.61	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC80 k50	0.53	0.2841	1.52	0.0184

The test compares the difference in mean resistance (AUC) between the individual treatment groups. Formula: $AUC \sim Treatment$.

Supplementary Table 8: Statistical results of a Tukey's HSD test for minimal inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of evolved populations from the GEN and CIP experiments.

Comparison	GEN experiment		CIP experiment	
	diff	P	diff	P
IC20 M5-IC20 k50	-6832.5	0.0199	-682.5	0.0086
IC80 k50-IC20 k50	-4350	0.3089	-540	0.7728
IC80 M5-IC20 k50	-2603.57	0.8545	900	0.0003
IC80 k50-IC20 M5	2475	0.8635	143	0.9997
IC80 M5-IC20 M5	4221.43	0.3834	1582.5	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC80 k50	1764	0.9760	1440	0.0091

The test compares the difference in MIC between the individual treatment groups. Formula: MIC ~ Treatment.

Supplementary Table 9: Number of mutations in most frequently affected genes per treatment group at the final transfer of the GEN experiment.

Gene	IC20-k50	IC20-M5	IC80-k50	IC80-M5	Total
<i>ptsP</i>	1	13	2	4	20
<i>pmrB</i>	5	0	1	7	13
<i>parS</i>	3	0	0	1	4
<i>parR</i>	1	0	3	1	5
<i>phoQ</i>	1	0	2	0	3
<i>rbsR</i>	0	2	0	0	2
<i>HPr</i>	0	2	0	1	3

Supplementary Table 10: Number of mutations in most frequently affected genes per treatment group at the final transfer of CIP experiment.

Gene	IC20-k50	IC20-M5	IC80-k50	IC80-M5	Total
<i>mexS</i>	4	0	0	10	14
<i>mexZ</i>	7	0	0	0	7
<i>nfxB</i>	1	0	0	6	7
<i>copZ</i>	4	0	0	0	4

Supplementary Table 11: Shannon's diversity indices H and Hmax for each treatment group for the GEN and CIP experiments.

Treatment	GEN experiment		CIP experiment	
	Shannon's H	Hmax	Shannon's H	Hmax
IC20-k50	3.27	3.46	2.44	2.81
IC20-M5	2.04	2.58	0.97	1
IC80-k50	2.85	3	1	1
IC80-M5	2.05	2.32	1.58	1.58

Supplementary Table 12: General linear model results for evolved resistance in populations carrying either *pmrB* or *ptsP* mutations.

Predictor	Df	Sum Sq	F	P
Treatment	3	3.56	12.25	0.0002
Gene	1	3.12	32.24	<0.0001

The model tests the influence of treatment regimen and gene variant on evolved resistance (AUC). Formula: AUC ~ Treatment + Gene.

Supplementary Table 13: Clones used in the three competition experiments.

Competition Experiment	Treatment during evolution experiment	<i>pmrB</i> mutant from population	<i>ptsP</i> mutant from population	control
1	IC80-k50	D12	A12	PA14
2	IC20	A6 (k50)	B11 (M5)	PA14
3	IC80-M5	A5	E7	PA14

Supplementary Table 14: Tukey HSD results for multiple pairwise-comparison of frequency of *ptsP* mutants in competition against *pmrB* mutants and treatment group.

Comparison	diff	p adj.
IC20 M5-IC20 k50	- 0.19	0.0138
IC80k50-IC20 k50	- 0.32	<0.0001
IC80 M5-IC20 k50	- 0.06	0.8671
IC80 k50-IC20 M5	- 0.17	0.0352
IC80 M5-IC20 M5	- 0.14	0.1457
IC80 M5-IC80 k50	- 0.10	0.3983

The test compares the difference in mean frequency of *ptsP* clones in competition between the individual treatments. Formula: Frequency ~ Treatment

Supplementary Table 15: Sequence-specific primers for amplicon sequencing (first PCR step).

Name	Populations	Sequence
pmrB-5636922-forward	A5, D12, A6	ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTCCGATCTGACCTTGCCACCGAA GACC
pmrB-5637204-forward	A5, G8, A6	ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTCCGATCTACCATGAACCTGCTG CTGTT
ptsP-394249-forward	E7	ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTCCGATCTTTCATGATCAACGAC CGCTTC
ptsP-393899-forward	E7	ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTCCGATCTCTCGGCTCGGGCAAC TC
ptsP-393042-forward	A12	ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTCCGATCTAACAAAGCGTTCCATC GGCAA
ptsP-394724-forward	B11	ACACTCTTCCCTACACGACGCTCTCCGATCTAGGTCGACTTCCTTT CGGTC
pmrB-5636922-reverse	A5, D12, A6	GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTCACAGGGCGTAG CCGAG
pmrB-5637204-reverse	A5, G8, A6	GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTGACCTCGGCCTGC ACTTC
ptsP-394249-reverse	E7	GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTCGGGTTGTCTTCC TTGATCGG
ptsP-393899-reverse	E7	GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTGGTAGCCATCGAC GATCAGG
ptsP-393042-reverse	A12	GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTCCATCACCCGCCT ATGGTG
ptsP-394724-reverse	B11	GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACGTGTGCTCTCCGATCTCATCGTCGACCAC CTTCTTCA

Names consist of the target gene, the position of the SNP in the genome and F or R for forward or reverse primer. The population(s) for which the primer amplifies the SNP region are listed. Overhangs of the primers are labelled in black. Sequence-specific parts of primers are in labelled in red.

Supplementary Table 16: Sequencing primers for amplicon sequencing (second PCR step).

2nd PCR	Name	Sequence
forward	F-1	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA AACCGCAT ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-2	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA AAGGCCTT ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-3	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA AGAGTGTG ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-4	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA CACAAGTC ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-5	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA CGTTCCTA ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-6	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA GCTTGGAT ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-7	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA GTCAACAC ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-8	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACA GTCCTGA ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-9	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACT TCTCGTCA ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
	F-10	AATGATACGGCGACCACCGAGATCTACACT TTGGTACG ACACTCTTTCCCTACACG
reverse	R-A	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATAACCGGA AGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-B	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATAGAGTGAC GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-C	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATCAACTGGT GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-D	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATCGTTCGTT GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-E	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATCTGTTCA CGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-F	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATGCTTGCA AGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-G	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATGTCAACTG GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-H	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATTCCTCAT GGTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-I	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATTCGACTAG GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG
	R-J	CAAGCAGAAGACGGCATA CGAGATTTGCAAGC GTGACTGGAGTTCAGACG

Barcodes are labelled in blue. Illumina adapters are labelled in black.