1 Supporting Information

2 Supplementary Figures

Supplementary Figure 1



- 3 Supplementary Fig. 1: Identification of mutations that suppress dauer phenotypes
- 4 with small effects on immunity in *daf-2(e1370)* mutants. (a) Percentage of 25°C
- 5 dauer formation of daf-2(e1370); daf-18(yh1) [daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1)], daf-16(yh2); daf-
- 6 2(-), and daf-16(yh3); daf-2(-) animals, which were identified from our mutagenesis

7 screen, and daf-2(-) animals that were used as a control ($n \ge 267$ for each condition, the 8 assays were performed at least twice). (**b**-**d**) Survival curves of daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1) (**b**), 9 daf-16(yh2); daf-2(-) (c), and daf-16(yh3); daf-2(-) (d) mutants on PA14 compared with 10 *daf-2(-)* mutant and wild-type (WT) worms (n = 180 for each condition). (e-h) Molecular 11 natures of yh1, yh2, and yh3 alleles identified from our mutagenesis screen (See 12 Supplementary Table 1 for details). (e) The locus of the *yh1* allele in *daf-18* and the 13 corresponding change in *C. elegans* DAF-18/PTEN protein. *yh1* mutation changes G to 14 A at the 2nd exon of *daf-18*, which causes the cysteine to tyrosine change at the location 15 of 150th amino acid in DAF-18/PTEN. Amino acid (aa) numbers are indicated at the top 16 of the protein domains. Phosphatase domain (58 aa - 230 aa), C2 domain (233 aa -17 530 aa), and PDZ-binding motif (PDZbm) are indicated as boxes. (f) Three-dimensional 18 structure of human PTEN¹. C105 resides in a region between two catalytic loops, WPD 19 loop and a highly-conserved core P loop. (g) Alignment of amino acid sequences of 20 human PTEN, mouse PTEN, *Drosophila* (fly) dPTEN isoform C, and C. elegans (worm) 21 DAF-18. A gray histogram represents the conservation of the sequences. (h) The loci of 22 yh2 and yh3 alleles in daf-16 isoform a [daf-16a] and the corresponding changes in C. 23 elegans DAF-16/FOXO protein. yh2 causes a nonsense mutation by a C to T transition 24 at the 2nd exon of *daf-16a*, resulting in premature termination at the 115th glutamine. *yh3* 25 causes a G to A transition at the 5' splicing site of intron 2, resulting in a predicted pre-26 mRNA splicing defect, leading to the acquisition of a premature stop codon. The 27 structural analysis showed that daf-16(yh2) and daf-16(yh3) mutations may prevent the 28 translation of the forkhead (FH) domain (173 aa - 213 aa and 224 aa - 241 aa) of DAF-29 16/FOXO due to generation of premature stop codons. See Supplementary Dataset 2

and 3 for additional repeats and statistical analysis for the survival and the dauer assay
data shown in this figure. See also Source Data for data points used for the derivation of
data.



33 Supplementary Fig. 2: daf-18(yh1) decreases pathogen resistance, lifespan, and 34 health span in daf-2(-) and wild-type animals. (a-c) The effects of daf-18(yh1) and 35 daf-18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)] on the lifespan of wild-type (WT) and daf-2(e1370) [daf-2(-)] animals at 20°C (**a**), at 25°C (**b**), and at 20°C without FUDR treatment (**c**) ($n \ge 225$ for 36 37 each condition). daf-18(yh1) substantially decreased the lifespan of WT animals with an 38 extent similar to that caused by daf-18(-) at 20°C (four out of six trials). The lifespan 39 curves of WT, daf-2(-), daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1), and daf-2(-); daf-18(-) shown in panel a are 40 the same experimental sets shown in Fig. 1i, and those in **c** are the same experimental 41 sets shown in Supplementary Fig. 10b and Fig. 6b. (**d**-**g**) The effects of *daf-18(yh1)* and

