| 1 | Supplementary Information |
|--------|--|
| 2 | |
| 3 | Transitions in symbiosis: evidence for environmental acquisition & social |
| 4 | transmission within a clade of heritable symbionts |
| 5 | |
| 6 7 | Georgia C Drew ^{1,3} , Giles E Budge ² , Crystal L Frost ³ , Peter Neumann ⁴ , Stefanos Siozios ³ , Orlando Yañez ⁴ & Gregory DD Hurst ³ |
| 8 | |
| 9 | ¹ Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK |
| 10 | ² School of Natural and Environmental Sciences, Newcastle University, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK |
| 11 | ³ Institute of Integrative Biology, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK |
| 12 | ⁴ Institute of Bee Health, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland |
| 13 | |
| 14 | Corresponding author: georgia.drew@zoo.ox.ac.uk |
| 15 | |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | Supplementary Methods |
| 19 | |
| 20 | Genomic analysis |
| 21 | In total, 53 core ribosomal protein coding genes were extracted from Arsenophonus from |
| 22 | honey bees and compared to 12 available Arsenophonus genomes with the free-living |
| 23 | species Proteus mirabilis and Providencia stuartii used as outgroups (see SI Table 1). |
| 24 | Relatedness of strains was estimated by Bayesian inference on the bases of the |
| 25 | concatenated alignment of the 53 ribosomal protein dataset, completed using Phylobayes- |
| 26 | MPI [1] and the CAT-GTR model. Two independent chains were run in parallel for over |
| 27 | 25,000 cycles each until convergence occurred (maxdiff < 0.1). A second phylogenetic |
| 28 | analysis was performed on the concatenated set of 155 single-copy core orthologous |
| 29 | proteins determined using OrthoFinder v2.3.11 [2]. In this case a maximum likelihood (ML) |
| 20 | |

- 31 selected using ModelFinder [4]. Robustness was assessed using the ultrafast bootstrap
- 32 approximation method as implemented in IQ-TREE and 1,000 replicates [5].

33 The metabolic potential of the Arsenophonus genome from honey bees was evaluated by 34 computing the KEGG module completion ratio (MCR) using Genomaple-2.3.2 server [6] and 35 compared to other Arsenophonus strains as well as free-living (Proteus mirabilis, 36 Providencia stuartii and Providencia rettgeri) or symbiotic (Candidatus Riesia pediculicola) 37 relatives. In addition, the predicted protein sequences were grouped into Clusters of 38 Orthologous Groups (COG) functional categories using the eggNOG 5.0 database [7]. 39 Contigs of putative phage origin were identified using the PHAge Search Tool Enhanced 40 Release (PHASTER) web server based on sequence similarities searches. Finally, the 41 synteny between the Arsenophonus genome from honey bees and the close relative 42 Arsenophonus nasoniae strain was assessed using D-Geneis [8] and minimap2 [9]. Data 43 visualisation was performed in R v3.6.3 [10] using ggplot2 package [11] while phylogenetic 44 trees were prepared using the EvolView 3 [12].

45

SI Tab. 1 Arsenophonus genomes analysed for phylogenomics & comparative genomics

| Strain | Accession ID | Bases | Status |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Arsenophonus of Apis mellifera | This study | 3315916 | draft |
| Arsenophonus of Aphis craccivora | GCF_013460135.1 | 2424437 | complete |
| Arsenophonus of Bemisia tabaci Asia II 3 | GCF_004118055.1 | 2328823 | draft |
| Arsenophonus of Bemisia tabaci Q2 | GCA_902713415.1 | 1860497 | draft |
| Arsenophonus of Aleurodicus dispersus | LR025108.1 | 663125 | complete |
| Arsenophonus of Aleurodicus floccissimus | GCF_900343025.1 | 3001875 | draft |
| Arsenophonus nasoniae (DSM15247) | AUCC01000000 | 3670548 | draft |
| Arsenophonus of Entylia carinata | NHNG00000000.1 | 3228533 | draft |
| Arsenophonus of Nilaparvata lugens | GCF_000757905.1 | 2953863 | draft |
| Arsenophonus of Lipoptena fortisetosa | CP013920.1 | 836724 | complete |
| Candidatus Arsenophonus triatominarum | LWMI0000000 | 3858720 | draft |
| Arsenophonus nasoniae (FIN) | GCA_004768525.1 | 5262212 | complete |
| Arsenophonus melophagi | http://users.prf.jcu.cz/novake01/ | 1155312 | draft |

