1	Supplementary data for
2	
3	Offspring production of ovarian organoids derived from spermatogonial
4	stem cells by defined factors with chromatin reorganization
5	Huacheng Luo ¹ , Xiaoyong Li ¹ , Geng G. Tian ¹ , Dali Li, Changliang Hou, Xinbao Ding,
6	Lin Hou, Qifeng Lyu, Yunze Yang, Austin J. Cooney, Wenhai Xie, Ji Xiong, Hu Wang,
7	Xiaodong Zhao [*] , Ji Wu [*]
8	¹ These authors contributed equally to this work
10	Correspondence: jiwu@situ.edu.cn : xiaodongzhao@situ.edu.cn
11	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	



Fig. S1. Schematic representation of experimental protocol



Fig. S2. Characterization of spermatogonial stem cells from neonatal mice. (A)
Representative example of spermatogonial stem cell (SSC) purification by
fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). (B) Merge of bright field and fluorescence

39 images of SSCs after purification by FACS. (C) Merge of bright field and fluorescence microscopies of cultured SSCs. (D, E) Cytogenetic analysis by G-band 40 staining showing that SSCs possessed a normal karyotype (40, XY). (F) Gene 41 expression profiles of SSCs. M, 100 bp DNA marker; lane 1, SSCs; lane 2, 42 43 Embryonic stem cells (ESCs); lane 3, mock-transcribed SSC RNA samples. (G-J) Cultured SSCs were positive for EGFP (G) and MVH (mouse vasa homologue, 44 45 expressed exclusively in germ cells) (H) staining. Cells were counterstained with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) (I). (K, L) Global gene expression profiles of 46 SSCs and ESCs. (K) Scatter plots of gene expression values in SSCs versus ESCs. 47 Average expression levels of each gene (a dot on scatter plots) were calculated from 48 three independent experiments. Genes whose expression level was at least two times 49 greater in SSCs are shown in red, and genes whose expression level was at least two 50 times greater in ESCs are shown in green. (L) Clustering analysis of some 51 pluripotency-related genes and germ cell markers between SSCs and ESCs. (M-P) 52 DMR methylation pattern of H19 (M), Igf2r (N), Rasgrf1 (O), and Peg10 (P) regions. 53 DNA methylation levels were analyzed by bisulfite genomic sequencing. Black 54 circles represent methylated cytosine-guanine sites (CpGs), and white circles 55 represent unmethylated CpGs. The percentage of methylated CpG sites is shown 56 beside the map. Scale bars: 50 µm (**B**, **C**), 30 µm (**G**-**J**). 57



Fig. S3. Offspring derived from female germline stem cells. (A) Example of female 59 germ cells isolated by magnetic activated cell sorting (MACS) with an anti-fragilis 60 antibody. (B) Representative examples of female germline stem cell (FGSC) 61 purification by FACS. (C) Representative morphology of ovaries from recipients of 62 FGSC transplantation. (D) GFP-positive (green, arrows) oocytes in recipient ovaries 63 at 6-8 weeks after transplantation of Pou5f1/GFP transgenic FGSCs. (E) Oocytes 64 (arrows) in a wild-type ovary did not show a GFP signal. (F) Example of offspring 65 from premature ovarian failure (POF) recipient mice transplanted with Pou5f1/GFP 66 transgenic FGSCs. (G) Example of Southern blotting (see above). Lanes 1, 2, and 6, 67 transgenic mice; lanes 3–5, wild-type mice. Scale bars, 10µm (A) and 100 µm (C-E). 68 69