42 daf-18(-) on pathogen resistance (n = 180 for each condition) (d), dauer formation at 27°C ($n \ge 790$ for each condition, from at least eight trials) (**e**), swimming span (n = 1043 44 for each condition, from one trial) (f), and feeding span (n = 10 for each condition, from 45 one trial) (g). The survival of $daf-18(\gamma h1)$ animals under PA14 infection was similar to 46 that of WT worms, whereas daf-18(-) worms displayed reduced survival (d). Both daf-47 18(yh1) (0.3%) and daf-18(-) (0%) mutations substantially suppressed dater phenotypes at 27°C; WT animals displayed 7.1% of dauers at 27°C, and *tax-4(p678)* 48 49 [tax-4(-)] animals, which display a constitutive dauer phenotype at 27°C², was used as a 50 positive control (e). In addition, daf-18(yh1) and daf-18(-) mutations similarly reduced 51 the swimming (motility) and feeding spans (f,g). Error bars indicate the standard error of 52 mean (s.e.m., ***p < 0.001, two-tailed Student's *t*-test relative to WT). See 53 Supplementary Dataset 2, 3, and 4 for additional repeats and statistical analysis for the 54 survival, dauer, and health span assay data shown in this figure. See also Source Data 55 for data points used for the derivation of data.

Supplementary Figure 3



56 Supplementary Fig. 3: *daf-18(yh1)* retains lifespan and pathogen resistance in

57 worms with reduced IIS in multiple conditions. (a-h) The effects of daf-18(yh1) and

58 daf-18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)] on lifespan at 20°C (n ≥ 270 for each condition; n = 156 for

- 59 *daf-2(e979)* animals) and pathogen resistance (n = 180 for each condition; n = 35 for
- 60 daf-2(e979) animals) of daf-2(RNAi) (**a**,**b**), daf-2(e1368) (**c**,**d**), daf-2(e979) (**e**,**f**), and
- 61 age-1(hx546) [age-1(-)] (g,h) animals. (i,j) Swimming rate (n = 30 for each condition,
- 62 body bends per minute in liquid measured from three independent trials) of day 0 (i) and
- 63 day 7 adult (j) wild-type (WT), age-1(-); daf-18(+), age-1(-); daf-18(yh1), and age-1(-);
- 64 *daf-18(-)* animals. Error bars indicate the standard error of mean (s.e.m., *p < 0.05, **p
- 65 < 0.01, n.s.: not significant, two-tailed Student's *t*-test relative to WT unless otherwise
- noted). See Supplementary Dataset 2 and 4 for additional repeats and statistical
- 67 analysis for the survival assay and health span assay data shown in this figure. See
- also Source Data for data points used for the derivation of data.



69 Supplementary Fig. 4: Differential effects of daf-18(yh1) and daf-18(-) mutations

70 on phosphatase activity and various downstream targets in reduced IIS

71 conditions. (a-c) Subcellular localization of mouse PHAKT for monitoring PIP₃ levels in 72 C. elegans. Representative images of rpl-28p::CFP::PHAKT-expressing animals treated 73 with control RNAi (a), daf-18 RNAi (b), or daf-2 RNAi (c). rpl-28p is a ubiquitous rpl-28 74 (ribosomal protein large subunit 28) gene promoter. Worms expressing CFP::PHAKT as 75 an extrachromosomal array, *yhEx94[rpl-28p::CFP::PH_{AKT}*, *odr-1p::RFP*]. Scale bar: 50 76 μ m. Arrowhead: membrane CFP::PH_{AKT}. (d) Quantification of panels **a-c** (n > 10 for 77 each condition, from three independent trials). Error bars indicate the standard error of 78 mean (s.e.m., ***p < 0.001, n.s.: not significant, two-tailed Student's *t*-test relative to 79 WT). (e) Quantification of fluorescent protein levels of integrated CFP::PHAKT worms, 80 yhls49[rpl-28p::CFP::PH_{AKT}; odr-1p::RFP] (n > 10 for each condition, from one trial). (f) 81 Dose-dependent changes in the protein phosphatase activity of human recombinant 82 PTEN (WT) and protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B (PTP1B, positive control). The 83 indicated amounts of recombinant proteins were tested for the protein phosphatase 84 assay (N = 3). Note that the same dose-dependent protein phosphatase activity curve of 85 WT is shown in Fig. 3h as well. (g) Overall magnitudes of gene expression changes 86 caused by daf-18(yh1) were smaller than those by daf-18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)] in daf-87 2(e1370) [daf-2(-)] mutants. Venn diagrams with gray area display overlaps between 88 differentially expressed genes. Box plots represent five-number summaries of 89 expression changes of genes that are included in the gray areas in the Venn diagrams. 90 Red dots in the box plots display mean expression changes. (h,i) Overrepresented 91 gene ontology (GO) terms of the genes that were upregulated (h) and downregulated (i) 92 in daf-2(-) mutants compared specifically with daf-2(-); daf-18(-) animals but not with