46 Honey bee maintenance & handling (laboratory conditions)

47 Unless otherwise stated, under laboratory conditions Western honey bees were maintained on filter

48 paper in plastic deli pot cages at 32°C ± 2 °C and incubators were kept dark. Ambrosia bee fondant

49 and 50% sucrose solution were fed *ad libitum*. Where experiments required immobilisation of honey

- 50 bees (e.g. for removal of tarsus tissue) this was done at 4°C. Marking of bees was done using queen
- 51 paint.
- 52

53 DNA extraction by Promega Wizard purification

54 A Promega Wizard genomic DNA purification kit with a protocol modified for insects was used to 55 extract DNA from honey bee samples. Samples were homogenised in nuclei lysis solution (250ul) and 56 incubated for 30 min at 65°C. Protein precipitation solution (80µl) was added and samples were 57 vortexed and held on ice (5 min). Samples were centrifuged (14,725 rpm, 4 min) and supernatant 58 mixed with filtered isopropanol (150µl) via inversion. After further centrifugation (14,725 rpm, 2 min), 59 supernatants were discarded and 70% EtOH (150µl) added to pellets, before gentle vortexing and 60 centrifugation (14,800rpm, 1 min). Supernatants were discarded and pellets airdried (65°C, 30 min) 61 before resuspension in molecular grade H₂0 (60µl) at 4°C overnight.

62

63 PCR cycling & sequencing conditions

64 Polymerase chain reaction assays (PCR) were based on a total volume of 15µl, containing GoTaq 65 Hot Start Green Master Mix (7.5µl), nuclease free water (5.5µl), forward and reverse 10µM primer 66 (0.5µl) and template DNA (1µl). PCR amplifications were performed on Applied Biosystem Veriti 67 cycler under the following conditions: 95°C for 2 min, 35 cycles at 94°C for 30 s, 58°C for 30 s 68 (variable, depending on primer Tm) 72°C for 1 min with a final extension of 72°C for 10 min. Primers 69 used for detection of Arsenophonus targeted fbaA (5' -GCCGCTAAGGTTGGTTCTCC - 3' and 5' -70 CCTGAACCACCATGGAAAACAAAA – 3') and were adapted from a previous study [13]. Products 71 were visualised on 1.5% w/v agarose gel with 0.5µg/mL ethidium bromide (110 v for 50 min) Every 72 run included a positive, negative and no template control. Where samples were to be sequenced, 73 PCR products were cleaned of unincorporated primers and nucleotides using 0.2µl of SAP (shrimp 74 alkaline phosphatase), 0.05µl of Exonuclease I, 0.7µl of 10X RX Buffer and 1.05µl of molecular grade 75 H₂0 and incubated at 37°C for 45 min, followed by 80°C for 15 min. Purified products were Sanger 76 sequenced through both strands in house on an ABI Prism 3010x or outsourced to GATC Biotech.

77

78 Validation of Arsenophonus PCR assay sensitivity

- 79 Sensitivity of the detection method for Arsenophonus was assessed by serial dilution of
- 80 Arsenophonus template with uninfected honey bee template DNA. In all tested cases (N = 6)

- 81 *Arsenophonus* DNA remained detectable at 10^{-1} and 10^{-2} dilutions, in 4/6 cases *Arsenophonus* was 82 detectable at 10^{-3} and 10^{-4} dilutions of honey bee template, providing confidence in the sensitivity of 83 our detection method.
- 84

