Table S1. Go- and No-Go progression criteria

	Go – Proceed with RCT	Amend – Proceed with changes	Stop – Do not proceed unless changes are possible
1.Feasibility of participant recruitment Can \geq 74 participants be recruited to take part in the study?	≥74 participants	≥65 participants	<61 participants
2. Feasibility of participant retention Can <u>>59 participants be retained in the study</u> until completion?	\geq 59 retained	\geq 50 retained	<40 retained
3. Usability of 'AsthmaMD' Will the app receive a mean SUS score >68? <i>and</i> Interpretation of qualitative data relating to usability	SUS >68 and App judged highly usable	SUS ≥63 and App judged usable	SUS <52 and App judged possibly usable
4. Acceptability of 'AsthmaMD' Will the app receive a mean score <u>>5</u> for overall user satisfaction?	\geq 5 overall satisfaction	\geq 4 overall satisfaction	<4 overall satisfaction
or Will \geq 30% of participants agree to 3/5 acceptability-related questions?	<i>or</i> \geq 30% agree to 3/5 questions	or \geq 30% agree to 2/5 questions	<i>or</i> <30% agree to <2/5 questions
<i>and</i> Interpretation of qualitative data relating to acceptability	<i>and</i> App judged highly acceptable	<i>and</i> App judged acceptable	<i>and</i> App judged possibly acceptable
5. Feasibility of 'AsthmaMD' Did \geq 30% of participants use the app \geq 1 day per week?	\geq 30% used app \geq 1 day/week	\geq 25% used app \geq 1 day/week	<20% used app <1 day/week
or Would \geq 30% of participants continue to use the app after the study?	or \geq 30% continue to use app	or $\geq 25\%$ continue to use app	<i>or</i> <20% continue to use app
<i>And</i> Interpretation of qualitative data relating to feasibility	<i>And</i> App judged highly feasible	<i>and</i> App judged feasible	and App judged possibly feasible