

**Additional File 4 – Table S2.** Factors associated with appropriate knowledge and risk-enhancing practices of the study population towards schistosomiasis.

Variable	Correct knowledge score*							Risk-enhancing practices score						
	Mean	Unadjusted analysis			Adjusted analysis <sup>b</sup>			Mean	Unadjusted analysis			Adjusted analysis		
		$\alpha$	95%CI( $\alpha$ )	$p$	$\alpha$	95%CI( $\alpha$ )	$p$		$\alpha$	95%CI( $\alpha$ )	$p$	$\alpha$	95%CI( $\alpha$ )	$p$
<b>Age</b>				<b>0.74</b>			<b>0.52</b>				<b>0.09</b>			<b>0.25</b>
6 – 9	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.23	Ref	-	-	-	-	-	-
10 – 13	-	-	-	-	-	-	5.24	0.01	-0.58 – 0.59	0.99	-	-	-	-
14 – 17	8.74	Ref			Ref		6.00	0.77	0.13 – 1.41	0.02	Ref			
18 – 25	8.97	0.23	-0.59 – 1.04	0.59	0.36	-0.47 – 1.19	0.40	5.33	0.10	-0.52 – 0.72	0.76	-0.56	-1.25 – 0.12	0.10
>25	8.75	0.01	-0.76 – 0.77	0.99	0.53	-0.42 – 1.48	0.27	5.24	0.01	-0.55 – 0.56	0.99	-0.43	-1.21 – 0.36	0.29

<b>Gender</b>														
Female	8.83	Ref			Ref				5.28	Ref			Ref	
Male	8.79	-0.04	-0.60 – 0.52	0.88	-0.13	-0.69 – 0.43	0.64	5.49	0.21	-0.18 – 0.59	<b>0.29</b>	-0.28	-0.74 – -0.19	<b>0.24</b>
<b>History of schistosomiasis</b>														
No	8.50	Ref			Ref			4.92	Ref			Ref		
Yes	9.32	0.82	0.29 – 1.35	<b>0.003</b>	0.90	0.35 – 1.45	0.001	6.15	1.23	0.85 – 1.60	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.77	0.31 – 1.22	<b>&lt;0.001</b>
<b>Location</b>														
				<b>0.004</b>			<b>0.005</b>				<b>&lt;0.001</b>			<b>&lt;0.001</b>
Lambaréné	9.22	Ref			Ref			4.78	Ref			Ref		
Zilé-PK	8.07	-1.14	-1.78 – -0.51	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	-1.34	-2.11 – -0.57	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	6.41	1.62	1.21 – 2.03	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.77	0.14 – 1.41	0.02



7 – 25	8.85	0.48	-0.21 –	0.17	0.75	0.04 – 1.47	0.04	5.55	-0.06	-0.69 – 0.57	0.84	-0.87	-1.47 –	-	-	0.004
			1.18										0.28			

**Use private toilets at home**

No	8.74	Ref			Ref			5.54	Ref				Ref			
Yes	9.38	0.64	-0.15 –	<b>0.11</b>	0.27	-0.60 –	0.55	4.06	-1.48	-2.05 –	-	<b>&lt;0.00</b>	-0.28	-1.01 –	-0.43	<b>0.42</b>
			1.43			1.14				0.90		<b>1</b>				

**Use pit latrine at home**

No	9.11	Ref			Ref			4.79	Ref				Ref			
Yes	8.59	-0.51	-1.04 –	<b>0.06</b>	-0.21	-0.82 –	0.50	5.58	0.79	0.38 – 1.20	<b>&lt;0.00</b>	-0.12	-0.62 –	-0.38	<b>0.63</b>	
			0.01			0.40					<b>1</b>					

**Home proximity with water course**

No	8.85	Ref			Ref			4.96	Ref				Ref			
----	------	-----	--	--	-----	--	--	------	-----	--	--	--	-----	--	--	--

Yes	8.81	-0.03	-0.60 – 0.53	<b>0.90</b>	-0.12	-0.73 – 0.49	0.70	5.55	0.59	0.19 – 0.99	<b>0.004</b>	0.03	-0.47 – 0.53	<b>0.89</b>
<b>Use fresh water</b>														
No	9.13	Ref			Ref			4.43	Ref				Ref	
Yes	8.68	-0.45	-1.02 – 0.11	0.11	0.14	-0.56 – 0.84	0.70	5.79	1.36	0.97 – 1.75	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	0.79	0.21 – 1.37	<b>0.008</b>
<b>Main occupation</b>				<b>0.05</b>			<b>0.12</b>				<b>0.36</b>			<b>0.93</b>
None	8.45	Ref			Ref			5.16	Ref				Ref	
Student	9.11	0.65	-0.08 – 1.38	0.08	0.69	-0.13 – 1.52	0.10	5.61	0.45	-0.22 – 1.12	0.19	0.20	-0.48 – 0.88	0.56
Farmer/Fisher	8.39	-0.06	-0.83 – 0.70	0.78	0.67	-0.18 – 1.52	0.12	5.68	0.52	-0.18 – 1.22	0.14	-0.12	-0.82 – 0.58	0.73

Trader	8.62	0.17	-0.97 –	0.77	0.01	-1.12 –	0.98	5.38	0.22	-0.82 –	0.68	-0.14	-1.08 –	0.76
			1.30			1.14				1.26			0.79	
Other	9.41	0.95	0.17 – 1.73	0.02	0.87	0.08 – 1.66	0.03	5.08	-0.08	-0.80 –	0.82	-0.06	-0.72 –	0.84
										0.63			0.58	

\*Assessed among youg adults and adults who responded to the main study questionnaire;  $\alpha$ : Coefficient of regression estimating the difference in mark between the associated modality and the modality of reference of the variable;  $\beta$ The model of the adjusted analysis included all data considered for crude analysis