93 *daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1)* worms. *p* values were calculated by using hypergeometric test. (j,k) Innate immune response genes (curated as a GO term) were significantly induced 94 95 by daf-18(-) (i), but not by daf-18(yh1) (k), in the daf-2(-) mutant background. NES: 96 normalized enrichment score. q values were obtained by calculating the false discovery 97 rate corresponding to each NES. (I) Tissue enrichment analysis of genes upregulated in 98 daf-2(-) animals compared specifically with daf-2(-); daf-18(-) animals but not with daf-99 2(-); daf-18(yh1) animals, or generally compared with both daf-2(-); daf-18(-) and daf-100 2(-); daf-18(yh1) animals. (m) Tissue enrichment analysis of genes downregulated in 101 daf-2(-) animals compared specifically with daf-2(-); daf-18(-) animals but not with daf-102 2(-); daf-18(yh1) animals, or generally compared with both daf-2(-); daf-18(-) and daf-103 2(-); daf-18(yh1) animals. See Source Data for data points used for the derivation of 104 data.

Supplementary Figure 5



105 Supplementary Fig. 5: Confirmation of genes whose expression was greatly

106 affected by daf-18(-) compared with that by daf-18(yh1) in daf-2(-) mutants.

- 107 Relative mRNA levels of selected genes whose expression was highly affected by daf-
- 108 18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)] compared with that by daf-18(yh1) in daf-2(e1370) [daf-2(-)] using
- 109 quantitative RT-PCR ($N \ge 4$). (a) Among the top-ranked 50 genes that were upregulated
- 110 in *daf-2(-)* animals compared with *daf-2(-); daf-18(-)* (fold change > 2, Benjamini and
- 111 Hochberg (BH)-adjusted *p* value < 0.05), but marginally with *daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1)* worms

112 (fold change < 2), 19 genes (marked as $^{\#}$) displayed the same tendency as RNA-seq 113 data by using qRT-PCR. (b) Among the 50 genes that were robustly downregulated in 114 daf-2(-) animals compared with daf-2(-); daf-18(-) worms (fold change > 2, BH-adjusted 115 p value < 0.05) but slightly with daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1) animals (fold change < 2), 25 116 genes (marked as [#]) displayed the same tendency as RNA-seq data by using qRT-PCR. 117 [#]: daf-2(-) vs. daf-2(-); daf-18(-) and daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1) vs. daf-2(-); daf-18(-), p < 0.05. 118 RQ: relative quantity. Black dotted lines indicate the RQ value of *daf-2(-)* animals set as 1. Error bars indicate the standard error of mean (s.e.m., **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 119 120 0.001, two-tailed Student's *t*-test). See Supplementary Dataset 5 for the details of

121 primer sequences. See also Source Data for data points used for the derivation of data.

Supplementary Figure 6 а *pe407* P140S yh1 & syb499 C150Y e1375 premature stop after 573 58 530 962 aa 1 230 233 **DAF-18** PDZbm ok480 159 - 486 deletion nr2037 169 - 177 deletion Phosphatase domain C2 domain b 100 99.3 100 Dauer formation (%) 75. 50. 25. 0 daf-2(-); daf-18 (14A) (pe407) (syb499) (mg198) (ok480) (e1375) (mu397) + -(mu398) С ** 60 Change in survival on PA14 compared to WT (%) n.s. (pe407) = (ok480) (mu397) (mu398) (e1375) (yh1) (syb499) (mg198) -+ daf-2(-); daf-18 d е *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** n.s n.s. n.s. 100-100 % Animals 60 70 80 80 80 80 80 Cytosolic Low Intermediate Medium Ξ High Nuclear 20 0-0. (mg198) (+) (yh1) (mu397) WT (mg198) (e1375) (ok480) (e1375) -(mu398) (pe407) WT + (yh1) (ok480) -(mu398) (pe407) (mu397) daf-2(-); daf-18 daf-2(-); daf-18 Intestinal DAF-16::GFP Intestinal SKN-1::GFP

