Supplemental Material

Appendix. KNOW-CKD Investigators

	Curie Ahn	
	Kook-Hwan Oh	
Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea	Soo Kyung Park	
· T ···································	Jayeon Kim	
	Dong Wan Chae	
	Yun Kyu Oh	
Department of Internal Medicine, The Catholic University of Korea, Seoul	Vong Soo Kim	
St. Mary's Hospital, Seoul, Korea	Tong-500 Kim	
Department of Internal Medicine, College of Medicine, Institute of Kidney	Seung Hyeok Han	
Disease Research, Yonsei University, Seoul, Korea	Tae-Hyun Yoo	
	Kyu Hun Choi	
Department of Internal Medicine, Sungkyunkwan University School of	Kyu-Beck Lee	
Medicine, Kangbuk Samsung Hospital, Seoul, Korea	Kyu-Deek Lee	
Department of Internal Medicine, Eulji General Hospital, Eulji School of	Su ah Sung	
Medicine, Seoul, Korea	Su un Sung	
Department of Internal Medicine, Chonnam National University Medical	Soo Wan Kim	
School, Gwangju, Korea		
Department of Internal Medicine, Inje University, Busan Paik Hospital,	Yeong Hoon Kim	
Busan, Korea	Sun Woo Kang	
Department of Internal Medicine, Seoul Paik Hospital, College of Medicine,	Ho Seck Koo	
Inje University , Seoul, Korea	TIO SCOK KOO	
Department of Internal Medicine, Gachon University, Gil Hospital, Incheon,	Wookyung Chung	
Korea	Jiyong Jung	
Department of Prevention and Management, School of Medicine, Inha	Joongyuh Leo	
University, Incheon, Korea	Joongyuo Lee	
	1	

Division of Nephrology, Department of Internal Medicine, National Health	
Insurance Service Medical Center, Ilsan Hospital, Goyang-si, Gyeonggi-do,	Tae-Ik Chang
Korea	
Division of Nephrology, Dongtan Sacred Heart Hospital, Hallym University	In Duong Koo
Medical Center, Hwaseong-si, Korea	Ja Kyölig Köö
Department of Internal Medicine, Pusan National University School of	Eun Voung Soong
Medicine, Yangsan, Korea	Eun Toung Seong

Supplemental Methods. Data collection and measurements

Demographic data, including age, sex, smoking status, alcohol intake, physical activity, medical history, and presence of comorbid diseases, were obtained from KNOW-CKD database. Based on the smoking status, the participants were classified as never smoked, former smoker, or current smoker. Anthropometric data including height and weight were collected at enrollment. Body mass index was calculated by dividing initial body weight with height squared (kg/m²). Blood pressure was measured in the sitting position after the subject had been in a relaxed state for at least 5 minutes using an electronic sphygmomanometer. After overnight fasting, blood and urine samples were collected and aliquots of the samples were sent to the central laboratory of KNOW-CKD (Lab Genomics, Seongnam, Korea) for the estimation of serum creatinine and proteinuria. Other biochemical analyses were done at the respective participating centers. The following parameters were estimated: complete blood cell count, fasting glucose, blood urea nitrogen, creatinine, albumin, calcium, phosphorus, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and lipid profile including triglyceride, highdensity lipoprotein cholesterol, and low-density lipoprotein cholesterol. Serum high-sensitivity Creactive protein levels were measured at each center using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay kits. Urine protein-to-creatinine ratio was calculated as urine protein concentration divided by urine creatinine concentration (g/g).

