GigaScience

Vulcan: Improved long-read mapping and structural variant calling via dual-mode alignment --Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	GIGA-D-21-00164R1			
Full Title:	Vulcan: Improved long-read mapping and structural variant calling via dual-mode alignment			
Article Type:	Technical Note			
Funding Information:	National Human Genome Research Institute (UM1 HG008898)	Not applicable		
	Diseases (P01AI152999-01)	Not applicable		
Abstract:	Background			
	Long-read sequencing has enabled unprecedented surveys of structural variation across the entire human genome. To maximize the potential of long-read sequencing in this context, novel mapping methods have emerged that have primarily focused on either speed or accuracy. Various heuristics and scoring schemas have been implemented in widely used read mappers (minimap2 and NGMLR) to optimize for speed or accuracy, which have variable performance across different genomic regions and for specific structural variants. Our hypothesis is that constraining read mapping to the use of a single gap penalty across distinct mutational hotspots reduces read alignment accuracy and impedes structural variant detection.			
	Findings			
	We tested our hypothesis by implementing a read mapping pipeline called Vulcan that uses two distinct gap penalty modes, which we refer to as dual-mode alignment. The high-level idea is that Vulcan leverages the computed normalized edit distance of the mapped reads via e.g. minimap2 to identify poorly aligned reads and realigns them using the more accurate yet computationally more expensive long read mapper (NGMLR). In support of our hypothesis, we show Vulcan improves the alignments for Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) long-reads for both simulated and real datasets. These improvements, in turn, lead to improved accuracy for structural variant calling performance on human genome datasets compared to either of the read mapping methods alone.			
	Conclusions			
	Vulcan is the first long-read mapping framework that combines two distinct gap penalty modes, resulting in improved structural variant recall and precision. Vulcan is open-source and available under the MIT License at https://gitlab.com/treangenlab/vulcan			
Corresponding Author:	Todd Treangen			
	UNITED STATES			
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:				
Corresponding Author's Institution:				
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:				
First Author:	Yilei Fu			
First Author Secondary Information:				
Order of Authors:	Yilei Fu			

	Medhat Mahmoud
	Viginesh Vaibhav Muraliraman
	Fritz Sedlazeck
	Todd J Treangen
	Viginesh Vaibhav Muraliraman
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Response to Reviewers:	Reviewer responses attached as supplementary file titled "Vulcan_reviewer_responses.pdf"
Additional Information:	
Question	Response
Are you submitting this manuscript to a special series or article collection?	No
Experimental design and statistics Full details of the experimental design and statistical methods used should be given in the Methods section, as detailed in our <u>Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist</u> . Information essential to interpreting the data presented should be made available in the figure legends.	Yes
Have you included all the information requested in your manuscript?	
Resources	Yes
A description of all resources used, including antibodies, cell lines, animals and software tools, with enough information to allow them to be uniquely identified, should be included in the Methods section. Authors are strongly encouraged to cite <u>Research Resource</u> Identifiers (RRIDs) for antibodies, model organisms and tools, where possible.	
Have you included the information requested as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist?	
Availability of data and materials	Yes

All datasets and code on which the conclusions of the paper rely must be either included in your submission or deposited in <u>publicly available repositories</u> (where available and ethically appropriate), referencing such data using a unique identifier in the references and in the "Availability of Data and Materials" section of your manuscript.

Have you have met the above requirement as detailed in our Minimum Standards Reporting Checklist?

٠

Vulcan: Improved long-read mapping and structural variant calling via dual-mode alignment

Yilei Fu¹, Medhat Mahmoud^{2,3}, Viginesh Vaibhav Muraliraman¹, Fritz J. Sedlazeck^{2†*} and Todd J. Treangen^{1†*}

Abstract

Background: Long-read sequencing has enabled unprecedented surveys of structural variation across the entire human genome. To maximize the potential of long-read sequencing in this context, novel mapping methods have emerged that have primarily focused on either speed or accuracy. Various heuristics and scoring schemas have been implemented in widely used read mappers (minimap2 and NGMLR) to optimize for speed or accuracy, which have variable performance across different genomic regions and for specific structural variants. Our hypothesis is that constraining read mapping to the use of a single gap penalty across distinct mutational hotspots reduces read alignment accuracy and impedes structural variant detection.

Findings: We tested our hypothesis by implementing a read mapping pipeline called Vulcan that uses two distinct gap penalty modes, which we refer to as dual-mode alignment. The high-level idea is that Vulcan leverages the computed normalized edit distance of the mapped reads via e.g. minimap2 to identify poorly aligned reads and realigns them using the more accurate yet computationally more expensive long read mapper (NGMLR). In support of our hypothesis, we show Vulcan improves the alignments for Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT) long-reads for both simulated and real datasets. These improvements, in turn, lead to improved accuracy for structural variant calling performance on human genome datasets compared to either of the read mapping methods alone.

Conclusions: Vulcan is the first long-read mapping framework that combines two distinct gap penalty modes, resulting in improved structural variant recall and precision. Vulcan is open-source and available under the MIT License at https://gitlab.com/treangenlab/vulcan

Keywords: Long reads, Read mapping, Gap penalty, Structural variation

Background

The advent of long-read DNA sequencing over the past decade has led to many new insights in genomics and genetics [1–3]. One of the main advantages of long-read sequencing is for human research given the size and complexity of the human genome, and specifically for the detection of Structural Variation (SV)[1,2,4,5]. SVs are often defined as 50bp or larger genomic alterations that can be categorized into five types: deletions, duplications, insertions, inversions, and translocations [6,7]. Due to higher false positive and false negative rates in SV detection with short-reads, long-reads are preferred to accurately detect and fully resolve SVs[6].

In recent years, three types of long-reads have been established, produced by two sequencing platforms: Pacific Biosciences (PacBio) and Oxford Nanopore Technology (ONT)[3]. The latest PacBio device (Sequel II)[3] not only sequences continuous long-reads (CLR) that have error rates of up to 10%, but also longer average length, it can also produce HiFi reads[8]. The latter is produced by repeatedly sequencing the same molecule multiple times (10-20kbp long) producing a consensus read that lowers the sequencing error down to 1% or even lower[8]. ONT is the other long-read sequencing platform. ONT also offers single-molecule sequencing and can produce ultra-long reads (>100 kbp and up to 2Mbp)[9] with drastically

1

¹Department of Computer Science, Rice University, Houston, TX 77005, USA and

²Human Genome Sequencing Center, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, United States of America.

