Rationing With Respect to Age During a Pandemic

A Comparative Analysis of State Pandemic Preparedness Plans

Emily Scire, MD, MBE; Kyeong Yun Jeong, MD; MaryKatherine Gaurke, BA; Bernard Prusak, PhD; and Daniel P. Sulmasy, MD, PhD

CHEST 2022; 161(2):504-513

Online supplements are not copyedited prior to posting and the author(s) take full responsibility for the accuracy of all data.

© 2022 AMERICAN COLLEGE OF CHEST PHYSICIANS. Reproduction of this article is prohibited without written permission from the American College of Chest Physicians. See online for more details. **DOI:** 10.1016/j.chest.2021.08.070

e-Table 1: Summary of State PPPs, along with Categorization

State (Year of Official PPP Publication/Last Update)	Triage Scheme/ Principles Cited	Category	Notes
Alabama (2020) https://www.adph.org /CEPSecure/assets/al abamacscguidelines20 20.pdf	A general mass casualty triage guideline focused on saving the most lives; rejects age as a consideration	A-Short-term Survival	
Alaska (2020) http://dhss.alaska.go v/dph/Epi/id/SiteAsse ts/Pages/HumanCoV/ SOA DHSS CrisisStan dardsOfCare.pdf	Considers SOFA, duration of benefit/longer-term prognosis (beyond 1-2 years), duration of need, response to mechanical ventilation	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	Identical to MN CSC
Arizona (2020) https://azdhs.gov/doc uments/preparedness /epidemiology- disease- control/infectious- disease- epidemiology/novel- coronavirus/sdmac/co vid-19-addendum.pdf	Uses SOFA as in previous versions, and also adds consideration of 1-5 year survival in calculating a priority score. In case of ties, providers may consider prioritizing pediatric patients, healthcare workers, single caretakers, pregnant patients, and those who have lived through fewer life stages	C-Total Life- years	Cites Pittsburgh Model Of note, AZ is the only state to enact its plan to date https://www.azmirro r.com/2020/07/03/as -covid-19-worsens- az-is-the-first-state- to-enact-crisis-care- standards/
Arkansas (None)			2014 Pandemic Influenza Plan with no triage guidelines <u>https://www.arcourt</u> <u>s.gov/sites/default/fil</u> <u>es/articles/ADH-</u> <u>Pandemic-Flu-</u> <u>Response-Plan.pdf</u> UAMS also apparently has a

			plan which has not been endorsed by the AR DOH https://www.arkansa sonline.com/news/20 20/may/18/creation- of-care-policies-gets- look-202/
California (2020) https://www.cdph.ca. gov/Programs/CID/DC DC/CDPH%20Docume nt%20Library/COVID- 19/California%20SAR S-CoV- 2%20Crisis%20Care %20Guidelines%20- June%208%202020.p df	Uses SOFA; for ties can consider comorbidities that affect near-term survival (< 6 mo.)	A-Short-term Survival	
Colorado (2020) https://drive.google.c om/file/d/1IIIEDTwEP6 HQxuzy5Az- 9maYJxO7R6bp/view	Tier 1: short-term survival (SOFA/mCCI) Tier 2: children (life- cycles) and health care workers Tier 3: Pregnant/a caregiver, or maximizing life-years saved (near to intermediate term 1-5 years out) Tier 4: Random allocation for ties	C-Total Life- years (secondary consideration)	Cites Pittsburgh Model and 2018 version of CO plan
Connecticut (2010) https://portal.ct.gov/- /media/Departments- and- Agencies/DPH/dph/leg al/StandardsofCarefin alpdf.pdf	Cites plans like 2010 MN, CA, etc. and suggests using a standardized scoring system like SOFA	0-Other	
Delaware (2020) https://www.centerfor publicrep.org/wp- content/uploads/2020 /05/DE-CSC-ConOps- FInal-4-29-20.pdf	Focus is saving the most lives; uses SOFA and does not consider prognosis beyond acute illness; ignores age (if not relevant for	A-Short-term Survival	