0.5



85 86

Fig. S5. Screening the critical imprinted genes and transcription factor genes required for SSC conversion. (A) Methylation analysis at paternally imprinted loci (H19 and 87 Rasgrf1) and maternally imprinted loci (Igf2r, Snrpn, and Peg10) in SSCs, iGSCs 88 (induced by 6Gs, Stella, H19, Zfp57, Rasgrf1, Plzf, and Zfp42), and FGSCs. (B) 89 Representative merged bright field and fluorescence images of ovarian organoids. I, 90 91 Ovarian organoids formed by FGSCs co-cultured with somatic cells of gonads. II, Ovarian organoids formed by iGSCs induced by 6Gs co-cultured with somatic cells of 92 93 gonads. III, Withdrawal of *Rasgrf1* from 6Gs, and ovarian organoids formed by iGSCs induced by remaining in 5Gs (Stella, H19, Zfp57, Plzf, and Zfp42) co-cultured 94 95 with somatic cells of gonads. IV, Withdrawal of Zfp42 from 5Gs, and ovarian organoids formed by iGSCs induced by remaining in 4Gs (Stella, H19, Zfp57, and 96 97 Plzf) co-cultured with somatic cells of gonads. V-VIII, Removal of Stella (V), H19 (VI), Zfp57 (VII), or Plzf (VIII) from 4Gs failed to form ovarian organoids. (C) 98 Methylation analysis at paternally imprinted loci (H19 and Rasgrf1) and maternally 99 100 imprinted loci (Igf2r, Snrpn and Peg10) in iGSCs induced by 4Gs. Scale bars: 100 101 μm.



Fig. S6. Validate RNA-Seq data. (A) Fast-QC data showing the position-specific
sequencing quality in each replicated sample of SSCs, iGSCs, and FGSCs. (B)
Correlation plots of each replicated sample of SSCs, iGSCs, and FGSCs.



Fig. S7. Validate Hi-C data and overview of whole genome interaction frequency heat
maps in SSCs, iGSCs, and FGSCs. (A) Correlation between Hi-C replicates of SSCs,
iGSCs, and FGSCs according to the normalized interaction frequency at a 400kb
resolution. R indicates Pearson's correlation coefficient. (B) Hieratical clustering of
PC1 values for the A/B compartment status in SSCs, iGSCs, and FGSCs. (C) verview
of whole genome interaction frequency heat maps in SSCs, iGSCs, and FGSCs.



Fig. S8. Gene expression dynamics during oogenesis in vitro determined by qRT-PCR.
Relative mRNA expression of genes in oogenesis of iGSCs (red) and control (blue)
are shown. 1, GSCs, 2, immature oocytes, and 3, Mature oocytes.





Fig. S9. Fertile offspring following in vitro production of functional oocytes from 123 iGSCs. (A) The mean body weight of offspring from in vitro production of functional 124 oocytes from iGSCs at postnatal week 0, 2, 4, 6, 8. (B) The mean number of F0, F1, 125 126 F2, F3 generation offspring from in vitro production of functional oocytes from iGSCs per litter. F0 (offspring from in vitro offspring production of functional oocytes 127 derived from iGSCs), F1(offspring produced by F0 generation), F2(offspring 128 produced by F1 generation), F3 (offspring produced by F2 generation). Control, wild-129 130 type mice.

Gene	Product	Primer Sequence (5'-3')		
	Size(bp)	1 ()		
mers for gene expr	ession dynamic during	g oogenesis <i>in vivo</i>		
Plzf	393	F: CACCAACCTTTCTTCTCCGGG		
		R: CCGTGTAGGCGTACTCCAGG		
Mvh	193	F: GCTTCATCAGATATTGGCGAGT		
		R: GCTTGGAAAACCCTCTGCTT		
Stra8	173	F:ACAACCTAAGGAAGGCAGTTTAC		
		R:GACCTCCTCTAAGCTGTTGGG		
Sycp3	206	F: AGCCAGTAACCAGAAAATTGAGC		
		R: CCACTGCTGCAACACATTCATA		
Zp1	164	F: CCCTGAGATTGGGTCAGCG		
		R: AGAGCAGTTATTCACCTCAAACC		
Zp3	186	F: ATGGCGTCAAGCTATTTCCTC		
		R: CGTGCCAAAAAGGTCTCTACT		
Gapdh	123	F: AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG		
		R: TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA		
mers for gene expr	ession dynamic in trai	nsplanted SSCs		
H19	106	F: GAACAGAAGCATTCTAGGCTGG		
		R: TTCTAAGTGAATTACGGTGGGTG		
Grb10	181	F: CCTGCCAAGCATGATGTCAAA		
		R: CCAGGCACCTCTCTAATCCCA		