85 Localisation of Arsenophonus within the gut

To visualise Arsenophonus using fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH), whole guts were 86 87 dissected from live worker bees (chilled on ice) and placed into Carnoy's fixative (60% 88 EtOH, 30% chloroform, 10% acetic acid) for 24-48hrs, washed with 100% EtOH (x 3) and 89 incubated with hybridization buffer (20Mm Tris-HCL, 0.9M NaCl, 0.01% SDS, 30% 90 formamide, 100 pmol/ml probe) at room temperature in the dark (~15 hrs). The symbiont 91 was targeted using an Arsenophonus specific probe (TCATGACCACAACCTCCA) [14] with 92 a 5' Alexa Fluor 647 fluorochrome. Tissues were washed with pre-heated (~48°C) buffer 93 (20Mm Tris-HCL, 0.9M NaCl, 0.01% SDS, 0.05M EDTA) x 3 for 10 min (room temperature,

- 94 dark) and mounted on glass slides with DAPI containing ProLong Diamond anti-fade (Fisher95 Scientific).
- 96

97 Selection criteria for colonies used in infection maintenance & social transmission 98 experiments

99 As the Arsenophonus status of individual bees included in the infection maintenance and social 100 transmission experiment could not be pre-determined (demands destructive sampling), we selected 101 individuals from colonies with a threshold Arsenophonus prevalence of 85%. For each colony this was 102 determined by screens of 15 worker bees individually. Samples were washed in sterile H₂0 and 103 exposed to ultra violet (UV) light for 10 minutes to cross link contaminating external DNA. DNA was 104 extracted using a Promega Wizard genomic DNA purification kit with a protocol modified for insects 105 and screened for Arsenophonus using PCR assays (as described above). Workers used in negative 106 control assays were from colonies where no adult worker had returned a positive result for 107 Arsenophonus detection. For the social transmission study, a sample of NEWs (although generally 108 considered sterile [15] and rarely associated with Arsenophonus, see main text Figure 6) were 109 similarly screened to ensure Arsenophonus was not present prior to mixing with infected conspecifics. 110 For the transmission experiment, 85% and 0% were thus taken as a proxy starting prevalence (dotted 111 lines on main text Figure 8) for workers and NEWs respectively.

112

113





134 SI Fig.2 Assessment of genome assembly for Arsenophonus of Apis mellifera. BUSCO

assessment of genome assembly completeness for *Arsenophonus* from *Apis mellifera* (this study)

suggest a near complete genome. BUSCO scores for twelve other available *Arsenophonus* genomes(see SI Tab.1) are shown alongside for comparison.



140 SI Fig.3 The metabolic potential of *Arsenophonus* strains and comparison to free-living or

symbiotic relatives. (A) Carbohydrate and energy metabolism and (B) amino acid metabolism. The

module completion ratio (MCR) for each KEGG functional category was calculated using Genomaple-

143 2.3.2. Dendrograms represent hierarchical clustering of the analysed microbial genomes based on the

144 MCRs values. The clustering of ArsBeeCH strain with previously sequenced Arsenophonus nasoniae

strains is highlighted. pmr: *Proteus mirabilis* HI4320, psi: *Providencia stuartii* MRSN 2154, prg:

146 Providencia rettgeri RB151, rip: Candidatus Riesia pediculicola, ArsFIN: Arsenophonus nasoniae

- 147 (FIN), ArsBeeCH: Arsenophonus of Apis mellifera, DSM15247: Arsenophonus nasoniae
- 148 (DSM15247), Arsmel: Arsenophonus melophagi, ArsLfor: Arsenophonus of Lipoptena fortisetosa,
- ARAD; Arsenophonus of Aleurodicus dispersus, Arstria: Candidatus Arsenophonus triatominarum,
- ARAF: Arsenophonus of Aleurodicus floccissimus, ArsAsiall3: Arsenophonus of Bemisia tabaci Asia II
- 3, ArsBTMEDQ21: Arsenophonus of Bemisia tabaci Q2, ARAC: *Arsenophonus* of *Aphis craccivora*,
- ARNL: Arsenophonus of Nilaparvata lugens. Due to its highly fragmented status (818 contigs) the
- 153 genome of Arsenophonus of Entylia carinata (ENCA) was excluded from this analysis.
- 154

156

157



158

159 SI Fig.4 The metabolic potential of Arsenophonus strains and comparison to free-living or

160 symbiotic relatives. (A) Metabolism of cofactors and vitamins and (B) lipid and glycan metabolism.