	current survival) and stable chronic conditions	
Florida (None)		2020 FL Bioethics Network Plan: Considers short-term (save lives) and longer-term (save life-years) by factoring in SOFA and comorbidities; Age bracket/life- cycle considerations and health care worker status can be used as tie-breakers http://www.fha.org/ news/show- details/Florida- Hospital-Association- Supports-Ethics- Guidelines-for-Crisis- Standards-of-Care- in-Public-Health- Emergencies-from- Florida-Bioethics- Network/56
Georgia (None)		2009 Pandemic Influenza Plan with no triage guidelines <u>https://dch.georgia.</u> gov/documents/pand emic-influenza- <u>business-continuity-</u> plan
Hawaii (None)		2020 draft guidelines in approval process exclude people with severe illness likely to die in short-term, then considers SOFA score and longer- term survival (10 years out); penalizes those >65 with COVID-19, and uses

			age/ life-cycles tie- breaker https://georgetown. box.com/s/mgswltos u1fnk2zxvor3q10aae 1ihuqt
Idaho (None)			Apparently there were 2020 guidelines in development, but we did not hear back from them; 2017 EOP is non-specific https://ioem.idaho.g ov/wp- content/uploads/site s/57/2018/12/2017- IDEOP.pdf
Illinois (2020) https://www.dph.illino is.gov/sites/default/fil es/Guidelines%20on %20Emergency%20Pr eparedness.pdf	Provides generally guiding ethical principles for hospitals, and suggests potential criteria for de- prioritization of patients who are unlikely to benefit from a scarce resource or treatment, such as (1) risk of mortality or morbidity for a particular patient; (2) likelihood of good or acceptable response to a treatment or resource for a particular patient; and (3) community risk of transmitting infection and ability to reduce that risk by using a particular resource.	O-Other	2018 EOP also addresses triage generally https://dph.illinois.q ov/sites/default/files /publications/catastr ophic-incident- response-annex- 052218.pdf
Indiana (2014) <u>https://emeraldcoasth</u> <u>cc.org/sites/emeraldc</u> <u>oasthcc.site/files/india</u> <u>na-crisis-standards-</u>	Exclusion criteria (NYHA Class III Heart Failure (HF), dialysis- dependent, etc.); SOFA score; then first	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	

of-care-2014.pdf	come, first serve as tie-breaker		
Iowa (2007) http://publications.io wa.gov/17889/1/panfl u_ehtical_guidelines manual.pdf	Considers SOFA score and notes one may need to choose young and healthy over older and sicker who have <i>less chance of</i> <i>surviving</i> ; prioritizes essential workers	A-Short-term Survival	2020 Draft is awaiting final review/approval per in-state contact
Kansas (2013) https://www.kdheks.g ov/cphp/download/Cri sis Protocols.pdf	Exclusion criteria (NYHA Class III HF, etc.); then uses SOFA; random allocation or first-come-first-served for ties	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	2020 Pandemic Influenza Plan reaffirmed 2013 CSC https://www.kdheks. gov/cphp/download/ KS_PF_Plan.pdf
Kentucky (2020) https://www.kyha.co m/assets/docs/COVID 19/Update/CrisisStan dardsofCareFinal.pdf	Considers SOFA, comorbidities that reduce 1 year survival, and expected resource utilization; offers example exclusion criteria including age > 85	D-Fair Innings	CSC suggest decision on exclusion criteria could be made locally
Louisiana (2019) https://bloximages.ne wyork1.vip.townnews. com/nola.com/content /tncms/assets/v3/edit orial/3/03/30339376- 84a4-11ea-a8d4- <u>0f02d2e4e840/5ea05</u> 28be9f00.pdf.pdf	Exclusion criteria include persistent (>72 hour) coma and GCS < 6; then triage based on SOFA	A-Short-term Survival	Offers fair innings and life-cycles as ethical considerations in background section but does not truly apply
Maine (None)			2015 EOP only provides general guidance; we did not hear back about other plans <u>https://www.maine.q</u> <u>ov/dhhs/mecdc/publi</u> <u>c-health-</u> <u>systems/phep/docu</u> <u>ments/mainecdcallha</u> <u>zeop.pdf</u>