122 Supplementary Fig. 6: The effects of various *daf-18* mutant alleles on dauer

123 formation, immunity, and the subcellular localization of DAF-16/FOXO and SKN-124 1/NRF2 in daf-2(-) mutants. (a) Locations of daf-18 hypomorphs that were used for the experiments in the current work³⁻¹⁰. *pe407* was isolated from the mutagenesis screen as 125 126 a suppressor that restores the plasticity of salt chemotaxis in *casy-1(tm718)* mutant 127 backgrounds¹⁰. pe407 causes P140S change in the phosphatase domain of DAF-128 18/PTEN. yh1 (this study) and syb499 (CRISPR knock-in version of yh1) results in 129 C150Y change, at the phosphatase domain of DAF-18. Amino acid (aa) numbers are 130 indicated at the top of the protein domains. Phosphatase domain, C2 domain, and PDZ-131 binding motif (PDZbm) are indicated as boxes. *nr2037* (169 aa – 177 aa deletion)^{3,4} and 132 ok480 (159 aa – 486 aa deletion)⁷ are deletion alleles of daf-18, and e1375 has a 30 bp 133 insertion that introduces a premature stop codon at 573 aa^{5,8}. (**b**,**c**) The effects of 134 various *daf-18* mutant alleles on dauer formation at 25°C (n ≥ 287 for each condition, 135 from three to five independent trials; the assays were performed 96 hrs after eggs were 136 placed) (b) and the survival of worms on the pathogen (PA14) using a big-lawn assay (n 137 = 180 for each condition, from two independent trials) (c) in the daf-2(-) background. 138 Wild-type (WT), daf-2(e1370) [daf-2(-)], daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1), daf-2(-); daf-18(syb499), 139 daf-2(-); daf-18(mg198), daf-2(-); daf-18(ok480), daf-2(-); daf-18(e1375), daf-2(-); daf-140 18(mu397), daf-2(-); daf-18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)], daf-2(-); daf-18(mu398), and daf-2(-); 141 daf-18(pe407) animals were examined. Consistent with previous reports^{5,8,11}, daf-2(-); 142 daf-18(e1375) animals developed slowly but eventually became adults at the time dauer 143 formation was assayed. Horizontal lines in panel **c** represent mean values (**p < 0.01, 144 ****p* < 0.001, n.s.: not significant, two-tailed Student's *t*-test relative to WT). (**d**,**e**) The 145 effects of various *daf-18* mutant alleles on the subcellular localization of DAF-16::GFP

146 and SKN-1::GFP in daf-2(-) mutants. (d) Quantification of the subcellular localization of 147 DAF-16::GFP in the intestines of indicated strains. Cytosolic: predominant cytosolic 148 localization, intermediate: partial nuclear localization, nuclear: predominant nuclear 149 localization ($n \ge 28$ for each condition, from four to seven independent trials). (e) 150 Quantification of the subcellular localization of SKN-1::GFP in the intestinal cells of 151 indicated strains. Low: very dim GFP in the nuclei, medium: < 50% of the nuclei with 152 SKN-1::GFP, high: > 50% of the nuclei with SKN-1::GFP ($n \ge 144$ for each condition, 153 from four to eight independent trials). The quantification data of DAF-16::GFP 154 subcellular localization in WT, daf-2(-), daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1), and daf-2(-); daf-18(-) 155 animals shown in panel d are the same experimental sets shown in Fig. 5g, and those 156 in panel e are the same experimental sets shown in Fig. 5i. Error bars represent the 157 standard error of mean (s.e.m., **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001, n.s.: not significant, 158 Chi-squared test). See Supplementary Dataset 2 and 3 for additional repeats and 159 statistical analysis for the survival and dauer assay data shown in this figure. See also 160 Source Data for data points used for the derivation of data.

Supplementary Figure 7



161 Supplementary Fig. 7: Differential effects of daf-18(yh1) and daf-18(-) on the

162 expression of various target genes in worms with reduced insulin/IGF-1 signaling.