Table S1. Details regarding the RT-PCR or qRT-PCR analysis of cells and tissues

Rasgrf1	71	F: GCCAGAAGACTTGACAACGCT
		R: TCAATCTACAGGGATGGTGGAAG
Zfp57	122	F: ATGGCAGCTAGGAAACAGTCT
		R: TGGTAAAGGGTCTTCTGTGTAGA
Igf2r	194	F: GGGAAGCTGTTGACTCCAAAA
		R: GCAGCCCATAGTGGTGTTGAA
Snrpn	156	F: TGCTACGTGGGGGGGAGAACTTG
		R: CCTGGGGGAATAGGTACACCTG
Gtl2	71	F: TCCTCACCTCCAATTTCCCCT
		R: GAGCGAGAGCCGTTCGATG
Peg10	162	F: TGCTTGCACAGAGCTACAGTC
		R: AGTTTGGGATAGGGGCTGCT
Stella	130	F: GACCCAATGAAGGACCCTGAA
		R: GCTTGACACCGGGGTTTAG
Zfp42	161	F: GGAGGAAATAGGTAGAGCGCA
		R: AGTGAGGCGATCCTGCTTTC
Plzf	150	F: CTGCGGAAAACGGTTCCTG
		R: GTGCCAGTATGGGTCTGTCT
Nanos2	183	F: CTGCAAGCACAATGGGGAGT
		R: CGTCGGTAGAGAGACTGCTG
Gapdh	123	F: AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG
		R: TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA

Primers for RT-PCR analysis of germ cell or germline stem cell markers

R: CTGATTTCGGTTTCATCCATCCT

Mvh	193	F: GCTTCATCAGATATTGGCGAGT			
		R: GCTTGGAAAACCCTCTGCTT			
Stella	386	F: ATCGCCATGGAGGAACCATC			
		R: AATGGCTCACTGTCCCGTTC			
Fragilis	183	F: GCCTATGCCTACTCCGTGAA			
		R: AGTGTGAAGGTTTTGAGCGTT			
Oct4	313	F: GGCGTTCTCTTTGGAAAGGTGTTC			
		R: CTCGAACCACATCCTTCTCT			
Plzf	150	F: CTGCGGAAAACGGTTCCTG			
		R: GTGCCAGTATGGGTCTGTCT			
Gapdh	222	F: CAGGAGAGTGTTTCCTCGTCC			
		R: TTCCCATTCTCGGCCTTGAC			

Primers for gene expression dynamic during oogenesis in vitro

Stella	130	F: GACCCAATGAAGGACCCTGAA
		R: GCTTGACACCGGGGTTTAG
Bmp15	100	F: TCCTTGCTGACGACCCTACAT
		R: TACCTCAGGGGGATAGCCTTGG
Sohlh1	181	F: CGGGCCAATGAGGATTACAGA
		R: TCCTGCGTTCTCTCTCGCT
Nobox	189	F: ATGGAACCTACGGAGAAGCTC
		R: CTCAGAGGTCTTCGACAGTGG
Zp1	164	F: CCCTGAGATTGGGTCAGCG
		R: AGAGCAGTTATTCACCTCAAACC

