Cell Reports, Volume 36

Supplemental information

A molecular sensor determines

the ubiquitin substrate specificity

of SARS-CoV-2 papain-like protease

Stephanie Patchett, Zongyang Lv, Wioletta Rut, Miklos Békés, Marcin Drag, Shaun K. Olsen, and Tony T. Huang





Figure S1. PLpro alignments and inhibitor schematics, Related to Figure 1. A) Schematic of VIR250 and VIR251 inhibitors. **B)** Crystal structures of SCoV-1 and SCoV-2 PLpro with VIR250 and VIR251 aligned. VIR250 and VIR251 shown as spheres, arrow highlights slight rotation of the fingers domain. **C)** Sequence alignment of PLpro domain from SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2, aligned using Local alignment (Smith-Waterman). SCoV-1 PLpro amino acid numbering is shown above the alignment (black) and SCoV-2 numbering is shown below the alignment (magenta). **D)** 2FoFc electron density maps of VIR250 (left) and VIR251 (right) contoured at 1.0σ and shown as mesh.



Figure S2. Comparison of SCoV-1 and SCoV-2 PLpro activity, Related to Figures 2, 3 and STAR Methods. A) Cleavage of K48-linked tetra-Ub chains (3UbK48) by SARS-CoV-1 vs. SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. B) Ub-Vinyl Sulfone (Ub-VS) labeling of SARS-CoV-1 vs. SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. C) Relative apparent kcat/KM values for hydrolysis of Ub-AMC by SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. D) ISG15-VS labeling of SARS-CoV-1 vs. SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. Experiments in **A**, **B**, **D** were repeated at least three times with similar results. E) Relative apparent kcat/KM values for hydrolysis of ISG15-AMC by SARS-CoV-1 and SARS-CoV-2 PLpro. Error bars in **C**, **E** represent SD (n = 3 independent experiments). **F)** Last step of SCoV-1 PLpro purification on size exclusion chromatography, analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining. The pure fractions were pooled and concentrated. **G)** Purified SCoV-1 and SCoV-2 PLpro and mutants (2 μM) analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Coomassie staining.

	SARS-CoV-1 PLpro/VIR250 complex	SARS-CoV-1 PLpro/VIR251 complex
PDB ID	7LFU	7LFV
Source	APS 24 IDE	APS 24 IDE
Wavelength (Å)	1.00	1.00
Resolution Limits (Å)	70.7-2.29 (2.37-2.29)	132.7-2.23 (2.30-2.23)
Space Group	1222	P6122
Unit Cell (Å) a, b, c	70.8, 90.4, 113.6	103.6, 103.6, 265.4
Unit Cell (°) α, β, γ	90, 90, 90	90, 90, 120
Number of observations	108176	1632487
Number of reflections	16767 (1626)	42208 (3796)
Completeness (%)	100 (100)	99.9 (99.3)
Mean I/σI	16.0 (0.7)	30.9 (2.0)
CC1/2	0.9986 (0.408)	1.00 (0.756)
R _{merge} ^a	0.074 (2.15)	0.099 (2.04)
R _{pim}	0.032 (0.962)	0.016 (0.341)
Refinement Statistics		
Resolution Limits (Å)	70.7-2.29 (2.36-2.29)	53.3-2.23 (2.28-2.23)
# of reflections (work/free)	16663 (1668)	42096 (2000)
Completeness (%)	99.3 (93.0)	99.9 (99.0)
Protein/solvent/ligand atoms	2414/0/36	5009/156/35
R _{cryst} ^b	0.224 (0.360)	0.197 (0.297)
R _{free}	0.265 (0.380)	0.235 (0.352)
Bonds (Å)/ Angles (°)	0.003/0.499	0.009/1.078
B-factors: protein/solvent/ligand (Ų)	91.5//84.2	64.8/62.9/79.4
Ramachandran plot statistics (%)		
favored	96.3	96.8
allowed	3.7	3.2
outliers	0	0
MolProbity score	1.81- 96 th percentile (N=8848, 2.29 Å \pm 0.25Å)	1.64- 97 th percentile (N=10112, 2.23 Å ± 0.25Å)

 Table S1. Crystallographic Data and Refinement Statistics, Related to Figure 1.

Parentheses indicate statistics for the high-resolution data bin for x-ray data.

a. $R_{merge} = \sum hkl \sum i |l(hkl)i - \langle l(hkl) \rangle |/\sum hkl \sum i \langle l(hkl)i \rangle$. b. $R_{cryst} = \sum hkl |Fo(hkl)-Fc(hkl)|/\sum hkl |Fo(hkl)|$, where Fo and Fc are observed and calculated structure factors, respectively.