163 (a-c) Normalized enrichment of gene expression changes in daf-2(e1370) [daf-2(-)]

164 mutants compared to *daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1)* or *daf-2(-); daf-18(nr2037)* [*daf-18(-)*]

165 mutants. (a) Genes downregulated in *daf-2(-)* animals compared to various lifespan

- 166 mutants in the *daf-2(-)* background were used for the analysis. Shown are DAF-
- 167 16/FOXO¹², SKN-1/NRF2¹³, PMK-1/p38 MAP kinase¹⁴, HSF-1/heat shock factor 1¹⁵,
- 168 HLH-30/TFEB¹⁶, HEL-1/DEAD-box RNA helicase¹⁷, histone H3.3¹⁸, MATH-
- 169 33/deubiquitylating enzyme (at 25°C)¹⁹, PFD-6/prefoldin 6²⁰, RSKS-1/S6K²¹, SMG-

170 2/UPF1²², and SWSN-1/BAF155/170²³ target genes. (**b**-**c**) Genes upregulated (**b**) and 171 downregulated (**c**) in *daf-2(-)* animals compared to various alleles of *daf-16* mutants in 172 the *daf-2(-)* background were used for the analysis. Shown are data using *daf-16(mu86)*¹², *daf-16(mu86)*^a, *daf-16(mg54)*¹², *daf-16(mg54)*^a, *daf-16(mgDf50)*²⁴, *daf-16(tm5030)*¹², *daf-16(tm5032)*¹², and *daf-16(tm6659)*¹². Relative enrichment indicates 175 the difference of gene expression changes caused by *daf-18(yh1)* or *daf-18(-)*. *q* values 176 were obtained by calculating the false discovery rate corresponding to each normalized

177 enrichment. ^a: GSE111338 in GEO. See Supplementary Table 2 for details.



178 Supplementary Fig. 8: *daf-18(yh1)* partially retains the nuclear localization of DAF-

179 **16::GFP in various tissues of** *daf-2(-)* **mutants.** (a-c) Representative images of the

- neuronal (**a**), intestinal (**b**), and hypodermal (**c**) DAF-16::GFP localization in head, trunk,
- 181 and tail regions of *daf-2(e1370)* [*daf-2(-)*], *daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1)*, and *daf-2(-); daf-*
- 182 *18(nr2037)* [*daf-18(-)*] animals. Scale bar: 50 μm. (**d-f**) Quantification of data shown on
- panels **a-c**. The subcellular localization of DAF-16::GFP was scored as follows. Nuclear:

- 184 predominant nuclear localization, intermediate: partial nuclear localization, cytosolic:
- 185 predominant cytosolic localization ($n \ge 31$ for each condition, from three independent
- trials). Error bars represent the standard error of mean (s.e.m., **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001,
- 187 Chi-squared test relative to WT unless otherwise noted). See also Source Data for data
- 188 points used for the derivation of data.



189 Supplementary Fig. 9: DAF-18/PTEN downregulates SKN-1/NRF2 in animals with

190 reduced insulin/IGF-1 signaling. (a-d) The extent of gene expression changes

- 191 conferred by daf-18(yh1) and daf-18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)] in daf-2(e1370) [daf-2(-)]
- animals. Genes whose expression was upregulated (**a**,**b**) and downregulated (**c**,**d**) in
- 193 *daf-2(e1370)* [*daf-2(-)*] mutants compared to *daf-2(-); skn-1(zu67)* mutants are shown.
- 194 Data using *daf-2(e1368)* and *daf-2(-)* mutants¹³ were pooled. NES: normalized
- 195 enrichment score. *q* values were obtained by calculating the false discovery rate

- 196 corresponding to each NES. (e-j) Cumulative fraction of genes in an ascending order of
- 197 the extent of gene expression changes conferred by *daf-18(yh1)* and *daf-18(-)* in wild-
- 198 type animals. (**e**,**f**) Shown are genes whose expression was upregulated (**e**) and
- 199 downregulated (f) in wild-type worms compared to *skn-1(lax188)* [*skn-1(gf)*] mutants²⁵.
- 200 (g-j) Genes whose expression was upregulated (g,i) and downregulated (h,j) in wild-
- 201 type worms compared to the worms treated with *skn-1* RNAi are shown ^a: ²⁶; ^b: ²⁷. *p*
- 202 values were calculated by using two-tailed paired permutation test.



