Drug	Number (percentage)
Beta-blockers	1076 (31.3)
Calcium channel blockers	1688 (49.1)
Alfa-blockers	422 (12.3)
ACE inhibitors	893 (26.0)
ARBs	1008 (29.3)
Diuretics	1137 (33.1)
Central sympatholytics	118 (3.4)
Others	23 (0.7)
Not on any antihypertensive drug	226 (6.6)

Supplementary Table 1: Use of antihypertensives in subject with hypertension

ACE; angiotensin converting enzyme, ARBs; angiotensin receptor blocker

## STROBE Statement—Checklist of items that should be included in reports of *cohort studies*

	Item No	Recommendation	Page No
Title and abstract	1	(a) Indicate the study's design with a commonly used term in the title or the	1
		abstract	
		(b) Provide in the abstract an informative and balanced summary of what was	2
		done and what was found	
Introduction			
Background/rationale	2	Explain the scientific background and rationale for the investigation being reported	3
Objectives	3	State specific objectives, including any prespecified hypotheses	3
Methods			•
Study design	4	Present key elements of study design early in the paper	4
Setting	5	Describe the setting, locations, and relevant dates, including periods of	4
	-	recruitment, exposure, follow-up, and data collection	
Participants	6	(a) Give the eligibility criteria, and the sources and methods of selection of	4
	-	participants. Describe methods of follow-up	
		(b) For matched studies, give matching criteria and number of exposed and	
		unexposed	
Variables	7	Clearly define all outcomes, exposures, predictors, potential confounders, and	NA
		effect modifiers. Give diagnostic criteria, if applicable	
Data sources/	8*	For each variable of interest, give sources of data and details of methods of	4.5
measurement	-	assessment (measurement). Describe comparability of assessment methods if	
		there is more than one group	
Bias	9	Describe any efforts to address potential sources of bias	NA
Study size	10	Explain how the study size was arrived at	NA
Quantitative variables	11	Explain how quantitative variables were handled in the analyses. If applicable,	NA
Quantitative Contractor		describe which groupings were chosen and why	
Statistical methods	12	( <i>a</i> ) Describe all statistical methods, including those used to control for	5
		confounding	
		(b) Describe any methods used to examine subgroups and interactions	
		(c) Explain how missing data were addressed	
		(d) If applicable, explain how loss to follow-up was addressed	
		( <i>e</i> ) Describe any sensitivity analyses	
Results			
Participants	13*	(a) Report numbers of individuals at each stage of study—eg numbers potentially	5
1 articipants	15	eligible, examined for eligibility, confirmed eligible, included in the study,	
		completing follow-up, and analysed	
		(b) Give reasons for non-participation at each stage	
		(c) Consider use of a flow diagram	
Descriptive data	14*	(a) Give characteristics of study participants (eg demographic, clinical, social)	5
2 somptive autu	1 f	and information on exposures and potential confounders	
			5
Outcome data	15*		NA
Outcome data	15*	<ul><li>(b) Indicate number of participants with missing data for each variable of interest</li><li>(c) Summarise follow-up time (eg, average and total amount)</li><li>Report numbers of outcome events or summary measures over time</li></ul>	

Main results 16		( <i>a</i> ) Give unadjusted estimates and, if applicable, confounder-adjusted estimates and their precision (eg, 95% confidence interval). Make clear which confounders were adjusted for and why they were included		
		(b) Report category boundaries when continuous variables were categorized		
		(c) If relevant, consider translating estimates of relative risk into absolute risk for a		
		meaningful time period		
Other analyses	17	Report other analyses done-eg analyses of subgroups and interactions, and sensitivity	NA	
		analyses		
Discussion				
Key results	18	Summarise key results with reference to study objectives	7	
Limitations 1	19	Discuss limitations of the study, taking into account sources of potential bias or imprecision.	10	
		Discuss both direction and magnitude of any potential bias		
Interpretation 2	20	Give a cautious overall interpretation of results considering objectives, limitations,	10	
		multiplicity of analyses, results from similar studies, and other relevant evidence		
Generalisability	21	Discuss the generalisability (external validity) of the study results	10	
Other informati	on			
Funding	22	Give the source of funding and the role of the funders for the present study and, if	10	
		applicable, for the original study on which the present article is based		

\*Give information separately for exposed and unexposed groups.

**Note:** An Explanation and Elaboration article discusses each checklist item and gives methodological background and published examples of transparent reporting. The STROBE checklist is best used in conjunction with this article (freely available on the Web sites of PLoS Medicine at http://www.plosmedicine.org/, Annals of Internal Medicine at http://www.annals.org/, and Epidemiology at http://www.epidem.com/). Information on the STROBE Initiative is available at http://www.strobe-statement.org.