³Department of Molecular and Human Genetics, Baylor College of Medicine, Houston, TX 77030, United States of America.

[†]These authors share senior authorship. ^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed.

Contact: Fritz Sedlazeck: Fritz.Sedlazeck@bcm.edu, Todd J. Treangen: treangen@rice.edu



Fig1. Overview of Vulcan: As step 1, Vulcan takes raw ONT or Pacbio reads as input, then uses minimap2 to map them to the provided reference genome. Subsequently, in step 2, Vulcan performs a normalized edit distance calculation (see methods) to identify the reads with the highest normalized edit distances. In step 3, Vulcan realigns the high edit distance reads with NGMLR. Finally, in step 4 Vulcan merges the minimap2 and NGMLR remapped reads to create a new bam file.

reduced cost with respect to HiFi reads but at a higher error rate (3-10%)[10]. In recent years, SVs have been shown as an important type of genomic alteration often leading to more modified base pairs than single nucleotide variants (SNVs) on their own[6,8]. Furthermore, SVs have been shown to have an impact on many human diseases and also other phenotypes across multiple species[6,11–13]. Most of the existing SV detection approaches depend on long-reads to facilitate the mapping of these reads to a known reference genome.

We define read mapping as the process of performing a pairwise alignment between a read and a reference genome to identify the region of origin for this DNA molecule[14,15]. Early on BLASR[16] was the method of choice for high-error long read mapping. Given its advantageous speed, BWA-MEM[17] later emerged as the method of choice to align single-molecule sequencing reads. We have previously shown that while BWA-MEM performs well in aligning these long-reads, it produces less optimal alignments in the presence of structural variants (SVs)[2,18]. This is mainly due to sequencing errors coupled with SV signals in repetitive regions are mixed and causes sub-optimal pairwise alignments, hindering an accurate detection of SV. To circumvent this issue we introduced NGMLR[2], which made use of a convex scoring matrix to better distinguish between read error and SV signal. Using this approach we were able to achieve high accuracy SV detection and at a similar speed compared to BWA-MEM. However, as sequencing throughput increased, NGMLR was not fast enough to keep up with the sheer volume of data, thus becoming a bottleneck in the analysis of larger data sets. Minimap2[18] has since emerged as a highly-efficient long-read mapper, implementing a much faster alignment approach with extending the traditional affine gap cost model to a 2-piece affine gap model[19] and implementing an efficient chaining process. Thanks to these important algorithmic enhancements, minimap2 achieved a faster run time at a similar accuracy to state-of-the-art long-read mappers[18]. There exist several other long-read aligners that have prioritized accuracy, sensitivity, or speed, such as MashMap[20], LAST[21], GraphMap[22], and LRA[23]. However, despite promising recent progress exemplified by these methods, there is still room for improvement in long-read mapping[14].

We posit that a single strategy may not be sufficient for those variable regions; we explore in this study whether distinct heuristics implemented in the different mappers perform better or worse in certain organisms or even regions of the genome (e.g. human). The latter is especially relevant if one considers the different mutational rates per specific genomic region due to recombination[24], housekeeping genes[25], and orphan genes[26]. For example, a conserved housekeeping gene will have a very different

mutational landscape compared to genes involved in immune responses (e.g. *HLA*[27], *KIR*, etc.) or compared to other highly variable genes among the human population (e.g. *LPA*[28], *CYP2D6*).

To cope with these challenges, in this work we describe a unified long read mapping framework called Vulcan that melds alignment strategies from two different long-read mappers, here NGMLR and minimap2. At its core, Vulcan is based on the following straightforward idea: *use distinct gap penalties for different mappings between long reads and a reference genome*. Notably, Vulcan is the first long-read mapping framework that combines two scoring functions, as shown in **Figure 1**. Vulcan first maps reads starting with the fastest long-read mapper (minimap2 by default). The key idea behind Vulcan is to identify reads that are sub-optimally aligned based on edit distance (i.e. number of differences between a read and the reference) and then realign them with a more sensitive gap penalty (NGMLR by default). Previous works have shown that edit distance based approaches may have an effect on effective detection of SVs[26,29–31]. Here we show that edit distance can be used as a prior for sub-optimally aligned reads, highlighting the utility and accuracy of Vulcan based on NGMLR and minimap2. We apply Vulcan on simulated and real data sets (HG002) to measure the improvements of our dual-mode alignment approach in both the number of correctly aligned reads and run time. Furthermore, to showcase the benefit of improved read mappings, we compared SV calling from Vulcan mapped reads to both NGMLR and minimap2 mapped reads on simulated ONT reads and Human ONT, Human PacBio CLR and HiFi reads.

Data Description

To evaluate Vulcan's ability to improve structural variant calling, we simulated five types of structural variant in the reference genome (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C). Specifically, we selected *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* S288C genome as the reference, and added structural variations into the genome with SURVIVOR(1.0.7), simSV[11], later, we used Nanosim-h (1.1.0.4)[32] to simulate 10X coverage reads set. We ran NGMLR, minimap2 and Vulcan on the dataset and used Sniffles (version 1.12) to identify SV. In this experiment we also included other SV types like duplication (DUP), translocation (TRA) and inversion (INV).

Additionally, we used real data to show the improvements over HG002, a benchmark sample well studied by Genome In A Bottle (GIAB NIST). Here we downloaded ONT, PacBio HiFi and PacBio CLR data sets for the same sample. The data is available at

https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/giab/ftp/data/AshkenazimTrio/HG002_NA24385_son/, and has been described in multiple papers[33,34]. The subsample of coverages (Nanopore 10X, 20X, 30X; PacBio CLR 10X, 20X; PacBio Hifi 10X) was performed with seqtk[35].

Analyses

To demonstrate the ability of Vulcan to improve the overall mapping of long-reads and thus to improve the structural variant detection across organisms we used simulated (*S. cerevisiae S288C*) and real data (human hg19) datasets. For the real datasets we utilized three distinct long-read technologies (PacBio: HiFi and CLR, Oxford Nanopore)[32,34]. Using these datasets, we evaluated the edit distance improvement after Vulcan's refinement and SV calling performance (recall, precision and F1 score). Also, we show that Vulcan reduces computational time against the methods that use convex gap penalty (NGMLR).