		HDL-C	categories			
	<40 mg/dL	40-49 mg/dL	50-59 mg/dL	≥60 mg/dL	Total	D
	(N=514)	(N=537)	(N=407)	(N=406)	(N=1,864)	Р
Primary kidney disease						< 0.001
DMN	184 (35.8%)	148 (27.6%)	61 (15.0%)	69 (17.0%)	462 (24.8%)	
Hypertensive	119 (23.2%)	122 (22.7%)	75 (18.4%)	53 (13.1%)	369 (19.8%)	
Glomerulonephritis	122 (23.7%)	163 (30.4%)	143 (35.1%)	151 (37.2%)	579 (31.1%)	
PKD	51 (9.9%)	65 (12.1%)	96 (23.6%)	111 (27.3%)	323 (17.3%)	
Others	38 (7.4%)	39 (7.3%)	32 (7.9%)	22 (5.4%)	131 (7.0%)	
Comorbidities						
PVD	26 (5.1%)	18 (3.4%)	18 (4.4%)	11 (2.7%)	73 (3.9%)	0.25
CHF	12 (2.3%)	8 (1.5%)	6 (1.5%)	2 (0.5%)	28 (1.5%)	0.16
Laboratory parameters						
Phosphate (mg/dL)	3.7 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 0.7	3.6 ± 0.6	3.8 ± 0.7	3.7 ± 0.7	0.02
PTH (pg/mL)	60.0 (36.9-106.4)	50.9 (33.2-78.6)	48.4 (31.9-76.4)	48.1 (32.3-77.1)	51.2 (33.3-83.7)	< 0.001
uACR (mg/g)	325.0 (83.3-927.0)	285.5 (84.6-678.0)	201.7 (41.0-562.6)	155.6 (36.3-574.0)	253.3 (59.2-667.9)	0.003

Table S1. Baseline characteristics of participants based on HDL-C categories

 Table S2. Statistical adjustments for multiple comparisons

		HDL-C category									
	<40 mg/dL	40-49 mg/dL	40-49 mg/dL 50-59 mg/dL								
	HR [95% CI] P	* HR [95% CI]	\mathbf{P}^*	HR [95% CI]	\mathbf{P}^*	HR [95% CI]	\mathbf{P}^*				
				All							
vs. <40 mg/dL	-	1.08 [0.59-1.97]	1.00	1.13 [0.57-2.25]	1.00	0.77 [0.35-1.73]	1.00				
vs. 40-49 mg/dL		-		1.05 [0.55-1.98]	1.00	0.72 [0.34-1.50]	1.00				
vs. 50-59 mg/dL				-		0.68 [0.32-1.48]	1.00				
vs. ≥60 mg/dL						-					
		In the	absen	ce of inflammation							
vs. <40 mg/dL	-	0.91 [0.41-2.04]	1.00	0.87 [0.33-2.26]	1.00	0.38 [0.12-1.26]	0.20				
vs. 40-49 mg/dL		-		0.95 [0.40-2.28]	1.00	0.42 [0.14-1.25]	0.22				
vs. 50-59 mg/dL				-		0.44 [0.15-1.35]	0.32				
vs. $\geq 60 \text{ mg/dL}$						-					
		In the	presen	ce of inflammation	l						
vs. <40 mg/dL	-	1.38 [0.55-3.43]	0.93	1.71 [0.61-4.80]	1.00	2.16 [0.68-6.79]	0.47				
vs. 40-49 mg/dL		-		1.23 [0.45-3.38]	1.00	1.56 [0.54-4.53]	1.00				

Cox proportional-hazards model was constructed to evaluate the associations between serum HDL-C category and eMACE based on the presence of inflammation. The model is adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, diabetes, laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio, and medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins.

* Corrected using Bonferroni's method due to multiple testing.

CI, confidence interval; eMACE, extended major adverse cardiovascular events; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio.

 Table S3. Hazard ratios for the eMACE outcomes based on the HDL-C categories stratified into quartiles overall and in the absence and presence of inflammation

			HDI	L-C quartiles					
	Q1		Q2	Q3		Q4	Q4		
	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI] P	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р		
			All						
Model 1	1.02 [0.64-1.62]	0.93	Reference	1.18 [0.74-1.88]	0.49	0.86 [0.51-1.44]	0.56		
Model 2	1.16 [0.71-1.90]	0.54	Reference	1.23 [0.77-1.99]	0.39	0.82 [0.48-1.42]	0.48		
Model 3	1.15 [0.70-1.87]	0.59	Reference	1.26 [0.78-2.03]	0.35	0.83 [0.48-1.43]	0.50		
			In the absence of in	nflammation					
Model 1	0.86 [0.49-1.53]	0.61	Reference	0.73 [0.39-1.37]	0.33	0.37 [0.17-0.84]	0.02		
Model 2	0.96 [0.53-1.74]	0.89	Reference	0.72 [0.38-1.37]	0.32	0.37 [0.16-0.85]	0.02		
Model 3	0.98 [0.54-1.78]	0.95	Reference	0.76 [0.39-1.46]	0.41	0.37 [0.16-0.85]	0.02		
			In the presence of i	nflammation					
Model 1	0.90 [0.44-1.85]	0.77	Reference	0.88 [0.44-1.79]	0.73	1.28 [0.66-2.48]	0.47		
Model 2	0.95 [0.45-2.00]	0.89	Reference	0.97 [0.47-1.98]	0.93	1.23 [0.60-2.53]	0.58		