Npm2	162	F: GTGACCGAAACCACAGCAAAA
		R: CACACGGTTCACCTCCTCTT
Stra8	173	F:ACAACCTAAGGAAGGCAGTTTAC
		R:GACCTCCTCTAAGCTGTTGGG
Gdf9	116	F: TCTTAGTAGCCTTAGCTCTCAGG
		R: TGTCAGTCCCATCTACAGGCA
Sohlh2	154	F: GGGCAGGGCAGAGTAAATCTT
		R: CAAACGAGTTAGCAGCCAAAAG
Figla	238	F: CCGCCATCTGTAGGCTCAAG
		R: ACACAGCCGAGTATCTGTATGTA
Zp2	111	F: GTGGCAGAGGAAAGCATCTGT
		R: GACTGAGGAAGGCTTACTGAGT
Zarl	136	F: TCGGTGCAGTGTTCACTCG
		R: CTACGGTCTGCCAGGATCG
Kit	90	F: GCCACGTCTCAGCCATCTG
		R: GTCGCCAGCTTCAACTATTAACT
Ybx2	102	F: GGAGTTTGATGTCGTGGAAGG
		R: CGTCGATTAGGGGGCATAGCG
Lhx8	159	F: TCAGAGAGTGGTTACGGTCAC
		R: CTGCTCGTCACATACCAGCTC
Zp3	186	F: ATGGCGTCAAGCTATTTCCTC
		R: CGTGCCAAAAAGGTCTCTACT
Cpeb1	229	F: AAGGATTGCTGGGACAACCAA
		R: GGCCACGGGGAGATTCTTG

Gapdh 123		F: AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG				
		R: TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA				
Primers for gene expression profiles of SSCs						
Gapdh	458	F: GTCCCGTAGACAAAATGGTGA				
		R: TGCATTGCTGACAATCTTGAG				

Oct4	313	F: GGCGTTCTCTTTGGAAAGGTGTTC
		R: CTCGAACCACATCCTTCTCT
Rex-1	504	F: CACCATCCGGGATGAAAGTGAGAT
		R: ACCAGAAAATGTCGCTTTAGTTTC
Esg-1	175	F: GCCGTGCGTGGTGGATAAGC
		R: GCCAAACAGATATTTCAGCACCAGC
Stra8	441	F: TCACAGCCTCAAAGTGGCAGG
		R: GCAACAGAGTGGAGGAGGAGT
Utf1	643	F: GATGTCCCGGTGACTACGTCT
		R: TCGGGGAGGATTCGAAGGTAT
c-Ret	496	F: TGGAAGCAGGAGCCAGACA
		R: TGCTCTAATCCGCTTCTCCTG
Sox-2	297	F: TAGAGCTAGACTCCGGGCGATGA
		R: TTGCCTTAAACAAGACCACGAAA
Nanog	223	F: CAGGAGTTTGAGGGTAGCTC
		R: CGGTTCATCATGGTACAGTC

Primers for effects of specific gene expression levels examined by qRT-PCR

H19	106	F: GAACAGAAGCATTCTAGGCTGG
		R: TTCTAAGTGAATTACGGTGGGTG

Stella	130	F: GACCCAATGAAGGACCCTGAA			
		R: GCTTGACACCGGGGGTTTAG			
Zfp57	122	F: ATGGCAGCTAGGAAACAGTCT			
		R: TGGTAAAGGGTCTTCTGTGTAGA			
Rasgrf1	71	F: GCCAGAAGACTTGACAACGCT			
		R: TCAATCTACAGGGATGGTGGAAG			
Plzf	150	F: CTGCGGAAAACGGTTCCTG			
		R: GTGCCAGTATGGGTCTGTCT			
Zfp42	161	F: GGAGGAAATAGGTAGAGCGCA			
		R: AGTGAGGCGATCCTGCTTTC			
Gapdh	123	F: AGGTCGGTGTGAACGGATTTG			
		R: TGTAGACCATGTAGTTGAGGTCA			