Vulcan Improves Long-read Mapping over Minimizing Read-to-Reference Edit Distance

First, we investigated Vulcan's ability to identify reads that would benefit from convex gap penalty vs two-piece affine gap penalty by thresholding the reported edit distance from the mappers (see Methods section), and thus minimize the edit distance between the read and mapped location on the reference genome. To accomplish this, we mapped the GIAB HG002 ONT Ultra-long UCSC dataset using minimap2 and investigated the alignments from the reads given their reported edit distance (NM tag).



Fig. 2. Overall edit distance improvements. A: Normalized edit distance comparison of Vulcan's 90% percentile cut-off, NGMLR and minimap2's mapping result with human ONT 30X reads. We can see clear evidence that the realignment of only 10% of the reads lead to an improvement in edit distance and thus of the variant calling. **B:** Distribution of mappings' normalized edit distance from Vulcan, NGMLR and minimap2. Vulcan has a lower edit distance mapping than minimap2 with NGMLR's refinement.



Fig. 3. Comparing runtime for Vulcan, NGMLR and minimap2. CPU time was measured in CPU time for all programs. A: Vulcan achieves an approximately linear acceleration with the increase of the cut-off percentile. With a 90% percentile cut-off, Vulcan only takes about 1/4 of NGMLR's CPU time. B: The majority of Vulcan's CPU time is spent in running NGMLR on the subset of reads leading to an improvement of their alignments. C: In 90% percentile cut-off, NGMLR only re-align 10% of the reads, leads to similar time usage as minimap2.





	-25-
	10 F
	-533-
	-2
	-4-T T T
	Affine Gap Penalty
B - 1000	
and to be a second of the second and the second and the second second second second second second second second	
	▋▋▋▋▖▆▋▖▆▋▖▆▆▋▋▋▋▋▋▋ <mark>Ħ</mark> ▇▋▌▋▋▖
	+2+h +2+ +2+ =3=
ан на н	+2+X +2+ +2+ =3= +2+
	Convex Gap Penalty

С

Fig. 4: Comparison of the two read mappers used in Vulcan based on 30x ONT data. A: An example at chr2:112,870,823-112,871,894 of reads that show a higher normalized edit distance and thus were automatically realigned with NGMLR. The overall alignments of these reads improved, clearly highlighting a larger deletion at this location compared to the minimap2 alignments. **B:** Another example at chr1:108,567,498-108,567,633 of automatically aligned reads with Vulcan. The colored reads indicated the same read aligned by the two different methods. The realignment with NGMLR clearly shows a deletion and insertion to be present likely on the two different haplotypes. **C:** Example false positive SV call improved by Vulcan mapping. This is an example of a false positive SV call based on minimap2 that would later be resolved with Vulcan's alignment. The region of the genome is on chr1 at 167,978,740.

We benchmarked Vulcan genome wide to see if it improves the overall edit distance compared to minimap2 alone. Figure 2A shows this trend as Vulcan on the median has a lower normalized edit distance than minimap2 alone. Notably, Vulcan does not recapitulate the overall distribution of edit distance from NGMLR as it only realigns 10% of the reads in this example. Thus, by automatically realigning only 10% of the reads Vulcan significantly improves the alignments in certain regions of the human genome compared to minimap2. These results provide support for our dual-mode alignment strategy implemented in Vulcan to select reads based on their normalized edit distance and then realigning these using NGMLR seem to work and indeed improve the representation of SV (Table 1 and 2).

Vulcan Accelerates Long-read Mapping for SV Calling

Next, we evaluated the speedup of Vulcan compared to minimap2 and NGMLR. As shown in Figure 3, Vulcan is able to achieve up to a 2.5X speedup over NGMLR, from 6.5 CPU hours down to 2.5 CPU hours for the 90% cut-off (default parameter setting for human genome mapping). When increasing the edit distance cut-off percentile, Vulcan CPU time decreases linearly. When comparing minimap2's CPU time we see that Vulcan's default setting only requires about 3X more CPU time compared to over 10X more CPU time required for NGMLR. This highlights Vulcan's ability to drastically reduce NGMLR CPU time and maintain comparable CPU time to minimap2, one of the most efficient long-read aligners that currently exists. The RAM usage of Vulcan 90% cut-off with Nanopore 10X reads is 29.680 GB.

We also show the relative contribution to CPU time for each component in Vulcan (Figure 3B, 3C): minimap2, samtools, file parsing and edit distance calculation with Python, and NGMLR. As expected, NGMLR dominates this breakdown when mapping the reads that are above the Vulcan cut-off (60% in this experiment), the remaining components represent minor contributions to Vulcan's execution time.

Structural Variant Calling Benchmarking

Next, we highlight NGMLR's SV-aware mappings enable the improved detection of SV (here deletion indicated by black lines in IGV) compared to the mapping results from minimap2 (Figure 4A). We see in this example that minimap2 demonstrates a more scattered pattern of the deletion signal across all three regions (Figure 4A, 4B, and 4C). These regions include an insertion and a deletion, which induce noisy alignments from minimap2. In contrast, automatically realigning the reads with Vulcan using NGMLR shows a more consistent mapping pattern (Figure 4B and 4C). Notably, Vulcan is able to eliminate a false positive SV call by preferentially selecting a convex gap penalty over the two-piece affine gap penalty (Figure 4C), highlighting the benefit of trading off increased CPU time (measured in CPU hours) for increased accuracy (measured as fewer SV false positives).

Benchmarking Structural Variant calling with Vulcan's mappings on simulated ONT data

To follow up on the previous result, we next benchmarked SV calls based on each of the three mapping strategies: minimap2, NGMLR, and Vulcan. To perform this evaluation, we simulated Nanopore reads from the *Saccharomyces cerevisiae S288C* genome. As we see in Figure 5, Vulcan combined with Sniffles offers the highest recall and lowest FDR of all three mapping approaches. Next, Figure 5B highlights that Vulcan has the highest recall for all five SV types. We see that minimap2 has the lowest recall for duplications on this low coverage simulated long read dataset. However, both NGMLR and Vulcan are able to capture the duplication with greater than 99% recall. We also see that while translocation and insertion SV recall is identical for all three mapping approaches, Vulcan mappings help to improve both inversion and deletion detection. With respect to precision (Figure 5C), Vulcan once again performs best across all five SV categories, with NGMLR mirroring Vulcan performance in all cases.