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, and diabetes

Model 2: Model 1 + laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglyceride, serum albumin,

high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and urine protein-to-creatinine ratio

Model 3: Model 2 + medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins

CI, confidence interval; eMACE, extended major adverse cardiovascular events; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio; SD, standard deviation

Table S4. Hazard ratios for the eMACE outcomes based on the HDL-C categories using a different hsCRP cutoff value for the status

of inflammation

			H	IDL-	C category			
	<40 mg/dL	40-49 mg/dI	4	50-59 mg/dl	Ĺ	≥60 mg/dL		
	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	HR [95% CI] P		Р	HR [95% CI]	Р
			In the absence of	of inf	lammation			
Model 1	0.62 [0.28-1.36]	0.24	Reference		0.64 [0.29-1.41]	0.27	0.34 [0.13-0.88]	0.03
Model 2	0.65 [0.29-1.49]	0.31	Reference		0.59 [0.26-1.36]	0.22	0.38 [0.14-1.01]	0.05
Model 3	0.63 [0.27-1.45]	0.27	Reference		0.60 [0.26-1.39]	0.23	0.36 [0.14-0.95]	0.04
			In the presence	of inf	lammation			
Model 1	1.00 [0.60-1.67]	1.00	Reference		1.30 [0.73-2.33]	0.37	1.26 [0.65-2.43]	0.49
Model 2	0.97 [0.57-1.67]	0.93	Reference		1.29 [0.71-2.34]	0.40	1.15 [0.58-2.27]	0.69
Model 3	0.98 [0.57-1.68]	0.94	Reference		1.33 [0.73-2.42]	0.35	1.18 [0.60-2.33]	0.63

The cutoff value as hsCRP level ≥ 0.6 mg/L, which was the median value, was used.

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, and diabetes

Model 2: Model 1 + laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglyceride, serum albumin,

high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and urine protein-to-creatinine ratio

Model 3: Model 2 + medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins

CI, confidence interval; eMACE, extended major adverse cardiovascular events; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein; SD, standard deviation

				HDL-C category							
	HDL-C per S	SD.	<40 mg/dL	<40 mg/dL 40-49 m		L 50-59 mg/d		IL ≥60 m		ʒ∕dL	
	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	P HR [95% CI] P		HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	
					All						
Model 1	0.83 [0.67-1.05]	0.13	0.89 [0.56-1.40]	0.61	Reference		0.91 [0.54-1.52]	0.72	0.61 [0.33-1.13]	0.12	
Model 2	0.80 [0.95-1.03]	0.09	0.90 [0.56-1.46]	0.68	Reference		0.91 [0.54-1.53]	0.71	0.59 [0.31-1.12]	0.11	
Model 3	0.81 [0.63-1.04]	0.10	0.89 [0.55-1.43]	0.62	Reference		0.92 [0.54-1.55]	0.75	0.59 [0.31-1.11]	0.10	
			In th	ne abser	nce of inflammatio	n					
Model 1	0.71 [0.52-0.98]	0.04	0.91 [0.47-1.75]	0.78	Reference		1.00 [0.50-2.02]	1.00	0.36 [0.14-0.94]	0.04	
Model 2	0.65 [0.45-0.93]	0.02	1.01 [0.51-2.01]	0.97	Reference		0.96 [0.47-1.99]	0.92	0.35 [0.13-0.93]	0.04	
Model 3	0.65 [0.45-0.94]	0.02	1.04 [0.52-2.06]	0.91	Reference		1.01 [0.49-2.10]	0.98	0.36 [0.13-0.96]	0.04	
			In th	e prese	nce of inflammation	on					
Model 1	1.10 [0.81-1.51]	0.54	0.81 [0.42-1.55]	0.52	Reference		0.88 [0.41-1.91]	0.75	1.36 [0.59-3.11]	0.47	
Model 2	1.13 [0.82-1.56]	0.47	0.69 [0.34-1.37]	0.29	Reference		0.85 [0.38-1.93]	0.70	1.37 [0.58-3.27]	0.48	
Model 3	1.13 [0.82-1.55]	0.45	0.68 [0.34-1.36]	0.28	Reference		0.91 [0.40-2.07]	0.83	1.35 [0.57-3.20]	0.50	