Maryland (None)			2020 draft unapproved: Mentions saving lives and life-years; uses exclusion criteria, SOFA and "long-term survival" (< <u>1</u> year prognosis); life-cycle considerations, followed by random chance https://journal.chest net.org/article/S001 <u>2-3692(18)32565-</u> <u>0/fulltext</u> Attorney General has approved the concept of a CSC in the state https://www.maryla ndattorneygeneral.g ov/Opinions%20Doc uments/2015/100oa g160.pdf
Massachusetts (2020) https://georgetown.b ox.com/s/tl6p5t8gb3v uazc8mdnbvoiyyovfg4 q4	Goal of saving most lives (SOFA) and life- years (considers comorbidities with impact on "near-term" survival, 1-5 years from admission); life- cycle considerations and pregnant/essential worker status for tie- breakers, followed by lottery	C-Total Life- years	Based on Pittsburgh Model
Michigan (2012) http://www.mimedical ethics.org/Documenta tion/Michigan%20DCH %20Ethical%20Scarce %20Resources%20Gu idelines%20v2%20rev %20Nov%202012.0.p df	Creates tiers of priority based on medical prognosis ("survivability and favorable outcomes") and essential social function (health workers); secondarily, suggests age/QALY/DALYs	C-Total Life- years (secondary consideration)	

Section CHEST[®] Online Supplement

	(based on fair innings principle), lottery, or first-come-first-served would be acceptable		
Minnesota (2020) https://www.health.st ate.mn.us/communiti es/ep/surge/crisis/sta ndards.pdf	SOFA (short-term <6mo. prognosis), duration of benefit/longer-term prognosis (1-2 years out), duration of need, response to mechanical ventilation	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	
Mississippi (2017) https://msdh.ms.gov/ msdhsite/ static/reso urces/7221.pdf	Provides a general ethical framework	0-Other	
Missouri (2020) https://www.mhanet. com/mhaimages/COV ID- 19/A%20Framework %20for%20Managing %20the%202020%20 COVID.pdf	Recommends multiple established frameworks, leaving decision up to hospitals, but highlights Pittsburgh Model: SOFA, life- years considerations (comorbidities that affect "near term" 5 year survival), life- cycles tie-breaker using age brackets, then lottery	C-Total Life- years	Pittsburgh Model is used as the primary example framework endorsed
Montana (2020) https://georgetown.b ox.com/s/fqv7uy9qtr7 1or5d58j5s3b6ne0urj ni	Mentions "life-years saved" as one priority to balance. Excludes those unlikely to survive acute admission; then considers SOFA (short- term) and longer-term survival based on comorbidities (looking at least 10 years out, based on examples of major comorbidities given); tie-breakers include: children over	C-Total Life- years	Influenced by WA, MD, MN, VAH, and Pittsburgh guidelines

	adults (vulnerable population), raw SOFA score, life-cycle considerations (if age gap > 30 years), prioritizing those already receiving care, and finally random allocation		
Nebraska (None)			Only 2020 EOP found, no triage guidelines; we did not hear back about other plans https://nema.nebras ka.gov/sites/nema.n ebraska.gov/files/do c/standard-leop.pdf
Nevada (2020) https://nvhealthrespo nse.nv.gov/wp- content/uploads/2020 /04/NV_DHHS_DPBH CSCRecommendations <u>COVID-</u> 19_040220_ADA.pdf	Provides Code of Ethics, including prioritizing those most likely to medically benefit (and possibly healthcare workers); remains general	O-Other	
New Hampshire (None)			June 2020 Draft is posted online: Goal of saving the most lives, based on SOFA and comorbidities affecting near-term survival (<1 year out); as tie- breakers, children and pregnant women get priority, then random allocation can be used <u>https://www.dhhs.nh</u> .gov/documents/nh- csc-plan.pdf
New Jersey (2020) https://nj.gov/health/ legal/covid19/FinalAllo	Focus on saving lives and life-years based on SOFA (short-term)	C-Total Life- years	Based on Pittsburgh Model