Benchmarking Structural Variant calling with Vulcan's mappings on GIAB human data

Given the promising SV calling results based on Vulcan mappings we have discovered in the simulated data, we next evaluated SV calling using Vulcan on real Human (hg19) read samples from the GIAB

project[34]. Similar to the SV experiment with simulated data, we used Sniffles to call SVs called from Human (hg19) reads mapped from each of the three methods: minimap2, NGMLR, and Vulcan. This GIAB dataset allows us to evaluate against an established ground truth on real hg19 long-read sequencing data. We will next describe SV performance for various Nanopore coverages (10X, 20X, 30X), PacBio CLR (10X, 20X), and PacBio HiFi (10X) datasets.

Specifically, we tested Vulcan on three different coverages across ONT and PacBio data sets with respect to improving the SV calling ability based on the GIAB SV call sets. **Table 1** shows the performance for Vulcan, NGMLR and minimap2 together with Sniffles to identify SV across the data set. Similar to the simulated data, we achieve the best SV calling results using Vulcan together with Sniffles. Vulcan provides the most improvement on lower coverage data sets. For the Nanopore 20X coverage, which is roughly equivalent to one ONT PromethION Flow cell of a human genome, Vulcan improves F1 score by 3.13% compared to minimap2 based alignments.



Fig. 5. Benchmarking SV calls on simulated structural variants (INS: insertions, Del: deletions, TRA: translocations, DUP: duplications and INV: inversions) with ONT reads simulated from Saccharomyces Cerevisiae. A: Recall and false discovery rate (FDR) of Sniffles' SV calling on simulated Nanopore reads with three different mappers. SV calls on Vulcan mappings offer the highest recall (95%) and lowest FDR (26%). B: Recall of different SV types from minimap2, NGMLR, and Vulcan mappings with Sniffles' SV calling on simulated Nanopore reads. C: Precision of different SV types from NGMLR, Vulcan and minimap2's mappings with Sniffles' SV calling on simulated Nanopore reads. NGMLR has similar performance across all SV types, while minimap2 has a lower precision on inversions and duplications.

We then benchmarked the impact of the normalized edit distance thresholds for the ONT 30x data set (**Table 1**). We show that by increasing the cut-off percentile, we realign fewer reads and thus Vulcan exhibits lower overall CPU time. However, this subsequently results in lower SV recall but higher precision. We observed the highest SV recall for Vulcan with a 60% cut-off when realigning the top 40% edit distance reads. SV precision was the highest at a 90% threshold where only the top 10% of the reads are realigned. Notably, across all thresholds, Vulcan performs the best in terms of F1 score. Vulcan by default uses a 90% threshold, yielding up to a 3.79% improvement in F1 score on low coverage (10X) ONT data. However, SV calls based on minimap2 mappings achieved the highest recall on 10x coverage (0.02% improvement over Vulcan mappings).

Table 1. Benchmarking SV recall, precision, andF1 on HG002 Human (hg19) ONT reads atvarying coverages (10X, 20x, 30x).variouspercentile cut-offs for Vulcan were used, including:60%, 70%, 80%, 90%.SV calls based on Vulcanmappings achieve the highest F1 score for variouscut-off values.

Nanopore 10x	Recall	Precision	F1
minimap2	78.31%	75.59%	76.93%
NGMLR	77.40%	76.65%	77.02%
Vulcan 60%	74.64%	88.69%	81.06%
Vulcan 70%	76.66%	87.87%	81.88%
Vulcan 80%	77.66%	85.55%	81.42%
Vulcan 90%	78.29%	83.31%	80.72%
Nanopore 20x	Recall	Precision	F1
minimap2	83.55%	76.13%	79.67%
NGMLR	83.39%	76.24%	79.66%
Vulcan 60%	83.78%	77.71%	80.63%
Vulcan 70%	83.91%	78.53%	81.13%
Vulcan 80%	83.50%	79.57%	81.49%
Vulcan 90%	83.55%	80.65%	82.08%
Nanopore 30x	Recall	Precision	F1
minimap2	88.74%	77.37%	82.66%
NGMLR	88.47%	77.79%	82.79%
Vulcan 60%	89.37%	79.11%	83.93%
Vulcan 70%	89.36%	79.87%	84.35%
Vulcan 80%	89.24%	80.71%	84.76%
Vulcan 90%	88.81%	81.40%	84.94%

Table 2. Benchmarking SV recall, precision, and F1 on HG002 Human (hg19) PacBio reads (CLR and HiFi) at varying coverages (CLR 10x, 20x, 30x; HiFi 10x). Various percentile cut-offs for Vulcan were used, including: 60%, 70%, 80%, 90%. Vulcan achieves the highest F1 score on PacBio CLR 20X and 30X reads, with minimap2 achieving the highest F1 score on PacBio CLR 10X and PacBio HiFi 10X reads.

PacBio CLR 10x	Recall	Precision	F1
minimap2	62.85%	88.88%	73.63%
NGMLR	60.11%	86.44%	70.91%
Vulcan 60%	60.13%	89.41%	71.90%
Vulcan 70%	60.79%	90.12%	72.61%
Vulcan 80%	60.97%	90.13%	72.73%
Vulcan 90%	61.85%	89.93%	73.29%
PacBio CLR 20x	Recall	Precision	F1
minimap2	77.76%	71.85%	74.69%
NGMLR	75.74%	68.36%	71.86%
Vulcan 60%	75.74%	74.69%	75.21%
Vulcan 70%	75.98%	75.32%	75.65%
Vulcan 80%	76.22%	75.65%	75.93%
Vulcan 90%	76.90%	75.08%	75.98%
PacBio CLR 30x	Recall	Precision	F1
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2	Recall 83.71%	Precision 86.25%	F1 84.96%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR	Recall 83.71% 81.79%	Precision 86.25% 82.41%	F1 84.96% 82.10%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 80%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 80% Vulcan 90%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 80% Vulcan 90% PacBio HiFi 10X	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75% Recall	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49% Precision	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05% F1
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 80% Vulcan 90% PacBio HiFi 10X minimap2	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75% Recall 81.50%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49% Precision 90.70%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05% F1 85.85%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 80% Vulcan 90% PacBio HiFi 10X minimap2 NGMLR	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75% Recall 81.50% 78.22%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49% Precision 90.70% 86.26%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05% F1 85.85% 82.04%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 90% PacBio HiFi 10X minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75% Recall 81.50% 78.22% 77.73%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49% Precision 90.70% 86.26% 86.04%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05% F1 85.85% 82.04% 81.68%
PacBio CLR 30xminimap2NGMLRVulcan 60%Vulcan 70%Vulcan 80%Vulcan 90%PacBio HiFi 10Xminimap2NGMLRVulcan 60%Vulcan 70%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75% Recall 81.50% 78.22% 77.73% 77.74%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49% Precision 90.70% 86.26% 86.04% 86.19%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05% F1 85.85% 82.04% 81.68% 81.75%
PacBio CLR 30x minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 90% PacBio HiFi 10X minimap2 NGMLR Vulcan 60% Vulcan 70% Vulcan 80%	Recall 83.71% 81.79% 82.05% 82.33% 82.47% 82.75% Recall 81.50% 77.73% 77.74% 76.40%	Precision 86.25% 82.41% 86.31% 87.12% 87.60% 87.49% Precision 90.70% 86.26% 86.04% 86.19% 85.75%	F1 84.96% 82.10% 84.12% 84.66% 84.96% 85.05% F1 85.85% 82.04% 81.68% 81.75% 80.81%