 Table S5. Time-varying model for risk of eMACE with lipid-lowering drugs treated as a time-varying covariate

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, diabetes, and medication use of statin

Model 2: Model 1 + laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglyceride, serum albumin,

high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and urine protein-to-creatinine ratio

Model 3: Model 2 + medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, and diuretics

CI, confidence interval; eMACE, extended major adverse cardiovascular events; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio; SD, standard deviation

	HDL C por S				HI	DL-	C category			
	IIDL-C per s	<40 mg/dL	<40 mg/dL		40-49 mg/dL		50-59 mg/dL			
	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р
					All					
Model 1	0.88 [0.71-1.09]	0.23	0.96 [0.61-1.51]	0.87	Reference		1.11 [0.67-1.82]	0.69	0.75 [0.42-1.34]	0.34
Model 2	0.83 [0.45-1.30]	0.11	1.01 [0.63-1.63]	0.97	Reference		1.12 [0.67-1.83]	0.68	0.70 [0.39-1.27]	0.24
Model 3	0.84 [0.67-1.06]	0.15	0.98 [0.61-1.58]	0.94	0.94 Reference		1.13 [0.69-1.87]	0.63	0.70 [0.39-1.28]	0.25
			In t	he abse	nce of inflammatio	n				
Model 1	0.74 [0.55-0.99]	0.04	0.98 [0.53-1.80]	0.95	Reference		0.92 [0.48-1.78]	0.81	0.43 [0.19-1.00]	0.05
Model 2	0.67 [0.48-0.94]	0.02	1.09 [0.58-2.05]	0.79	Reference		0.87 [0.44-1.71]	0.68	0.42 [0.18-0.98]	0.04
Model 3	0.67 [0.48-0.94]	0.02	1.09 [0.58-2.06]	0.79	Reference		0.89 [0.45-1.78]	0.75	0.41 [0.17-0.97]	0.04
			In tl	he prese	ence of inflammatio	n				
Model 1	1.17 [0.87-1.57]	0.30	0.93 [0.47-1.88]	0.85	Reference		1.43 [0.67-3.08]	0.36	1.70 [0.74-3.90]	0.21
Model 2	1.12 [0.82-1.53]	0.47	0.84 [0.40-1.74]	0.63	Reference		1.47 [0.67-3.20]	0.34	1.54 [0.64-3.70]	0.33
Model 3	1.13 [0.83-1.53]	0.44	0.86 [0.41-1.80]	0.70	Reference		1.54 [0.70-3.36]	0.28	1.58 [0.66-3.77]	0.30

 Table S6. Hazard ratios for non-fatal MACE based on the HDL-C levels in the absence and presence of inflammation

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, and diabetes

Model 2: Model 1 + laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-

sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and urine protein-to-creatinine ratio

Model 3: Model 2 + medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins

CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events; SD, standard deviation

 Table S7. Hazard ratios for all-cause mortality based on the HDL-C levels in the absence and presence of inflammation

	UDL C non S	מי		HDL-C category						
	IIDL-C per SD		<40 mg/dL	<40 mg/dL		40-49 mg/dL		50-59 mg/dL		
-	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]] P HR [95%		Р	HR [95% CI]	Р	HR [95% CI]	Р
					All					
Model 1	0.91 [0.72-1.16]	0.44	1.35 [0.80-2.26]	0.26	Reference		1.13 [0.62-2.06]	0.70	0.88 [0.46-1.71]	0.71
Model 2	0.99 [0.77-1.26]	0.91	1.24 [0.72-2.16]	0.44	Reference		1.12 [0.60-2.09]	0.73	0.93 [0.47-1.81]	0.82
Model 3	1.01 [0.79-1.29]	0.95	1.18 [0.68-2.05]	0.57	Reference		1.16 [0.62-2.16]	0.65	0.92 [0.47-1.80]	0.82
			In t	he abse	nce of inflammatio	n				
Model 1	0.79 [0.56-1.13]	0.20	1.56 [0.73-3.36]	0.25	Reference		1.08 [0.44-2.66]	0.87	0.67 [0.24-1.82]	0.43
Model 2	0.81 [0.54-1.22]	0.32	1.81 [0.78-4.20]	0.16	Reference		1.17 [0.47-2.93]	0.74	0.75 [0.27-2.07]	0.58
Model 3	0.81 [0.54-1.22]	0.32	1.64 [0.70-3.88]	0.26	Reference	Reference		0.75	0.69 [0.25-1.94]	0.48
			In tl	he prese	ence of inflammatio	n				
Model 1	1.12 [0.82-1.53]	0.46	1.02 [0.50-2.07]	0.97	Reference		1.12 [0.49-2.56]	0.78	1.18 [0.49-2.87]	0.71
Model 2	1.26 [0.92-1.72]	0.15	0.75 [0.35-1.60]	0.46	Reference		0.91 [0.38-2.19]	0.84	1.29 [0.51-3.28]	0.59

