

Supplementary Material

APPENDIX TABLE A.1 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Burnout as Dependent Variable and Positive Meaning, Meaning Making Through Work, and Greater Good Motivations as Independent Variables.

Model	Variables	Unstandardized	l coefficient	Standardized coefficient	p	R ² adj	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	6.18	.16	—	.00**	.48	134.21 (3; 435)
	Positive meaning	76	.09	65	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	16	.08	14	.05*		
	Greater good motivations	.12	.06	.10	.04*		
2	(Constant)	6.63	.64	_	.00**	.48	18.70 (23; 415)
	Positive meaning	77	.10	66	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	16	.09	14	.06		
	Greater good motivations	.12	.06	.11	.05*		

Model 1: First regression, associations between burnout and positive meaning, meaning making through work, and greater good motivations.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status). *p < .05. *p < 0.01. – statistically significant (two-tailed), results are based on 1000 bootstrap samples.

TABLE A.2 Multiple Regression Analysis with Emotional Exhaustion as Dependent Variable and Positive Meaning, Meaning Making
Through Work, and Greater Good Motivations as Independent Variables.

Model	Model Variables	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	р	$R^2{}_{\mathrm{a}dj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	6.56	.23	_	.00**	.22	41.69 (3; 435)
	Positive meaning	64	.14	44	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	24	.12	16	.06		
	Greater good motivations	.22	.09	.16	.02*		
2	(Constant)	8.31	1.28	_	.00**	.23	6.53 (23; 415)
	Positive meaning	68	.15	46	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	26	.12	18	.04*		
	Greater good motivations	.24	.10	.17	.01*		

Model 1: First regression, associations between emotional exhaustion and positive meaning, meaning making through work, and greater good motivations.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status). *p < .05. **p < 0.01. – statistically significant (two-tailed), results are based on 1000 bootstrap samples.

Model	Variables	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	р	$I\!\!R^2_{ m adj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	7.60	.23	—	.00**	.50	146.48 (3; 435)
	Positive meaning	-1.27	.12	74	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	05	.12	03	.68		
	Greater good motivations	.13	.08	.08	.11		
2	(Constant)	7.63	.72	_	.00**	.50	20.26 (23; 415)
	Positive meaning	-1.23	.12	72	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	06	.12	03	.61		
	Greater good motivations	.11	.08	.07	.19		

TABLE A.3 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Cynicism as Dependent Variable and Positive Meaning, Meaning Making Through Work, and Greater Good Motivations as Independent Variables.

Model 1: First regression, associations between cynicism and positive meaning, meaning making through work, and greater good motivations.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).

TABLE A.4 Multiple Regression Analysis with Reduced Professional Efficacy as Dependent Variable and Positive Meaning, Meaning
Making Through Work, and Greater Good Motivations as Independent Variables.

Model	Variables	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	р	$R^2_{ m adj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	4.36	.18	_	.00**	.29	60.90 (3; 435)
	Positive meaning	37	.09	37	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	19	.08	19	.01*		
	Greater good motivations	00	.06	01	.94		
2	(Constant)	3.96	.30	_	.00**	.30	9.26 (23; 415)
	Positive meaning	41	.09	41	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	17	.08	17	.03*		
	Greater good motivations	.01	.06	.02	.82		

Model 1: First regression, associations between reduced professional efficacy and positive meaning, meaning making through work, and greater good motivations.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).

TABLE A.5 Multiple Regression Analysis with Work Engagement as Dependent	Variable and Positive Meaning, Meaning Making
Through Work, and Greater Good Motivations as Independent Variables.	

Model	del Variables	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	р	R^2_{adj}	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	1.65	.16	_	.00**	.50	146.09 (3; 435)
	Positive meaning	.73	.09	.62	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	.25	.08	.21	.00**		
	Greater good motivations	15	.06	14	.01*		
2	(Constant)	1.97	.46	_	.00**	.51	20.64 (23; 415)
	Positive meaning	.73	.10	.62	.00**		
	Meaning making through work	.25	.09	.21	.01**		
	Greater good motivations	15	.06	13	.01*		

Model 1: First regression, associations between work engagement and positive meaning, meaning making through work, and greater good motivations.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).