Finally, we investigated Vulcan's performance with respect to Sniffles structural variant calls on PacBio CLR and HiFi human datasets (**Table 2**). PacBio CLR and HiFi reads offer a different error profile compared to ONT reads, with PacBio HiFi representing the lowest error rate long-reads available to date. As we see in **Table 2**, structural variant calls from Vulcan mappings offer the best recall, precision, and F1 score for 20x coverage PacBio CLR data, improving on both NGMLR and minimap2 based SV calls by over 2% in F1 score and nearly a 4% improvement over minimap2 and NGMLR precision. The F1 score improvement is due to the SV calls based off of Vulcan offering similar recall to existing approaches but improved precision. However, when comparing SV recall, we see that Vulcan mappings offer slightly lower performance compared to minimap2, while meeting or exceeding NGMLR recall. We also observed that SV calls based on Vulcan mappings offer a slightly increased recall rate when the normalized edit distance cut-off increases in PacBio CLR read dataset, different from the Nanopore dataset results. One difference between these two datasets is that the coverage of the PacBio CLR dataset is lower, and so the Sniffles minimum read support is set lower. Then when increasing the cut-off percentiles for Vulcan, there remain enough NGMLR mappings to meet or exceed the minimum read number support for SV calling.

Discussion

In this paper we have introduced Vulcan, a novel long-read mapping tool, that leverages dual-mode long-read alignment that we have shown improves SV calling. Vulcan uses the edit distance information across the mapped reads to rapidly identify regions that are better suited for a convex gap penalty vs two-piece affine gap penalty. The key idea behind Vulcan is that different regions of the genome can benefit from distinct alignment methods (e.g. due to differences in mutation rate) and thus e.g. improves SV detection. The latter is often highlighted over mismapped reads, indicated by a higher per read substitution and Indels rate[2,34]. Throughout the results section we have highlighted the benefits of using a dual-mode alignment approach compared to minimap2 and NGMLR alone; Vulcan not only results in long-reads mapped at smaller edit distances, it improves the recall and precision of SV calling on ONT data.

Our results show that Vulcan runs up to 4X faster than NGMLR alone and produces lower edit distance alignments than minimap2, on both simulated and real datasets. In addition to improved alignment (Figure 3), we also show that using Vulcan improves the precision and recall of structural variant calling for both PacBio CLR and ONT data sets (**Table 1, Table 2**). Specifically on ONT, Vulcan is able to achieve up to a 5% improvement in F1 score for SV calls (harmonic mean of recall and precision) over the other two mappers minimap2 and NGMLR. This result not only highlights the benefit of dual-mode alignment, it supports our hypothesis that Vulcan can improve SV calling in human genome samples. We further speculate that Vulcan could improve SNV calling for complex regions. However, the edit distance selection of the reads would need to be adopted for this task and as the signal would not be that clear we opted out of benchmarking this. Nevertheless, SNVs detection around breakpoints or within SV will obviously be improved.

When designing Vulcan, we opted to focus on precision and computational efficiency. The NM tag is required according to SAMtools specifications and contains all the information needed to evaluate alignment quality. Future improvements to this approach may include not counting every difference on the read (i.e. edit distance), but instead only the start of each edit. The latter would count a longer deletion as 1 and not by the length of the event as in the current implementation. Therefore, misalignments that often introduce many smaller events and or substitutions would be more penalized than larger insertion or deletion. This could slightly improve the selection process of Vulcan, but will lead to longer run times since the entire alignment would need to be reconstructed per read. This approach would also consume the majority of the time of our parsing method and thus significantly alter the runtime. Thus, we did not implement this in the current version of Vulcan, but will continue to investigate other filtering schemas. The soft clipping also takes place at spit reads that are indicative for SV and thus often form at breakpoints of SV. The focus here are reads that did not get split due to a SV in this region but rather are forced into a continuous alignment. Such reads will benefit from a realignment step as is done here with Vulcan. We currently do not use MAPQ as a filtering criteria due to the fact that MAPO reports the confidence of a read in a region (weighted distance of best vs. other potential alignments)[36]. This is indicative for repeats or other regional properties, but not for misalignments, or misrepresentation of variants. The issue with correct or wrong representation of SV is more related to the alignment score or chosen alignment algorithm rather than the region. Most of the time NGMLR will not change the location of the read compared to minimap2 but the alignment itself. For example, Figure 3 shows the same reads in the same region but with a better variant representation. Thus, using the normalized edit distance has shown to be a robust and rapid approximation to detect these alignment artifacts.

Finally, we note that Vulcan could be used for any combination of long-read mappers that output the edit distance (NM tag) directly within sam/bam file output. Thus, allowing the inclusion of WinnowMap[37], LAST[21], LRA[23], or Duplomap[38] may further exploit our observation that variable gap costs for different read-to-reference mappings provide improved SV calling, while offering improve runtimes compared to the more computationally expensive long-read mapping approaches.