Model 1: adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, and diabetes

Model 2: Model 1 + laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, and urine protein-to-creatinine ratio

Model 3: Model 2 + medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins

CI, confidence interval; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio; SD, standard deviation

Figure S1. Flow diagram of study participants



BMI, body mass index; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein

Figure S2. Histogram and kernel density plot showing the distribution of HDL-C



HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol



Figure S3. Scatter plot and relationship between the hsCRP and HDL-C levels

A significant inverse relationship was observed between the HDL-C level and hsCRP level. Linear regression analysis was performed with a curvilinear approach to determine the relationship between the variables.

HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol; hsCRP, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein

Figure S4. Restricted cubic spline curves for the association between the HDL-C level and the risk of eMACE (A) regardless of inflammation, (B) in the absence of inflammation, and (C) in the presence of inflammation







Restricted cubic spline curves for the adjusted hazard ratios show opposite trend for the relationship between HDL-C levels and risk of eMACE in patients with and without inflammation. The model is adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, diabetes, laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein

cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio, and medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins.

eMACE, extended major adverse cardiovascular events; HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

Figure S5. Association of the baseline serum HDL-C level with non-fatal cardiovascular disease



Overall, HDL-C level was not associated with the risk of non-fatal MACE; however, an inverse association was observed in patients without inflammation. This association was reversed without statistical significance in patients with inflammation. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

The model is adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, diabetes, laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio, and medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins.

HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio; MACE, major adverse cardiovascular events

Figure S6. Association of the baseline serum HDL-C level with all-cause mortality



In the analysis for mortality, adjusted HRs were lower in patients without inflammation and with higher HDL-C levels compared to the reference group with HDL-C level of 40–49 mg/dL, but the association was not statistically significant. Bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

The model is adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease, diabetes, laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio, and medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins.

HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; HR, hazard ratio

Figure S7. Cumulative incidence curves for non-fatal cardiovascular disease based on the HDL-C categories (A) regardless of inflammation, (B) in the absence of inflammation, and (C) in the presence of inflammation







HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

Figure S8. Kaplan-Meier curves for the cumulative incidence of all-cause mortality based on the HDL-C categories (A) regardless of inflammation, (B) in the absence of inflammation, and (C) in the presence of inflammation







