## Supplementary Table S11. Comparison of clinical characteristics between ITH<sub>high</sub> and

ITH<sub>low</sub> groups

|                         | ITH Groups                 |                    |                 |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Characteristics         | <b>ITH</b> <sub>high</sub> | ITH <sub>low</sub> | <i>P</i> -value |
|                         | n (%)                      | n (%)              |                 |
| Age, years (median)     |                            |                    |                 |
|                         | 73                         | 71                 | 0.86            |
| Gender                  |                            |                    |                 |
| Male                    | 26 (84)                    | 20 (65)            | 0.15            |
| Female                  | 5 (16)                     | 11 (35)            |                 |
| Lauren's classification | 1                          |                    |                 |
| Diffuse                 | 2 (6)                      | 1(3)               | 0.61            |
| Intestinal              | 28 (90)                    | 30 (97)            |                 |
| Mixed                   | 1 (3)                      | 0 (0)              |                 |
| T Stage                 |                            |                    |                 |
| 2                       | 4 (13)                     | 3 (10)             | 0.88            |
| 3                       | 11 (35)                    | 10 (32)            |                 |
| 4                       | 16 (52)                    | 18 (58)            |                 |
| N Stage                 |                            |                    |                 |
|                         | 4 (13)                     | 6 (19)             | 0.84            |
| II                      | 16 (52)                    | 14 (45)            |                 |
| III                     | 11 (35)                    | 11 (35)            |                 |
| Grade                   |                            |                    |                 |
| 1                       | 1 (3)                      | 1 (3)              | 0.97            |
| 2                       | 15 (48)                    | 14 (45)            |                 |
| 3                       | 15 (48)                    | 16 (52)            |                 |
| Location                |                            |                    |                 |
| Proximal                | 10 (32)                    | 11 (35)            | 0.72            |
| Mid                     | 8 (26)                     | 5 (16)             |                 |
| Distal                  | 10 (32)                    | 13 (42)            |                 |
| Others                  | 3 (10)                     | 2 (6)              |                 |

Fisher test

<sup>\*</sup> two GC only had one subregion included in NanoString analysis, and therefore could not be categorized