Supplementary Material

Article title: A radiomics-based nomogram for preoperative T staging prediction of rectal cancer

Journal name: Abdominal Radiology

Authors: Xue Lin^{1,2}, Sheng Zhao¹, Huijie Jiang^{1*}, Fucang Jia^{2*}, Guisheng Wang^{3*}, Baochun He², Hao Jiang¹, Xiao Ma², Jinping Li¹, Zhongxing Shi⁴

- Department of Radiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China
- 2. Research Lab for Medical Imaging and Digital Surgery, Shenzhen Institutes of Advanced Technology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Shenzhen, China
- Department of Radiology, the Third medical centre, Chinese PLA General Hospital, Beijing, China.
- Department of Interventional Radiology, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Harbin Medical University, Harbin, China

Corresponding authors: Huijie Jiang, E-mail: <u>jhjemail@163.com</u>; Fucang Jia, E-mail: <u>fc.jia@siat.ac.cn</u>; Guisheng Wang, E-mail: <u>wanggs1996@tom.com</u>

1. The formulation of ICC

The formulation of ICC we used was

$$ICC = \frac{\sigma_s^2}{\sigma_s^2 + \sigma_\epsilon^2}$$

where σ_s denotes variance caused by differences between the segmentations and σ_{ϵ} denotes variance caused by differences between the points in the segmentations [1].

2. Radiomics features

The parameters used for radiomics features extraction were as follows: bin-width = 5; normalize = true; resampled pixel spacing = [3, 3, 3]; sigmas used for LoG filter = 3.0 and 5.0; features were extracted in 3D. The extracted features were divided into six groups. Detailed descriptions can be viewed at https://pyradiomics.readthedocs.io/en/latest/features.html:

Group 1: Shape and size-based features

Group 2: First-order features

Group 3: Gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) features

Group 4: Gray level run length matrix (GLRLM) features

Group 5: Gray level size zone matrix (GLSZM) features

Group 6: Gray level dependence matrix (GLDM) features

Group 1: Shape and size-based features (14 features)

- 1. Elongation
- 2. Flatness
- 3. Least Axis Length
- 4. Major Axis Length
- 5. Maximum 2D Diameter Column
- 6. Maximum 2D Diameter Row
- 7. Maximum 2D Diameter Slice
- 8. Maximum 3D Diameter
- 9. Mesh Volume
- 10. Minor Axis Length

- 11. Sphericity
- 12. Surface Area
- 13. Surface Volume Ratio
- 14. Voxel Volume

The above features were obtained from the original images.

Group 2: First-order features (198 features)

- 1. 10 Percentile
- 2. 90 Percentile
- 3. Energy
- 4. Entropy
- 5. Interquartile Range
- 6. Kurtosis
- 7. Maximum
- 8. Mean Absolute Deviation
- 9. Mean
- 10. Median
- 11. Minimum
- 12. Range
- 13. Robust Mean Absolute Deviation
- 14. Root Mean Squared
- 15. Skewness
- 16. Total Energy
- 17. Uniformity
- 18. Variance

The above features were obtained from the original images (18 features), Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) filter (36 features) and wavelet filter (144 features) processed images.

Group 3: GLCM features (242 features)

- 1. Autocorrelation
- 2. Joint Average
- 3. Cluster Prominence
- 4. Cluster Shade
- 5. Cluster Tendency
- 6. Contrast
- 7. Correlation
- 8. Difference Average
- 9. Difference Entropy
- 10. Difference Variance
- 11. Joint Energy
- 12. Joint Entropy
- 13. Informal Measure of Correlation 1, IMC 1
- 14. Informal Measure of Correlation 2, IMC 2
- 15. Inverse Difference Moment, IDM
- 16. Inverse Difference Moment Normalized, IDMN
- 17. Inverse Difference, ID
- 18. Inverse Difference Normalized, IDN
- 19. Inverse Variance
- 20. Maximum Probability
- 21. Sum Entropy
- 22. Sum Squares

The above features were obtained from the original images (22 features), LoG filter

(44 features) and wavelet filter (176 features) processed images.

Group 4: GLRLM features (176 features)

- 1. Gray level non-uniformity
- 2. Gray level non-uniformity normalized

- 3. Gray level variance
- 4. High gray level run emphasis
- 5. Long run emphasis
- 6. Long run high gray level emphasis
- 7. Long run low gray level emphasis
- 8. Low gray level run emphasis
- 9. Run entropy
- 10. Run length non-uniformity
- 11. Run length non-uniformity normalized
- 12. Run percentage
- 13. Run variance
- 14. Short run emphasis
- 15. Short run high gray level emphasis
- 16. Short run low gray level emphasis

The above features were obtained from the original images (16 features), LoG filter

(32 features) and wavelet filter (128 features) processed images.

Group 5: GLSZM features (176 features)

- 1. Gray level non-uniformity
- 2. Gray level non-uniformity normalized
- 3. Gray level variance
- 4. High gray level zone emphasis
- 5. Large area emphasis
- 6. Large area high gray level emphasis
- 7. Large area low gray level emphasis
- 8. Low gray level zone emphasis
- 9. Size zone non-uniformity
- 10. Size zone non-uniformity normalized
- 11. Small area emphasis

- 12. Small area high gray level emphasis
- 13. Small area low gray level emphasis
- 14. Zone entropy
- 15. Zone percentage
- 16. Zone variance

The above features were obtained from the original images (16 features), LoG filter

(32 features) and wavelet filter (128 features) processed images.

Group 6: GLDM features (154 features)

- 1. Dependence entropy
- 2. Dependence non-uniformity
- 3. Dependence non-uniformity normalized
- 4. Dependence variance
- 5. Gray level non-uniformity
- 6. Gray level variance
- 7. High gray level emphasis
- 8. Large dependence emphasis
- 9. Large dependence high gray level emphasis
- 10. Large dependence low gray level emphasis
- 11. Low gray level emphasis
- 12. Small dependence emphasis
- 13. Small dependence high gray level emphasis
- 14. Small dependence low gray level emphasis

The above features were obtained from the original images (14 features), LoG filter

(28 features) and wavelet filter (112 features) processed images.

3. R packages used in statistical analysis

LASSO logistic regression was performed using the "glmnet" package. The AUC calculation and ROC curve plotting were conducted using the "pROC" package. Nomogram construction and calibration were based on the "rms" package. The H-L test

was conducted using the "generalhoslem" package. The DCA was carried out using the "rmda" package.

1. Taha AA, Hanbury A (2015) Metrics for evaluating 3D medical image segmentation: analysis, selection, and tool. BMC Med Imaging 15:29