Potential Implications

A key finding of this manuscript is that the utilization of dual-mode alignment, combining convex gap costs with two-piece affine gap costs, leads to improvements in alignment edit distance and subsequently SV identification. Notably, we see that SV calling based on minimap2 mappings has low recall for duplications, compared to near perfect recovery of duplications with NGMLR and Vulcan. Recently, Jain *et al.*[37] discuss that the minimizer selection strategy in minimap2 may lead to a degradation in repeat detection. Improved SV calling based on Vulcan's results can be attributed to leveraging the strengths of the long-read alignment strategies found in minimap2 and NGMLR. Vulcan provides the first approach for long-read mapping able to track variable mutation rates and predominant mutation types at certain regions or SV hotspots. The straightforward idea behind Vulcan of adapting alignment gap costs to specific regions of the genome may be found useful for compensating for highly polymorphic regions such as HLA, a 14mbp of the human genome and at the center of several recent studies[24]-[26]. Vulcan takes the first step in leveraging this observation, and we anticipate other mappers for long reads to follow up on this observation. In conclusion, in this study we have shown that combining different long-read alignment strategies improves SV recall and precision of human structural variation detection and have provided a new open-source software tool (Vulcan) that encapsulates these benefits.

Methods

The main idea behind Vulcan is that we combine the benefits of two popular long read mapping tools (here NGMLR and minimap2) for the improved structural variant (SV) calling. To accomplish this, we first map the reads (sequenced on the Oxford ONT or PacBio platforms) to a reference genome with

minimap2 (2.17-r941), then identify the large edit distance alignments taken from minimap2 mapping results and flag them for realignment with NGMLR(0.2.7). As shown in Figure 1, Vulcan is composed of four main steps: (i) Initial read mapping, (ii) Normalized Edit Distance Calculation, (iii) High edit-distance remapping (iv) merging mapping results for downstream structural variant calling. The first step of the pipeline is to map all the reads against the reference using minimap2 and its preset parameters suitable for either PacBio or Oxford Nanopore long-read sequences. Subsequently, Vulcan uses the edit distance and scans the reads. The edit distance is the number of substitutions, insertions, or deletions that are different between the read and its region of the reference[37,39]. The edit distance is captured by the "NM" tag (mandatory tag in sam format) in reads mappers. We normalize the edit distance with the overall read length to obtain a ratio that represents the alignment of a given read. By dividing the edit distance by the alignment length of a read, we can normalize it to calculate the number of mismatches given an alignment length, i.e. with longer alignments, we tolerate larger edit distances. And normalized edit distance can be expressed as E = e/l, where e is the edit distance and l is the alignment length. We only keep all the primary mappings and gather the normalized edit distances with SAMtools and pysam[40]. Note, the secondary mappings typically have larger edit distances as they have a lower mapping quality than the primary mapping, which may lead to the increase of high edit distance mappings in the distance profile we generated. With the knowledge of all the normalized edit distance calculated from minimap2's mapping result, we can now set a percentile cut-off in agreement with the user's preference (90% as the default, based on experimental results). With the selected percentile cut-off, we can separate reads mapped with minimap2 into two sets: reads that are mapped below the cut-off and reads that are mapped above the cut-off. If we only use raw edit distance, bamtools[40,41] supports splitting mapped reads via specific tags. However, with normalized edit distances, we instead use pysam, a wrapped python interface for htslib[40] to calculate the normalized edit distance and split the mapping result. We then extract all the reads above the cut-off and re-map them with NGMLR. Thanks to NGMLR's ability to accurately remap large edit distance reads, Vulcan is able to improve minimap2's high edit distance results (in some cases) into small edit distances read mappings. Finally, we combine the mapping results, specifically, the mapped reads from minimap2 below the cut-off and the remapped reads from NGMLR, into a final merged and sorted BAM file. Vulcan was written in Python 3.8 utilizing the multiprocessing module for multicore support. All versions of software and parameters utilized in this study are provided in Supplementary Table 1.

Computational Benchmarking

To evaluate Vulcan's computational performance, we assessed the fold speedup versus NGMLR and also compared Vulcan to minimap2. We chose subsampled Nanopore real data with 10X coverage as test data. In this experiment, we assessed our speed-up under different edit distance cut-offs in Vulcan and compared them with NGMLR and minimap2. We used the */usr/bin/time* command in Linux to record the program's wall and cpu time. Furthermore, in order to profile the individual steps of Vulcan, we also counted the time usage per step on Nanopore 10X coverage dataset with 90% and 60% percentile normalized edit distance cut-off. In the time benchmarking experiment, the read dataset size is roughly 62.6 GB fastq file and contains 6,190,519 reads.

Human Read Dataset Structural Variant Calling Evaluation

We used Vulcan on three long read human genome data sets: Nanopore Ultra Long reads, PacBio HiFi reads and PacBio CLR reads[34]. We downloaded these three long read types from Genome in a Bottle project[33], and mapped them to the human reference genome hg19. Furthermore, we used Sniffles to call

SVs from our mapping result, then compared with the ground truth that GIAB provided through truvari(v2.0.0-dev)[34].

Sniffles[2] allows users to define the minimum number of reads supported for the structural variation (SV) calling; we set that parameter as 2 and then use bcftools[42] to further filter the minimum supported read number to achieve the optimal F1 score. We set the minimum read support to be the same for all three methods when the coverage and read type is the same, and the optimal F1 score was preferentially selected for both minimap2 and NGMLR.

The experiment was performed on an Intel(R) Xeon(R) Gold 5218 CPU @ 2.00GHz with 64 threads with Ubuntu 18.04 LTS. Total RAM 300GB.

Declarations

List of abbreviations

Normalized edit distance: normalized edit distance can be expressed as E = e/l, where *e* is the edit distance and *l* is the alignment length. SV: structural variants ONT: Oxford Nanopore Technologies PacBio CLR: PacBio Continuous long read PacBio HiFi: PacBio circular consensus sequencing SNV: Single nucleotide variation INS: insertions DEL: deletions TRA: translocations DUP: duplications INV: inversions

Ethical Approval

Not applicable.

Funding

YF is supported in part by funds from Rice University and Ken Kennedy Institute Computer Science Engineering Enhancement Fellowship, funded by the Rice Oil Gas HPC Conference. T.T. is supported by NIH (1P01AI152999-01). MM and FJS are supported by NIH (UM1 HG008898).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing Interests

The author(s) declare that they have no competing interests.

Author's Contributions

All authors conceived the experiment(s), analyzed the results and reviewed the manuscript. YF and MM conducted the experiment(s). F.S. and T.J.T. managed the project.