- 161 The module completion ratio (MCR) for each KEGG functional category was calculated using
- 162 Genomaple-2.3.2. Dendrograms represent hierarchical clustering of the analysed microbial genomes
- based on the MCRs values. The clustering of ArsBeeCH strain with previously sequenced
- 164 Arsenophonus nasoniae strains is highlighted. pmr: Proteus mirabilis HI4320, psi: Providencia stuartii
- 165 MRSN 2154, prg: *Providencia rettgeri* RB151, rip: *Candidatus* Riesia pediculicola, ArsFIN:
- 166 Arsenophonus nasoniae (FIN), ArsBeeCH: Arsenophonus of Apis mellifera, DSM15247:
- 167 Arsenophonus nasoniae (DSM15247), Arsmel: Arsenophonus melophagi, ArsLfor: Arsenophonus of
- 168 Lipoptena fortisetosa, ARAD; Arsenophonus of Aleurodicus dispersus, Arstria: Candidatus
- Arsenophonus triatominarum, ARAF: *Arsenophonus* of *Aleurodicus floccissimus*, ArsAsiall3:
- Arsenophonus of Bemisia tabaci Asia II 3, ArsBTMEDQ21: Arsenophonus of Bemisia tabaci Q2,
- ARAC: Arsenophonus of Aphis craccivora, ARNL: Arsenophonus of Nilaparvata lugens. Due to its
- highly fragmented status (818 contigs) the genome of *Arsenophonus* of *Entylia carinata* (ENCA) was
- 173 excluded from this analysis.
- 174
- 175

- 176
- 177
- 178
- 179



181 SI Fig. 5 Confocal microscopy images of whole mounted honey bee guts from colonies with no

- 182 evidence of Arsenophonus association. Negative control mages were obtained using whole gut
- 183 mounts from *Arsenophonus* negative honey bee workers. These visualisations were used to assess if
- 184 signals from tissue autofluorescence or inadequate washing would generate non-specific signals from
- 185 the Arsenophonus specific probe (red fluorescence). The absence of significant red signal suggests
- this was not of concern. Honey bee whole guts (A, B, C, D) were counterstained with DAPI
- 187 (blue fluorescence) and symbiont DNA targeted by an Arsenophonus specific probe
- 188 (TCATGACCACAACCTCCA) [14] 5' labelled with a Alexa Fluor 647 fluorochrome (red fluorescence,
- not visible). A universal bacterial FISH probe is shown highlighting other bacterial members of the gut
- in green fluorescence (C & D only) (TGCTGCCTCCCGTAGGA) [16], 5' tagged with a Alexa Fluor 555
- 191 fluorochrome. Images were obtained using a ZEISS LSM 880 confocal microscope with a x 40
- objective and processed using Image J [17].
- 193



Host fate

| 198 199 200 201 202 | SI Fig. 6 Association between <i>Arsenophonus</i> status and honey bee fate. <i>Arsenophonus</i> prevalence was higher among individuals that died (n=17), compared to those that remained alive (n=283), during the course of the horizontal transmission study. Pink dots (0 = individual <i>Arsenophonus</i> -) and green dots (1 = individual <i>Arsenophonus</i> +). Errors bars indicate binomial SE. |
|---------------------------------|---|
| 203 | |
| 204 | |
| 205 | |
| 206 | |
| 207 | |
| 208 | |