133 F, forward primer; R, reverse primer

134

Table S2. Number of valid Hi-C reads

Call		RE Replicate	Total Unique Dead pairs			Duplication	Cis short range	Cis long rongo	Trons Contacts
Cell	RE		Total	Unique Read pairs	Valid HiC pairs (%)	Remaining Read	Cis short-range	Cis long-range	Trans Contacts
Туре			Reads	(%)		pairs	contacts(<20kb)(%)	contacts(>20kb)(%)	(%)
SSCs	Mbol	R1	358740361	192240210(53.6%)	67216789(18.7%)	60006537	46582509(7.8%)	21665016(36.1%)	33683271(56.1%)
	Mbol	R2	387705044	201493073(52.0%)	89231944(23.0%)	75753385	5161733(7.2%)	27178344(35.9%)	43413308(56.8%)
	Mbol	Comb	746445405	393733283(52.7%)	156448733(21.0%)	135759922	9819983(7.2%)	48843360(36.0%)	77096579(28.3%)
iGSCs	Mbol	R1	344949297	143578429(41.6%)	103008967(29.9%)	47297743	5533433(11.7%)	28381393(60.0%)	13382917(28.3%)
	Mbol	R2	359258923	152003521(42.3%)	108556313(30.2%)	48746196	5693933(11.7%)	29242847(60.0%)	13809416(28.3%)
	Mbol	Comb	704208220	295581950(42.0%)	211565280(30.0%)	96043939	11227366(11.7%)	57624240(60.0%)	27192333(28.3%)
FGSCs	Mbol	R1	352273659	155092100(44.0%)	94903762(27.0%)	46337591	6457272(13.9%)	27845481(60.0%)	12034838(26.0%)
	Mbol	R2	184015447	78861662(42.9%)	47072757(25.6%)	30656444	4232968(13.8%)	18433037(60.1%)	7990439(26.1%)
	Mbol	Comb	536289106	233953762(43.6%)	141976519(26.5%)	76994035	10690240(13.9%)	46278518(60.1%)	20025277(26.0%)

Source of	Number of	The DNA methylation levels (%)	
offspring mice	selected offspring	H19	Peg10
Wide type	WT-1	49.55	48.51
	WT-2	50.77	48.96
	WT-3	49.22	49.03
	I-1	49.17	51.13
	I-2	51.32	48.54
	I-3	51.08	49.16
	I-4	49.16	51.83
ICSCa	I-5	49.00	48.77
	I-6	49.68	50.98
	I-7	50.33	50.75
	I-8	51.71	49.66
	I-9	48.52	49.53
	I-10	49.31	49.19

Table S2. The DNA methlytion analysis of offspring derived from iGSCs

- -

1

Table S4. Number of offspring following in vitro offspring production of functional oocytes

derived from iGSCs				
Group	Number of male	Number of female	Male to female	
	offspring	offspring	ratio	
2 ♂ (F0) × 4 ♀ (WT)	73	78	0.94	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (WT) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{+} (F0)$	73	77	0.95	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (F0) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{+} (F0)$	78	71	1.10	
$2 \stackrel{{}_{\sim}}{{}_{\sim}} (F1) \times 4 \stackrel{{}_{\sim}}{{}_{\leftarrow}} (WT)$	73	76	0.96	
$2 \stackrel{{}_{\sim}}{\circ} (WT) \times 4 \stackrel{{}_{\sim}}{\rightarrow} (F1)$	81	78	1.04	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (F1) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow} (F1)$	77	75	1.03	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (F2) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow} (WT)$	76	74	1.03	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (WT) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{\downarrow} (F2)$	71	73	0.97	
$2 \stackrel{\wedge}{\bigcirc} (F2) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{+} (F2)$	75	81	0.93	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (F3) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{+} (WT)$	69	76	0.91	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (WT) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{+} (F3)$	72	80	0.90	
2 ♂ (F3) × 4 ♀ (F3)	74	69	1.07	
$2 \stackrel{<}{\circ} (WT) \times 4 \stackrel{\bigcirc}{+} (WT)$	73	75	0.97	

3 Notes: F0 (offspring from *in vitro* offspring production of functional oocytes derived from iGSCs),

4 F1(offspring produced by F0 generation), F2(offspring produced by F1 generation), F3 (offspring

5 produced by F2 generation)