Acknowledgments

The authors want to thank Dreycey Albin for contributing critical discussion.

Availability of source code and requirements

- Project name: Vulcan
- Project home page: https://gitlab.com/treangenlab/vulcan
- Operating system(s): Unix
- Programming language: Python
- Other requirements: Python 3.8 or higher, conda 4.10.1
- License: MIT

Data Availability

- The Saccharomyces cerevisiae 288C reference genome for reads and SV simulation, taxonomy ID 559292 in NCBI taxonomy database, is available at: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Taxonomy/Browser/wwwtax.cgi?id=559292
- Simulated reads are available at: https://rice.box.com/v/vulcandatasimulation.
- The Ashkenazim Trio HG002 raw sequence data, and ground truth sets of structural variations are available at https://ftp.ncbi.nih.gov/giab/ftp/data/AshkenazimTrio/

References

1. Sedlazeck FJ, Lee H, Darby CA, Schatz MC. Piercing the dark matter: bioinformatics of long-range sequencing and mapping. *Nat Rev Genet*. 19:329–462018;

2. Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, Smolka M, Fang H, Nattestad M, von Haeseler A, et al.. Accurate detection of complex structural variations using single-molecule sequencing. *Nat Methods*. 15:461–82018;

3. Goodwin S, McPherson JD, McCombie WR. Coming of age: ten years of next-generation sequencing technologies. *Nat Rev Genet*. 17:333–512016;

4. Nattestad M, Goodwin S, Ng K, Baslan T, Sedlazeck FJ, Rescheneder P, et al.. Complex rearrangements and oncogene amplifications revealed by long-read DNA and RNA sequencing of a breast cancer cell line. *Genome Res.* 28:1126–352018;

5. De Coster W, Weissensteiner MH, Sedlazeck FJ. Towards population-scale long-read sequencing. *Nat Rev Genet*. Nature Publishing Group; :1–162021;

6. Mahmoud M, Gobet N, Cruz-Dávalos DI, Mounier N, Dessimoz C, Sedlazeck FJ. Structural variant calling: the long and the short of it. Genome Biology.

7. Cameron DL, Di Stefano L, Papenfuss AT. Comprehensive evaluation and characterisation of short read general-purpose structural variant calling software. Nature Communications.

8. Wenger AM, Peluso P, Rowell WJ, Chang P-C, Hall RJ, Concepcion GT, et al.. Accurate circular consensus long-read sequencing improves variant detection and assembly of a human genome. *Nat Biotechnol*. 37:1155–622019;

9. Payne A, Holmes N, Rakyan V, Loose M. Whale watching with BulkVis: A graphical viewer for Oxford Nanopore bulk fast5 files.

10. Xiao T, Zhou W. The third generation sequencing: the advanced approach to genetic diseases. *Transl Pediatr*. 9:163–732020;

11. Jeffares DC, Jolly C, Hoti M, Speed D, Shaw L, Rallis C, et al.. Transient structural variations have strong effects on quantitative traits and reproductive isolation in fission yeast. *Nat Commun.* 8:140612017;

12. Beck CR, Carvalho CMB, Akdemir ZC, Sedlazeck FJ, Song X, Meng Q, et al.. Megabase Length Hypermutation Accompanies Human Structural Variation at 17p11.2. *Cell*. 176:1310–24.e102019;

13. Alonge M, Wang X, Benoit M, Soyk S, Pereira L, Zhang L, et al.. Major Impacts of Widespread Structural Variation on Gene Expression and Crop Improvement in Tomato. *Cell*. 182:145–61.e232020;

14. Smolka M, Rescheneder P, Schatz MC, von Haeseler A, Sedlazeck FJ. Teaser: Individualized benchmarking and optimization of read mapping results for NGS data. *Genome Biol*. 16:2352015;

15. Smith TF, Waterman MS. Identification of common molecular subsequences. *J Mol Biol*. Elsevier BV; 147:195–71981;

16. Chaisson MJ, Tesler G. Mapping single molecule sequencing reads using basic local alignment with successive refinement (BLASR): application and theory. *BMC Bioinformatics*. 13:2382012;

17. Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM.

18. Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. *Bioinformatics*. 34:3094–1002018;

19. Gotoh O. Optimal sequence alignment allowing for long gaps. Bull Math Biol. 52:359-731990;

20. Jain C, Dilthey A, Koren S, Aluru S, Phillippy AM. A Fast Approximate Algorithm for Mapping Long Reads to Large Reference Databases. *J Comput Biol.* 25:766–792018;

21. Kiełbasa SM, Wan R, Sato K, Horton P, Frith MC. Adaptive seeds tame genomic sequence comparison. *Genome Res.* 21:487–932011;

22. Sović I, Šikić M, Wilm A, Fenlon SN, Chen S, Nagarajan N. Fast and sensitive mapping of nanopore sequencing reads with GraphMap. *Nat Commun.* 7:113072016;

23. Ren J, Chaisson MJP. Ira: the Long Read Aligner for Sequences and Contigs.

24. Duret L, Arndt PF. The impact of recombination on nucleotide substitutions in the human genome. *PLoS Genet*. 4:e10000712008;

25. Eisenberg E, Levanon EY. Human housekeeping genes, revisited. Trends Genet. 29:569-742013;

26. Tautz D, Domazet-Lošo T. The evolutionary origin of orphan genes. Nature Reviews Genetics.

27. Chin C-S, Wagner J, Zeng Q, Garrison E, Garg S, Fungtammasan A, et al.. A diploid assembly-based benchmark for variants in the major histocompatibility complex. *Nat Commun*. Nature Publishing Group; 11:1–92020;

28. Wu Z, Sheng H, Chen Y, Tang J, Liu Y, Chen Q, et al.. Copy number variation of the Lipoprotein(a) (LPA) gene is associated with coronary artery disease in a southern Han Chinese population. *Int J Clin Exp Med.* e-Century Publishing Corporation; 7:36692014;

29. Yang R, Van Etten JL, Dehm SM. Indel detection from DNA and RNA sequencing data with transIndel. *BMC Genomics*. 19:2702018;

30. Sahlin K, Medvedev P. De Novo Clustering of Long-Read Transcriptome Data Using a Greedy, Quality Value-Based Algorithm. *J Comput Biol.* 27:472–842020;

31. Jiang T, Liu B, Li J, Wang Y. rMETL: sensitive mobile element insertion detection with long read realignment. *Bioinformatics*. 35:3484–62019;

32. Yang C, Chu J, Warren RL, Birol I. NanoSim: nanopore sequence read simulator based on statistical characterization. *Gigascience*. 6:1–62017;

33. Zook JM, Catoe D, McDaniel J, Vang L, Spies N, Sidow A, et al.. Extensive sequencing of seven human genomes to characterize benchmark reference materials. Sci Data. p. 160025.