Selection tables & parameter estimates from statistical models

SI Tab. 2 Model selection & parameter estimates for spatial & seasonal dynamics of *Arsenophonus* in honey bee colonies

| Model structure GLMM | df | AIC | Loglik | Dev. |
|---|---------|--------|--------|-----------------|
| Arsenophonus status ~ Day of season ³ + (Year) + (County/Apiary/Colony.ID) | 8 | 256.7 | -120.4 | 240.7 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Day of season ³ + (Year) + (Apiary/Colony.ID) | 7 | 254.7 | -120.4 | 240.7 |
| Arsenophonus status ∼ Day of season ³ + (Year) + (Apiary) SM | 6 | 252.7 | -120.4 | 240.7 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Day of season ³ + (Apiary) | 5 | 254.6 | -122.3 | 244.6 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Day of season ³ + (Year) | 5 | 264.5 | -127.3 | 254.5 |
| | | | | |
| Fixed effect | Est. | SE | Z | P |
| Intercept | -0.7423 | 0.4502 | -1.649 | 0.09920 |
| Day of season ¹ | 17.37 | 4.514 | 3.849 | 0.000118** * |
| Day of season ² | -12.26 | 4.162 | -2.946 | 0.003223** |
| Day of season ³ | -7.736 | 3.495 | -2.213 | 0.02687* |
| Random effect | N | Var. | SD | - |
| | | | | - |
| Apiary | 45 | 1.155 | 1.075 | |
| Year | 5 | 0.4944 | 0.7031 | |

Note, day of the season (March 20th = day 0, November 8th = day 232) was modelled as a fixed effect 3rd order polynomial. A total of 229 observations of *Arsenophonus* status were included in the analysis. SM Selected model, ^{df} Degrees of freedom, ^{AIC} Akaike's information criterion, ^{Dev.} Deviance, ^{Est.} Coefficient estimate, ^{Var.} Variance. (*P* <***0.001, **0.01, *0.05)

| Model structure GLMM | df | AIC | Loglik | Dev. |
|---|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| Arsenophonus status ~ Time * Colony + Treatment + (1 Pot) | 6 | 100.7 | -44.3 | 88.7 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Time * Colony + (1 Pot) | 5 | 98.94 | -44.5 | 88.9 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Time + Colony + (1 Pot) SM | 4 | 97.52 | -44.8 | 89.5 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Time + (1 Pot) | 3 | 115.8 | -54.9 | 109.8 |
| Fixed effect | Est. | SE | Z | Ρ |
| Intercept | 6.661 | 1.384 | 4.813 | < 0.001*** |
| Time | -0.3736 | 0.0739 | -5.055 | < 0.001*** |
| Colony (Colony B) | -3.615 | 1.058 | -3.414 | < 0.001*** |
| Random effect | N | Var. | SD | |
| Pot | 19 | 0.9725 | 0.9862 | |

SI Tab. 3 Model selection & parameter estimates for *Arsenophonus* loss in individuals removed from the colony & foraging environment

SM Selected model, ^{df} Degrees of freedom, ^{AIC} Akaike's information criterion, ^{Dev.} Deviance, ^{Est.} Coefficient estimate, ^{Var.} Variance. (*P* <***0.001, **0.01, *0.05)

215

SI Tab.4 Horizontal transmission of Arsenophonus in honey bees under two social conditions

| Model structure GLMM | df | AIC | Loglik | Dev. |
|--|---------|--------|--------|------------|
| Arsenophonus status ~ Bee status * Transmission + Colony + Fate + (1 Pot) | 9 | 307.2 | -144.6 | 289.2 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Bee status + Transmission + Colony + Fate + (1 Pot) | 8 | 306.2 | -145.1 | 290.2 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Bee status + Transmission + Fate + (1 Pot) SM | 5 | 303.3 | -146.7 | 293.4 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Bee status + Transmission + (1 Pot) | 4 | 309.0 | -150.5 | 300.9 |
| Arsenophonus status ~ Bee status + Fate + (1 Pot) | 4 | 306.6 | -149.3 | 298.6 |
| Fixed effect | Est. | SE | z | Р |
| Intercept | -1.612 | 0.5545 | -2.907 | 0.00365 ** |
| Status (NEW) | -0.5058 | 0.3298 | -1.534 | 0.1251 |
| Transmission (General contact) | 1.842 | 0.7714 | 2.387 | 0.01697 * |
| Fate (Dead) | 1.930 | 0.7385 | 2.613 | 0.00898 ** |
| | | | | _ |
| Random effect | N | Var. | SD | _ |
| Pot | 20 | 2.371 | 1.54 | |