cationPolicy4.11.20v2 %20.pdf	and longer-term (5 year) prognosis; life- cycles tie-breaker		
New Mexico (2018) https://www.nmhealt h.org/publication/view /plan/4877	Strictly SOFA-based triage system	A-Short-term Survival	2018 CSC was reaffirmed in 2020 Emergency Operations Plan https://www.nmheal th.org/publication/vi ew/plan/5906/
New York (2015) https://www.health.n y.gov/regulations/task force/reports_publica tions/docs/ventilator guidelines.pdf	Rejects life-years approach; limited exclusion criteria to reflect "immediate or near-immediate mortality" despite therapy; triage based on SOFA; endorses reallocation based on clinical status; uses "fair innings" to prioritize younger age/children in case of ties	C-Total Life- years (secondary consideration)	These are "Ventilator Allocation Guidelines." In 2020 New York City also created their own set of guidelines, which allow age to be used as a tie-breaker criterion https://www1.nyc.go v/assets/doh/downlo ads/pdf/imm/covid- 19-patient-surge- crisis-care.pdf
North Carolina (2020) https://www.ncha.org /wp- content/uploads/2020 /04/North-Carolina- Protocol-for- Allocating-Scarce- Inpatient-Critical- Care-Resources-in-a- Pandemic FINAL-4-6- 2020541-1.pdf	Considers SOFA, longer-term comorbidities (at least 10 years out) to save lives and life-years; uses life-cycles considerations as tie- breaker	C-Total Life- years	Based on Pittsburgh Model
North Dakota (None)			2020 Pandemic Influenza Plan with no triage guidelines <u>https://www.health.</u> nd.gov/media/2439/ pandemic-influenza- plan-3-31- 2020 redacted.pdf

Ohio (2020) https://dodd.ohio.gov /wps/portal/gov/dodd /about- us/communication/ne ws/news-guidance- covid-19-standards- of-care	Non-specific guide which focuses on using clinical assessment of survivability as primary triage consideration, but leaves plans up to each hospital	O-Other	
Oklahoma (2020) https://www.ok.gov/h ealth2/documents/Ho spital%20Crisis%20St andards%20of%20Ca re.pdf	Considers SOFA and comorbidities with "substantial impact on long-term survival" (affecting 10 year prognosis); "life-cycle or instrumental value/reciprocity considerations" used for ties, followed by lottery	C-Total Life- years	Based on Pittsburgh Model
Oregon (2018) https://georgetown.b ox.com/s/whqonvb8ti n88op6ksvx0c5phtuv3 k2w	Excludes those with life expectancy <12 mo (1-2 years in case of mass casualty incident); uses SOFA; longer-term prognosis and resource utilization are secondary/tie-breaker considerations	C-Total Life- years (secondary consideration)	Oregon Health Authority High- Impact Pathogen Plan of Operations 2020 reaffirms CSC developed in 2018 https://www.oregon. gov/oha/PH/DISEAS ESCONDITIONS/CO MMUNICABLEDISEAS E/DISEASESURVEILL ANCEDATA/INFLUEN ZA/Documents/Oreg on-High-Impact- Pathogen-Plan-of- Operations.pdf
Pennsylvania (2020) https://www.health.p a.gov/topics/Documen ts/Diseases%20and% 20Conditions/COVID- 19%20Interim%20Cri sis%20Standards%20 of%20Care.pdf	Considers saving lives and life-years using SOFA and comorbidities that affect survivability beyond acute illness up to 5 years; life- cycle tie-breaker	C-Total Life- years	First state to adopt Pittsburgh Model
Rhode Island (2020) https://health.ri.gov/	Triage based on SOFA; exclusion criteria	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	