203 Supplementary Fig. 10: Effects of *daf-16* RNAi, *skn-1(gf)*, *skn-1(-)*, and *pmk-1*

204 RNAi on lifespan and pathogen resistance. (a-c) Effects of daf-16 RNAi [daf-16(-)] (n 205 = 120 for each condition) (**a**), *skn-1(lax188)* [*skn-1(gf*)] ($n \ge 295$ for each condition) (**b**), 206 skn-1(zj15) [skn-1(-)] (n \geq 270 for each condition) (c), and pmk-1 RNAi [pmk-1(-)] (n = 207 120 for each condition) (d) on the lifespan of wild-type (WT) and daf-2(e1370) [daf-2(-)] 208 worms. Please note that we used skn-1(zi15), a point mutation that causes mis-splicing 209 and reduces the mRNA levels of skn-1, because a strong skn-1 mutant allele, skn-210 1(mq570), causes sickness and short lifespan²⁸; indeed, we found that mq570211 substantially increased vulval rupture phenotypes in worms: 6% in WT and 22% in daf-212 2(-); daf-18(nr2037) [daf-18(-)] backgrounds. (e,f) The effects of pmk-1 RNAi (n \geq 108 213 for each condition) on the survival of daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1) and daf-2(-); daf-18(-) (e), and 214 WT and daf-2(-) worms (f) against PA14 infection. Although daf-2(-); daf-18(-) animals 215 displayed increased PMK-1 activity compared with daf-2(-) or daf-2(-); daf-18(yh1)

216 mutants shown in Fig. 5, reduced DAF-16/FOXO activity in *daf-2(-); daf-18(-)* animals 217 may have decreased the survival of the worms on PA14. These results are consistent 218 with a previous report showing that genetic inhibition of DAF-16/FOXO, which acts 219 downstream of DAF-18/PTEN, increases PMK-1 target gene expression in *daf-2* 220 mutants¹⁴. In contrast to lifespan results shown in Fig. 6d, we found that PMK-1 was 221 required for the enhanced pathogen resistance of *daf-2(-)* and *daf-2(-)*; *daf-18(yh1)* 222 animals and for the normal survival of WT upon PA14 infection. Our data indicate that 223 reducing the activity of PMK-1 can extend lifespan in *daf-2(-); daf-18(-)* animals, while 224 decreasing immunity in these animals. These data are consistent with a previous report 225 showing that lifespan and immunity can be regulated in opposite directions by one 226 genetic factor²⁹. See Supplementary Dataset 2 for statistical analysis and additional 227 repeats for the data shown in this figure. See also Source Data for data points used for 228 the derivation of data.