34. Zook JM, Hansen NF, Olson ND, Chapman L, Mullikin JC, Xiao C, et al.. A robust benchmark for detection of germline large deletions and insertions. *Nat Biotechnol*. 38:1347–552020;

35. lh: lh3/seqtk. https://github.com/lh3/seqtk Accessed 2021 May 28.

36. Li H, Ruan J, Durbin R. Mapping short DNA sequencing reads and calling variants using mapping quality scores. Genome Research.

37. Jain C, Rhie A, Zhang H, Chu C, Walenz BP, Koren S, et al.. Weighted minimizer sampling improves long read mapping. *Bioinformatics*. 36:i111–82020;

38. Prodanov T, Bansal V. Sensitive alignment using paralogous sequence variants improves long-read mapping and variant calling in segmental duplications. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 48:e1142020;

39. Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. Nat Methods. 9:357–92012;

40. Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, et al.. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics*. 25:2078–92009;

41. Barnett DW, Garrison EK, Quinlan AR, Stromberg MP, Marth GT. BamTools: a C API and toolkit for analyzing and managing BAM files. Bioinformatics.

42. Danecek P, McCarthy SA. BCFtools/csq: haplotype-aware variant consequences. *Bioinformatics*. 33:2037–92017;

Supplementary Info

Supplementary Table 1: Programs, program versions, and parameters used in this study.

Program	Version	Usage	Parameters
Vulcan	1.0.2	read mapping	vulcan[] -t threads
minimap2	2.17-r941	simulated ONT read mapping	minimap2 -x map-ont -a
minimap2	2.17-r941	Human ONT read mapping	minimap2 -x map-ont -a -z 600,200
minimap2	2.17-r941	Human PacBio HiFi read mapping	minimap2 -a -k 19 -O 5,56 -E 4,1 -B 5 -z 400,50 -r 2k –eqx –secondary=no
minimap2	2.17-r941	Human Pacbio CLR read mapping	minimap2 -x map-pb -a –eqx -L -O 5,56 -E 4,1 -B 5 –secondary=no -z 400,50 -r 2k -Y
NGMLR	0.2.7	read mapping	NGMLR -x[ont pacbio] -bam-fix
Sniffles	1.0.12	SV calling	sniffles -s 2
bcftools	1.7	VCF filtering	bcftools view -i (INFO/SVLEN>=50 INFO/SVLEN<=-50 INFO/SVLEN=0 INFO/SVLEN=1)&(I NFO/RE={read_num})
Truvari	v2.0.0-dev	SV benchmarking	truvari bench -b {GIAB_vcf} -c {sorted_gzipped_vcf} -f {reference_genome} -passonly-giabreport -pctsim=0 -multimatch -includebed {GIAB_bed} -o {benchmarking_output}
SURVIVOR	1.0.7	SV simulation	SURVIVOR simSV {reference_genome} {parameter_file} 0.01 0 {output_prefix}

Supplementary Table 2: Accuracy, Precision and F1 score of simulated data.

Nanopore Simulated 10X	Recall	Precision	F1
minimap2	81%	62%	70.24%
NGMLR	92%	62%	74.24%
Vulcan 80%	95%	74%	83.13%

Supplementary Figure 1: Wall time benchmarking of Vulcan, NGMLR and minimap2 on Nanopore 10X datasets



A wall time benchmarking has been performed to compare the performance of three different methods. From the chart we can infer that Vulcan takes less than 2/5 time than NGMLR. The experiment was performed on a Nanopore 10X subsmaple real dataset from the GIAB project.

Supplementary Figure 2: CPU time benchmarking of Vulcan, NGMLR and minimap2 on PacBio 20X datasets



Vulcan achieves an approximately linear acceleration with the increase of the cut-off percentile. With a 90% percentile cut-off, Vulcan only takes about 1/4 of NGMLR's runtime. The experiment was performed on a PacBio CLR 20X subsmapled real dataset from the GIAB project.

Reviewer responses

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material Vulcan_reviewer_responses.pdf Revised manuscript (clean)

Click here to access/download Supplementary Material vulcan_black.pdf



Todd J. Treangen, Ph.D Assistant Professor, Computer Science Rice University, Houston, TX www.treangenlab.com

Tuesday, Jul 22, 2021

Dear Dr. Zauner

Many thanks for the opportunity to resubmit our manuscript titled "Vulcan: Improved long-read mapping and structural variant calling via dual-mode alignment" to GigaScience. I am happy to report that we have wrapped up all revisions and experiments in response to the extensive reviewer feedback. Specifically, we have modified the time benchmarking approach in the experiment and improved the manuscript taking into account each of the reviewers comments.

Summary of our new time benchmarking additions:

- A new time benchmarking section has been added to the manuscript to convey a more accurate runtime comparison between Vulcan, minimap2 and NGMLR. As reviewer #1 suggested, we added wall time comparison with Nanopore dataset (10X coverage) in supplementary. We have used the GNU time program (/usr/bin/time) to track Vulcan, minimap2 and NGMLR's runtime and report them on the paper.
- However, the outcome of the wall time comparison does not match the description of the reviewer. On the Nanopore 10X dataset, Vulcan represents only a 3X slowdown in runtime of minimap2 and has an approximately 3X speed up over NGMLR. On the real PacBio dataset, CPU time of Vulcan is 2X times slower than minimap2 while representing over 4X speed up compared to NGMLR (just as our paper described with real PacBio dataset).
- As mentioned in the detailed response, we believe that wall clock based time benchmarking is compromised by a myriad of factors (CPU and IO speed, other running processes, etc), which likely is the reason for the difference in our reported results.

In the section below, we include our point-by-point responses to all the reviewer comments (in **black**), in addition to highlighting all changes to the revised manuscript (in **blue**). We look forward to hearing back from you.

Sincerely,

Todd J Treangen, on behalf of all the co-authors