nature portfolio

Corresponding author(s):	Sherif El-Khamisy
Last updated by author(s):	09/07/2021

Reporting Summary

Nature Portfolio wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Portfolio policies, see our Editorial Policies and the Editorial Policy Checklist.

<u> </u>				
St	·a:	۲ıς	:†1	CC

FOI	ali StatiSticai ali	aryses, commit that the following items are present in the figure legend, table legend, main text, or Methods Section.					
n/a	Confirmed	onfirmed					
	The exact	ct sample size (n) for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement					
	A stateme	ement on whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly					
	The statist	e statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided ly common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.					
\times	A descript	description of all covariates tested					
\times	A descript	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons					
	A full desc	A full description of the statistical parameters including central tendency (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND variation (e.g. standard deviation) or associated estimates of uncertainty (e.g. confidence intervals)					
	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted Give <i>P</i> values as exact values whenever suitable.						
\times	For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings						
\times	For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes						
\square Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d , Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated							
Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> contains articles on many of the points above.							
Software and code							
Policy information about <u>availability of computer code</u>							
D	ata collection	Image Express Micro XLS Widefield High Content Analysis System (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) was used to collect DUB siRNA screen images. Rotor Gene 6000 series Software 1.7 (Corbett Life Science) was used to determine the quantification cycle (Cq) for qPCR and RT-qPCR experiments.					
Data analysis		Custom Module of MetaXpress Software version 6 (Molecular Devices, San Jose, CA) was used to process DUB siRNA screen images. Image J version 1.53k was used to analyse immunofluorescent images.					

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors and reviewers. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Portfolio guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Policy information about availability of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A description of any restrictions on data availability
- For clinical datasets or third party data, please ensure that the statement adheres to our policy

Source data for the DUB siRNA screen and all of the raw images of western/slot blots are provided with the paper.

Field-specific reporting						
<u>-</u>		s the best fit for your research. If you are not sure, read the appropriate sections before making your selection.				
Life sciences	_	Sehavioural & social sciences				
		all sections, see <u>nature.com/documents/nr-reporting-summary-flat.pdf</u>				
Life scier	nces sti	udy design				
All studies must dis	close on these	points even when the disclosure is negative.				
Sample size	Chosen sample size was sufficient for a robust statistical calculation. All statistical calculations were derived from at least 3 biological repeats. For microscopy based-asssays, each biological repeat included sufficient cell numbers and the n value was equal to or higher than 3 in order to ensure high degree of statistical significance.					
Data exclusions	No data was ex	ccluded				
Replication	Experiments were performed multiples times (three or more) with multiple biological repeats (usually three). All attempts at replication were successful.					
Randomization	Allocation of samples was random.					
Blinding	Investigators w	vere double blinded to the sample allocation during data allocation and analysis.				
Reporting for specific materials, systems and methods We require information from authors about some types of materials, experimental systems and methods used in many studies. Here, indicate whether each material, system or method listed is relevant to your study. If you are not sure if a list item applies to your research, read the appropriate section before selecting a response. Materials & experimental systems						
Antibodies used	All ant	tibodies are listed in Supplementary Table 3 with detailed description.				
		tibodies were validated by suppliers and also have been validated by other publications. In addition, the key antibodies were ted by siRNA knockdown, in vitro ec-RNase H treatment or plasmid over-expression - for instance see Supplementary Figures 1, 5f.				
Eukaryotic c	ell lines					
Policy information a						
Cell line source(s)		HEK-293 (ATCC) MRC-5 (ATCC) U2-OS (ATCC)				

Authenticated by imaging procedure.

None were used.

All cell lines came negative for Mycoplasma contamination.

Authentication

(See <u>ICLAC</u> register)

Mycoplasma contamination

Commonly misidentified lines

_