publications/guideline s/crisis-standards-of- care.pdf	includes organ failure (e.g. NYHA Class III HF) and terminal illnesses		
South Carolina (None)			2020 EOP does not discuss triage https://www.scemd. org/media/1214/19- annex-08-health- and-medical- services.pdf SC Medical Association has worked on 2020 guidelines, but they are not state- endorsed https://www.scmedic al.org/covid-19- resources/ see also, https://www.greenvil leonline.com/story/n ews/health/2020/04/ 20/coronavirus- south-carolina-task- force-ethical-issues- overwhelmed- hospitals/515160000 2/
South Dakota (None)			2006 Pandemic Influenza Plan encourages hospitals to create their own triage guidelines <u>https://doh.sd.gov/d</u> <u>ocuments/diseases/fl</u> <u>u/PanFluPlan06.pdf</u>
Tennessee (2020) https://www.tn.gov/c ontent/dam/tn/health /documents/cedep/ep /Guidance_for_the_Et hical_Allocation_of_Sc arce_Resources.pdf	Goal of saving the most lives; uses SOFA, excluding patients who, based on SOFA and underlying comorbidities, have a high likelihood of mortality during	A-Short-term Survival	Revised 2016 TN Guidelines in consultation with the Office for Civil Rights

	admission		
Texas (None)			2020 EOP does not discuss triage https://tdem.texas.g ov/wp- content/uploads/201 9/08/2020-State-of- Texas-Basic- Plan WEBSITE 05 0 7_gs.pdf North Texas Mass Critical Care Triage Guidelines which use mSOFA have had ongoing updates https://www.dallas- cms.org/tmaimis/Def ault.aspx?WebsiteKe y=5857f487-9f64- 4281-b4b7- 67e6a2224be2&hkey =9f1e00e9-17f8- 47f1-a874- 2c315f147674&=404 ;https:%2F%2Fwww .dallas- cms.org:443%2FDC MS%2FPublic_Health %2FMass_Critical_C are
Utah (2020) https://georgetown.b ox.com/s/sm33r1aph macjlhv1dd87y9mgx mo1xjx	Narrow exclusion criteria (SOFA>11, severe neurologic impairment, etc.), then shared decision- making whenever possible; consider withdrawal of care based on SOFA except give priority to certain groups: pregnant, healthcare worker, younger age (based on typically better prognosis)	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	

Vermont (2020) https://www.healthve rmont.gov/sites/defau lt/files/documents/pdf /Vermont%20CSC%2 0Plan%2005.18.2020. pdf	Excludes: serious illness/organ failure with "poor prognosis," then triages based on SOFA. Can consider chronic conditions/resource limitations/other health scores for tie- breakers	B-1-5 Expected Life-years	Influenced by NY and MN guidelines
Virginia (2009) https://www.vdh.virgi nia.gov/content/uploa ds/sites/10/2019/01/ VA-Critical-Resource- Shortages-Planning- Guide.pdf	General planning guide	O-Other	
Washington (2020) https://nwhrn.org/wp - content/uploads/2020 /03/Scarce_Resource Management_and_Cri sis_Standards_of_Car e_Overview_and_Mat erials-2020-3-16.pdf	Excludes patients with severe underlying disease predicting poor short-term survival, or those with lower "baseline functional status." For those who qualify, triage is based on expected duration of critical care, prognosis based on acute illness and underlying conditions that limit short-term survival, response to treatment, SOFA, baseline functional status	C-Total Life- years	
West Virginia (None)			WV Hospital Association is working on their own guidelines but not seeking state approval; EOP from 2016 does not contain specific triage guidance <u>https://emd.wv.gov/</u> <u>Preparedness/Resour</u>

			ces/Documents/WV %20EOP%202016/E SF%2008%20- %20Public%20Healt h%20FINAL%201-6- 16.pdf
Wisconsin (None)			In 2020 a draft was being crafted, but progress was halted https://www.wpr.org /sites/default/files/fo stletter.pdf The state hospital association apparently has developed a plan this year https://talkingpoints memo.com/news/ho w-your-covid- flooded-hospital-will- triage
Wyoming (2020) https://health.wyo.go v/wp- content/uploads/2020 /05/SHOInstructionsC OVID19_May122020. pdf	General guidance	0-Other	Leaves things up to hospitals; states that providers should act reasonably, in good faith
Washington, D.C. (None)			D.C. DOH cites Institute of Medicine CSC guide http://dclaw.dohclou dservices.com/table- of-contents/scope- practice-volunteer- health-practitioners- and-crisis-standards- care