HDL-C, high-density lipoprotein cholesterol

Groups	Inflammation	Subgroups	Ν	Events (%)		Hazard ratio (95% CI)	P for interaction
Overall			1,864	140 (7.5)	I∳i I	0.85 [0.68-1.06]	
	Absent		1,142	76 (6.7)	H#H	0.67 [0.48-0.92]	0.002
	Present		722	64 (8.9)	i i ∔ −1	1.19 [0.90-1.57]	0.003
	A b = = = = 4	< 60 years	763	27 (3.5)	H A H	0.63 [0.35-1.12]	0.76
A = 2	Absent	≥ 60 years	379	49 (12.9)	H+-1	0.68 [0.46-1.02]	0.76
Age	Dresent	< 60 years	448	29 (6.5)	⊢÷ ♦——1	1.26 [0.81-1.96]	0.29
	Present	\geq 60 years	274	35 (12.8)	⊢∳ −1	0.98 [0.61-1.60]	0.58
	Abcont	Male	678	50 (7.4)	H a j i	0.71 [0.47-1.07]	0.14
Corr	Absent	Female	464	26 (5.6)	H+-t	0.54 [0.30-0.96]	0.14
Sex	Dracont	Male	455	48 (10.5)	⊢ •−−1	1.48 [0.99-2.22]	0.40
	Flesent	Female	267	16 (6.0)	⊢∳ →1	1.05 [0.60-1.85]	0.40
	Abcont	< 25 kg/m ²	735	41 (5.6)	i e și	0.74 [0.48-1.14]	0.52
DMI	Absent	$\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	407	35 (8.6)	i++-t	0.58 [0.34-0.96]	0.32
DIVII	Dracont	< 25 kg/m ²	351	43 (12.3)	₽ ₩	1.41 [0.94-2.10]	0.05
	riesent	$\geq 25 \text{ kg/m}^2$	371	21 (5.7)	⊢ ≱—−1	1.07 [0.61-1.89]	0.95
	Absont	Present	355	43 (12.1)	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	0.87 [0.58-1.29]	0.30
DM	Ausein	Absent	785	33 (4.2)	H+H :	0.42 [0.24-0.76]	0.30
DM	Present	Present	267	29 (10.9)	H . ♦ 1	1.39 [0.88-2.21]	0.73
	Tiesent	Absent	452	34 (7.5)	<u> </u>	0.99 [0.62-1.56]	0.75
	Absent	< 130 mmHg	695	39 (5.6)	i e ji	0.70 [0.45-1.08]	0.61
SRP	Absent	\geq 130 mmHg	447	37 (8.3)	H the second sec	0.69 [0.43-1.11]	0.01
501	Present	< 130 mmHg	385	37 (9.6)	⊢;;- -1	1.07 [0.74-1.53]	0.85
	Tresent	\geq 130 mmHg	337	27 (8.0)	⊢;ŧ—_1	1.15 [0.67-1.99]	0.05
	Absent	\geq 50 ml/min/1.73m ²	557	22 (3.9)	H F T	0.25 [0.11-0.55]	0.30
ACEB	Absent	< 50 ml/min/1.73m ²	585	54 (9.2)	He the second se	0.77 [0.53-1.14]	0.50
COLK	Present	\geq 50 ml/min/1.73m ²	300	24 (8.0)	H ; ♦——I	1.28 [0.78-2.11]	0.40
	Tresent	< 50 ml/min/1.73m ²	422	40 (9.5)	<u>⊢;</u> ♦—1	1.16 [0.79-1.72]	0.40
	Absent	\geq 4.0 g/dL	912	61 (6.7)	H+H	0.73 [0.52-1.05]	0.17
Serum	Absent	< 4.0 g/dL	230	15 (6.5)	H♦—-į	0.32 [0.10-1.00]	0.17
albumin	Present	\geq 4.0 g/dL	542	46 (8.5)	He H	0.91 [0.62-1.34]	0.02
	Tresent	< 4.0 g/dL	180	18 (10.0)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2.38 [1.19-4.77]	0.02
	Absent	< 1.0 g/g	777	50 (6.4)	1 	0.70 [0.47-1.02]	1.00
UPCR	105011	$\geq 1.0 \text{ g/g}$	365	26 (7.1)	H+	0.55 [0.30-1.01]	1.00
	Present	< 1.0 g/g	473	39 (8.2)	H	1.01 [0.70-1.45]	0.52
	1 resent	\geq 1.0 g/g	249	25 (10.0)		1.30 [0.71-2.38]	0.52
					0 1 2 3 4 5		

Figure S9. Forest plot for subgroup analysis

The risk modifying effect of inflammation on the relationship between HDL-C level and risk of eMACE existed among most subgroups. Significant interaction was observed between serum albumin level and HDL-C level for eMACE depending on the inflammatory status. Hazard ratios with 95% confidence interval error bars are presented.

The model is adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, smoking status, socioeconomic status, educational status, systolic blood pressure, presence of coronary artery disease and diabetes, laboratory parameters including fasting blood glucose, low-density lipoprotein cholesterol, triglycerides, serum albumin, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, estimated glomerular filtration rate, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio, and medications' use including renin-angiotensin system blockers, diuretics, and statins.

BMI, body mass index; CI, confidence interval; DM, diabetes mellitus; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; SBP, systolic blood pressure; uPCR, urine protein-to-creatinine ratio