Model Vari	Variables	Unstandardize	ed coefficient	Standardized coefficient	р	$R^2{}_{\mathrm{a}dj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	6.40	.21	_	.00**	.50	111.14 (4; 434)
	Needs fulfillment	35	.06	34	.00**		
	Group membership	23	.07	23	.00**		
	Influence	02	.05	02	.67		
	Emotional connection	25	.08	20	.00**		
2	(Constant)	6.91	.69	_	.00**	.51	19.62 (4; 414)
	Needs fulfillment	36	.06	34	.00**		
	Group membership	24	.07	24	.00**		
	Influence	01	.06	01	.83		
	Emotional connection	23	.09	19	.00**		

TABLE A.6 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Burnout as Dependent Variable and Needs Fulfillment, Group Membership, Influence, and Emotional Connection as Independent Variables.

Model 1: First regression, associations between burnout and needs fulfillment, group membership, influence, and emotional connection.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).

Model	Variables	Unstandardiz	ed coefficient	Standardized coefficient	р	$R^2{}_{\mathrm adj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	7.00	.27	_	.00**	.30	47.53 (4; 434)
	Needs fulfillment	52	.09	39	.00**		
	Group membership	12	.09	09	.19		
	Influence	.08	.07	.05	.28		
	Emotional connection	23	.11	15	.04*		
2	(Constant)	8.76	1.10	_	.00**	.30	8.73 (24; 414)
	Needs fulfillment	50	.09	38	.00**		
	Group membership	17	.10	13	.08		
	Influence	.08	.08	.06	.34		
	Emotional connection	22	.12	14	.06		

 TABLE A.7 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Emotional Exhaustion as Dependent Variable and Needs Fulfillment, Group Membership,

 Influence, and Emotional Connection as Independent Variables.

Model 1: First regression, associations between emotional exhaustion and needs fulfillment, group membership, influence, and emotional connection.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).

Model	Model Variables	Unstandardize	ed coefficient	Standardized coefficient	р	$R^2_{\mathrm adj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	7.69	.30	_	.00**	.47	97.84 (4; 434)
	Needs fulfillment	46	.10	30	.00**		
	Group membership	40	.12	26	.00**		
	Influence	.01	.08	.01	.88		
	Emotional connection	37	.13	20	.00**		
2	(Constant)	7.78	.68	_	.00**	.48	18.04 (24; 414)
	Needs fulfillment	50	.11	32	.00**		
	Group membership	37	.12	24	.00**		
	Influence	.04	.09	.02	.69		
	Emotional connection	33	.13	18	.02*		

 TABLE A.8 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Cynicism as Dependent Variable and Needs Fulfillment, Group Membership, Influence, and Emotional Connection as Independent Variables.

Model 1: First regression, associations between cynicism and needs fulfillment, group membership, influence, and emotional connection.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).

Model	Variables	Unstandardize	Unstandardized coefficient		р	$R^2{}_{\mathrm adj}$	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	4.50	.20	-	.00**	.28	44.18 (4; 434)
	Needs fulfillment	09	.06	10	.13		
	Group membership	19	.06	21	.00**		
	Influence	16	.05	16	.00**		
	Emotional connection	16	.08	15	.04*		
2	(Constant)	4.20	.40	_	.00**	.29	8.52 (24; 414)
	Needs fulfillment	08	.06	09	.24		
	Group membership	20	.07	22	.00**		
	Influence	16	.05	17	.00**		
	Emotional connection	15	.09	14	.09		

 TABLE A.9 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Reduced Professional Efficacy as Dependent Variable and Needs Fulfillment, Group Membership, Influence, and Emotional Connection as Independent Variables.

Model 1: First regression, associations between reduced professional efficacy and needs fulfillment, group membership, influence, and emotional connection.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates.

Model	Variables	Unstandardized coefficient		Standardized coefficient	р	R^2 adj	F
		В	SE	β			
1	(Constant)	1.35	.21	_	.00**	.48	100.33 (4; 434)
	Needs fulfillment	.17	.06	.16	.00**		
	Group membership	.20	.07	.19	.00**		
	Influence	.07	.05	.06	.20		
	Emotional connection	.45	.08	.36	.00**		
2	(Constant)	1.60	.54	_	.00**	.50	18.86 (24; 414)
	Needs fulfillment	.22	.06	.21	.00**		
	Group membership	.16	.07	.15	.04*		
	Influence	.08	.06	.07	.15		
	Emotional connection	.41	.08	.33	.00**		

 TABLE A.10 | Multiple Regression Analysis with Work Engagement as Dependent Variable and Needs Fulfillment, Group Membership,

 Influence, and Emotional Connection as Independent Variables.

Model 1: First regression, associations between work engagement and needs fulfillment, group membership, influence, and emotional connection.

Model 2: Second regression, associations after adding the covariates (age, gender, highest level of education, company size, average weekly working hours, and marital status).