Bee status = Worker *or* NEW, Transmission = Gen contact *or* Trophallaxis, Fate = Dead *or* Alive. Total observations (N = 300). SM Selected model, ^{df} Degrees of freedom, ^{AIC} Akaike's information criterion, ^{Dev.} Deviance, ^{Est.} Coefficient estimate, ^{Var.} Variance. ($P <^{**0.001}$, **0.01, *0.05)

216

| 218 | | Supplementary References |
|-----|----|---|
| 219 | 1. | Lartillot N, Rodrigue N, Stubbs D, Richer J. PhyloBayes MPI: Phylogenetic |
| 220 | | Reconstruction with Infinite Mixtures of Profiles in a Parallel Environment. Syst |
| 221 | | <i>Biol</i> 2013; 62 : 611–615. |
| 222 | 2. | Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome |
| 223 | | comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. Genome |
| 224 | | <i>Biol</i> 2015; 16 : 157. |
| 225 | 3. | Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: A Fast and |
| 226 | | Effective Stochastic Algorithm for Estimating Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenies. |
| 227 | | <i>Mol Biol Evol</i> 2015; 32 : 268–274. |
| 228 | 4. | Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. |
| 229 | | ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. Nat |
| 230 | | <i>Methods</i> 2017; 14 : 587–587. |
| 231 | 5. | Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. UFBoot2: |
| 232 | | Improving the Ultrafast Bootstrap Approximation. Mol Biol Evol 2018; 35: 518- |
| 233 | | 522. |
| 234 | 6. | Takami H, Taniguchi T, Arai W, Takemoto K, Moriya Y, Goto S. An automated |
| 235 | | system for evaluation of the potential functionome: MAPLE version 2.1.0. DNA |
| 236 | | <i>Res</i> 2016; 23 : 467–475. |
| 237 | 7. | Huerta-Cepas J, Szklarczyk D, Heller D, Hernández-Plaza A, Forslund SK, |
| 238 | | Cook H, et al. eggNOG 5.0: a hierarchical, functionally and phylogenetically |
| 239 | | annotated orthology resource based on 5090 organisms and 2502 viruses. |
| 240 | | Nucleic Acids Res 2019; 47 : D309–D314. |
| 241 | 8. | Cabanettes F, Klopp C. D-GENIES: dot plot large genomes in an interactive, |
| 242 | | efficient and simple way. <i>PeerJ</i> 2018; 6 : e4958. |

- 243 9. Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*244 2018; **34**: 3094–3100.
- 245 10. R Core Team. A language and environment for statistical computing. R
- 246 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-
- 247 project.org/. *HttpwwwR-Proj* 2013; 2013–2013.
- 248 11. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. 2009. Springer-Verlag
 249 New York.
- 250 12. Subramanian B, Gao S, Lercher MJ, Hu S, Chen W-H. Evolview v3: a
- 251 webserver for visualization, annotation, and management of phylogenetic trees.
- 252 *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019; **47**: W270–W275.
- 253 13. Duron O, Wilkes TE, Hurst GDD. Interspecific transmission of a male-killing
- bacterium on an ecological timescale. *Ecol Lett* 2010; **13**: 1139–1148.
- 255 14. Gottlieb Y, Ghanim M, Gueguen G, Kontsedalov S, Vavre F, Fleury F, et al.
- Inherited intracellular ecosystem: symbiotic bacteria share bacteriocytes in
 whiteflies. *FASEB J* 2008; **22**: 2591–2599.
- 258 15. Gilliam M. Microbial Sterility of the Intestinal Content of the Immature Honey
- 259 Bee, Apis mellifera1,2,3. *Ann Entomol Soc Am* 1971; **64**: 315–316.
- 260 16. Martinson VG, Moy J, Moran NA. Establishment of characteristic gut bacteria
- during development of the honeybee worker. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2012; **78**:
 2830–2840.
- 263 17. Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of
 264 image analysis. *Nat Methods* 2012; **9**: 671–675.
- 265