229 Supplementary References

- Rodríguez-Escudero, I. *et al.* A comprehensive functional analysis of PTEN
 mutations: implications in tumor- and autism-related syndromes. *Hum Mol Genet* **20**, 4132-4142, doi:10.1093/hmg/ddr337 (2011).
- 233 2 Ailion, M. & Thomas, J. H. Dauer formation induced by high temperatures in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics* **156**, 1047-1067 (2000).
- Gil, E. B., Malone Link, E., Liu, L. X., Johnson, C. D. & Lees, J. A. Regulation of
 the insulin-like developmental pathway of *Caenorhabditis elegans* by a homolog
 of the *PTEN* tumor suppressor gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96, 2925-2930,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.96.6.2925 (1999).
- Mihaylova, V. T., Borland, C. Z., Manjarrez, L., Stern, M. J. & Sun, H. The PTEN
 tumor suppressor homolog in *Caenorhabditis elegans* regulates longevity and
 dauer formation in an insulin receptor-like signaling pathway. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96, 7427-7432, doi:10.1073/pnas.96.13.7427 (1999).
- Ogg, S. & Ruvkun, G. The *C. elegans* PTEN homolog, DAF-18, acts in the insulin
 receptor-like metabolic signaling pathway. *Mol Cell* 2, 887-893,
 doi:10.1016/s1097-2765(00)80303-2 (1998).
- Solari, F. *et al.* The human tumour suppressor PTEN regulates longevity and dauer formation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Oncogene* 24, 20-27, doi:10.1038/sj.onc.1207978 (2005).
- C. elegans Deletion Mutant Consortium, large-scale screening for targeted
 knockouts in the Caenorhabditis elegans genome. G3 (Bethesda) 2, 1415-1425,
 doi:10.1534/g3.112.003830 (2012).
- 2528Vowels, J. J. & Thomas, J. H. Genetic analysis of chemosensory control of dauer253formation in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **130**, 105-123 (1992).
- Lin, K., Hsin, H., Libina, N. & Kenyon, C. Regulation of the *Caenorhabditis elegans* longevity protein DAF-16 by insulin/IGF-1 and germline signaling. *Nat Genet* 28, 139-145, doi:10.1038/88850 (2001).
- 257 10 Ohno, H. *et al.* Role of synaptic phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase in a behavioral learning response in *C. elegans. Science* 345, 313-317, doi:10.1126/science.1250709 (2014).
- 26011Larsen, P. L., Albert, P. S. & Riddle, D. L. Genes that regulate both development261and longevity in Caenorhabditis elegans. Genetics 139, 1567-1583 (1995).
- 262 12 Chen, A. T. *et al.* Longevity Genes Revealed by Integrative Analysis of Isoform263 Specific *daf-16/FoxO* Mutants of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* 201, 613264 629, doi:10.1534/genetics.115.177998 (2015).
- Ewald, C. Y., Landis, J. N., Porter Abate, J., Murphy, C. T. & Blackwell, T. K.
 Dauer-independent insulin/IGF-1-signalling implicates collagen remodelling in longevity. *Nature* **519**, 97-101, doi:10.1038/nature14021 (2015).
- Troemel, E. R. *et al.* p38 MAPK regulates expression of immune response genes
 and contributes to longevity in *C. elegans. PLoS Genet* 2, e183,
 doi:10.1371/journal.pgen.0020183 (2006).
- 271 15 Lee, Y. et al. Reduced insulin/IGF1 signaling prevents immune aging via ZIP-

- 272 10/bZIP-mediated feedforward loop. *J Cell Biol* 220, doi:10.1083/jcb.202006174
 273 (2021).
- Lin, X. X. *et al.* DAF-16/FOXO and HLH-30/TFEB function as combinatorial
 transcription factors to promote stress resistance and longevity. *Nat Commun* 9, 4400, doi:10.1038/s41467-018-06624-0 (2018).
- 277 17 Seo, M. *et al.* RNA helicase HEL-1 promotes longevity by specifically activating
 278 DAF-16/FOXO transcription factor signaling in *Caenorhabditis elegans. Proc Natl* 279 Acad Sci U S A **112**, E4246-4255, doi:10.1073/pnas.1505451112 (2015).
- Piazzesi, A. *et al.* Replication-Independent Histone Variant H3.3 Controls Animal
 Lifespan through the Regulation of Pro-longevity Transcriptional Programs. *Cell Rep* 17, 987-996, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2016.09.074 (2016).
- Heimbucher, T. *et al.* The Deubiquitylase MATH-33 Controls DAF-16 Stability and
 Function in Metabolism and Longevity. *Cell Metab* 22, 151-163,
 doi:10.1016/j.cmet.2015.06.002 (2015).
- 28620Son, H. G. *et al.* Prefoldin 6 mediates longevity response from heat shock factor2871 to FOXO in *C. elegans. Genes Dev* **32**, 1562-1575,
- 288 doi:10.1101/gad.317362.118 (2018).
- 289 21 Chen, D. *et al.* Germline signaling mediates the synergistically prolonged
 290 longevity produced by double mutations in *daf-2* and *rsks-1* in *C. elegans. Cell*291 *Rep* 5, 1600-1610, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2013.11.018 (2013).
- Son, H. G. *et al.* RNA surveillance via nonsense-mediated mRNA decay is crucial
 for longevity in *daf-2*/insulin/IGF-1 mutant *C. elegans. Nat Commun* 8, 14749,
 doi:10.1038/ncomms14749 (2017).
- Riedel, C. G. *et al.* DAF-16 employs the chromatin remodeller SWI/SNF to
 promote stress resistance and longevity. *Nat Cell Biol* **15**, 491-501,
 doi:10.1038/ncb2720 (2013).
- Kumar, N. *et al.* Genome-wide endogenous DAF-16/FOXO recruitment dynamics
 during lowered insulin signalling in *C. elegans. Oncotarget* 6, 41418-41433,
 doi:10.18632/oncotarget.6282 (2015).
- Nhan, J. D. *et al.* Redirection of SKN-1 abates the negative metabolic outcomes
 of a perceived pathogen infection. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **116**, 22322-22330,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1909666116 (2019).
- 30426Oliveira, R. P. et al. Condition-adapted stress and longevity gene regulation by305Caenorhabditis elegans SKN-1/Nrf. Aging Cell 8, 524-541, doi:10.1111/j.1474-3069726.2009.00501.x (2009).
- 307 27 Steinbaugh, M. J. *et al.* Lipid-mediated regulation of SKN-1/Nrf in response to germ cell absence. *Elife* **4**, doi:10.7554/eLife.07836 (2015).
- Lehrbach, N. J. & Ruvkun, G. Proteasome dysfunction triggers activation of SKN-1A/Nrf1 by the aspartic protease DDI-1. *Elife* **5**, doi:10.7554/eLife.17721 (2016).
- Amrit, F. R. G. *et al.* The longevity-promoting factor, TCER-1, widely represses stress resistance and innate immunity. *Nat Commun* **10**, 3042, doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10759-7 (2019)
- 313 doi:10.1038/s41467-019-10759-z (2019).

314 Supplementary Tables

315 **Supplementary Table 1. Molecular natures of mutant alleles identified from our**

316 mutagenesis screen.

Allele	yh1	yh2	yh3
Gene	daf-18	daf-16	daf-16
Base change	G to A	C to T	G to A
Function	C150Y	Q115(STOP)	Splice donor
Isoforms affected	All	a/c/d/f/h/i/k/l/m	a/c/d/f/h/i/k/l/m
Domain affected	Phosphatase domain	Forkhead domain	Forkhead domain

317

318 Supplementary Table 2. References for multiple target genes in *daf-2* mutants that

319 were used for analysis in Fig. 5 and Supplementary Fig. 7.

Genes	Strains	Figure	Reference	
DAF-16- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(mu86); daf- 2(e1370)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a,b	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2015	
	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(mg54); daf- 2(e1370) daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(tm6659); daf- 2(e1370) daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(tm5032); daf- 2(e1370) daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(tm5030); daf- 2(e1370)	Supplementary Fig. 7b,c		
	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(mu86); daf- 2(e1370) daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(mg54); daf- 2(e1370)	Supplementary Fig. 7b,c	GSE111338 in GEO	
	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-16(mgDf50); daf- 2(e1370)	Supplementary Fig. 7b,c	Kumar <i>et al.</i> , 2015	
SKN-1- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-2(e1370); skn- 1(zu67) daf-2(e1368) vs. daf-2(e1368); skn- 1(zu67)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Ewald <i>et al.</i> , 2015	
PMK-1- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1368) vs. daf-2(e1368); pmk- 1(km25)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Troemel <i>et al.</i> , 2006	

HSF-1- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. hsf-1(RNAi); daf- 2(e1370)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2021
HLH-30- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-2(e1370); hlh- 30(tm1978)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Lin <i>et al.</i> , 2018
HEL-1- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. hel-1(gk148684); daf- 2(e1370)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Seo <i>et al.</i> , 2015
Histone H3.3- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-2(e1370); his- 72(tm2066); his-71(ok2289)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Piazzesi <i>et al.</i> , 2016
MATH-33- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-2(e1370); math- 33(tm3561) at 25°C (restrictive temperature)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Heimbucher <i>et</i> <i>al</i> ., 2015
PFD-6- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. pfd-6(gk493446); daf- 2(e1370)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Son <i>et al.</i> , 2018
RSKS-1- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-2(e1370); rsks- 1(ok1255)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Chen <i>et al.</i> , 2013
SMG-2- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. smg-2(qd101); daf- 2(e1370)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Son <i>et al.</i> , 2017
SWSN-1- induced/repressed	daf-2(e1370) vs. daf-2(e1370); swsn- 1(os22)	Fig. 5a and Supplementary Fig. 7a	Riedel <i>et al.</i> , 2013