- Insecticide resistance in Aedes aegypti from Tapachula, Mexico: spatial
- 2 variation and response to historical insecticide use

Spatial variation of insecticide resistance in Aedes aegypti

5

4

- 6 Francisco Solís-Santoyo¹, Americo D. Rodriguez^{1†}, R. Patricia Penilla-Navarro^{1*},
- 7 Daniel Sánchez², Alfredo Castillo-Vera², Alma D. López-Solís¹, Eduardo D.
- 8 Vázquez-López³, Saul Lozano⁵, William C. Black IV⁴, and Karla Saavedra-
- 9 Rodriguez⁴
- 10 ¹ Instituto Nacional de Salud Pública, Centro Regional de Investigación en Salud
- 11 Pública, Tapachula, Chiapas, México.
- ² El Colegio de la Frontera Sur, Unidad Tapachula, Tapachula, Chiapas, México.
- ³ Jurisdicción Sanitaria VII, Tapachula Chiapas. Antiguo Hospital General de
- 14 Tapachula, Tapachula, Chiapas, México.
- ⁴ Colorado State University, College of Veterinary Medicine and Biomedical
- Sciences Department of Microbiology, Immunology and Pathology, Arthropod Borne
- and Infectious Diseases Laboratory, 1692 Campus Delivery, Fort Collins, Colorado,
- 18 U.S.

- ⁵ Centers for Diseases Prevention and Control, Arboviral Diseases Branch, Fort
- 20 Collins, Colorado, U.S., 80523.
- t Deceased
- 22 * Corresponding author
- 23 E-mail: penilla@insp.mx (RPPN)

Abstract

Background. Insecticide use continues as the main strategy to control *Aedes aegypti*, the vector of dengue, zika, chikungunya, and yellow fever. In the city of Tapachula, Mexico, mosquito control programs switched from pyrethroids to organophosphates for outdoor spatial spraying in 2013. Additionally, the spraying scheme switched from total coverage to focused control, prioritizing areas with higher entomological-virological risk. Five years after this strategy had been implemented, we evaluated the status and variability of insecticide resistance among *Ae. aegypti* collected at 26 sites in Tapachula.

Methodology/Principal findings. We determined the lethal concentrations 50% of the tested populations (LC₅₀) using a bottle bioassay, and then, we calculated the resistance ratio (RR) relative to the susceptible New Orleans strain. Permethrin and deltamethrin (pyrethroids), chlorpyrifos and malathion (organophosphates), and bendiocarb (carbamate) were tested. The frequencies of the substitutions V1016I and F1534C, which are in the voltage-gated sodium channel and confer knockdown-

resistance (kdr) to pyrethroid insecticides, were calculated. Despite 5 years having 40 passed since the removal of pyrethroids in control programs, Ae. aegypti remained 41 highly resistant to permethrin and deltamethrin (RR > 10-fold). In addition, following 42 5 years of chlorpyrifos use, mosquitoes at 15 of 26 sites showed moderate 43 resistance to chlorpyrifos (5- to 10-fold), and the mosquitoes from one site were 44 highly resistant. All sites had low resistance to malathion (< 5-fold). Resistance to 45 bendiocarb was low at 19 sites, moderate at five, and high at two. Frequencies of 46 the V1016I ranged from 0.16-0.71, while C1534 approached fixation at 23 sites 47 (0.8–1). Resistance profiles and kdr allele frequencies varied across Tapachula. The 48 variability was not associated with a spatial pattern at the scale of the sampling. 49

Conclusion/Significance. Mosquito populations respond to selection pressure at a focal scale in the field. Spatial variation across sites highlights the importance of testing multiple sites within geographical regions.

53

Keywords: Aedes aegypti; insecticide resistance; spatial variation; Mexico.

55

Excellent, the clarity and rigor of the manuscript

is much improved. Nominal minor edits:

- Tupe I and II' tupically precede pyrethroid Line 331,

- please italicite throughout

- Line 401: (37 (trosman) should be changed to [37].

Recommend acceptance

Author summary

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

Aedes aegypti is a major vector of dengue, chikungunya, zika, and yellow fever. In the absence of effective vaccines or treatments, the suppression of mosquito populations using insecticides commonly has been implemented by public health programs. Unfortunately, few insecticides are available to target adult mosquitoes with outdoor spraying. The mismanagement of insecticides can lead to resistance selection in mosquitoes, affecting our ability to control arboviral diseases. Ideally, screening insecticide susceptibility in local mosquito populations allows public health entities to support insecticide management strategies that will prevent the development of resistance. In this study, we evaluated insecticide resistance in Ae. aegypti collected across 26 sites in Tapachula, Mexico. The results reveal the response of populations to its historic use of insecticides. High resistance to pyrethroids, used for 10 years, but not in the previous 5 years, and moderate to high resistance to chlorpyrifos, an insecticide from a different toxicological group and used for the past 5 years, were confirmed. High variation in resistance across Ae. aegypti sites suggests that focal selection plays an important role in the evolution of insecticide resistance in the field. Screening several collections sites within a geographical region provides better evidence to support strategies of insecticide management.

Introduction

Aedes aegypti is the main vector of several arboviruses, including dengue, zika, chikungunya, and yellow fever. The control of this mosquito species is challenging, mainly because it is highly adapted to urban and suburban areas and because it is widely dispersed in endemic regions [1]. Except for yellow fever, safe and effective treatments or vaccines for these diseases are still under study. Therefore, the suppression of Ae. aegypti remains the cornerstone to prevent transmission and control of outbreaks of these diseases [2].

Effective vector control involves several strategies, such as the elimination of potential breeding sites, application of chemical insecticides, and implementation of biological control. However, the application of chemical insecticides has become a common form of control because such control is highly efficient and can be implemented promptly [3]. The most used insecticides in vector control have been the organophosphates temephos, used as a larvicide, and malathion, used as an adulticide by ultra-low volume application (ULV). Pyrethroids were introduced as adulticides in most Latin American countries in the 1990s [3]. In Mexico, according to the Mexican official policy for vector surveillance and control [4], the adulticide ULV formulation of permethrin, bioallethrin, and piperonyl butoxide (PBO) was used for more than 10 consecutive years (1999–2010). In the following 3–4 years, the pyrethroid d-phenothrin + PBO was introduced. Subsequently, the use of organophospates returned in 2013, with chlorpyrifos and malathion being used as adulticides, while carbamates were recommended for indoor residual application [5].

The prolonged use of pyrethroid insecticides resulted in the evolution of resistance to them in *Ae. aegypti* worldwide, including Mexico, where failures in dengue control strategies are due in part to resistance [5]. Given that resistance to insecticides has been reported in populations of *Ae. aegypti* globally, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends testing to ensure an effective insecticide management program. Decisions based on evidence of the resistance and/or susceptibility of *Ae. aegypti* will ensure a better selection of insecticides in vector control programs [6].

Two mechanisms of resistance to insecticides have been identified: resistance due to the enhanced metabolism of insecticides and insensitivity at the target site of the insecticides. Both mechanisms are involved in resistance to pyrethroids [7]. Knockdown resistance (*kdr*) refers to a phenomenon in which insects are not knocked down immediately after exposure to pyrethroids. *kdr* is caused by specific mutations at the voltage-gated sodium channel (VGSC), which is the target site for pyrethroids and DDT [8]. The amino acid substitutions V1016I [9], F1534C [10], and V410L [11,12] frequently have been associated with resistance to pyrethroids. Once these mutations are fixed in a population, reversion to susceptibility is difficult to achieve [9]. Therefore, the detection and characterization of *kdr* mutations in mosquito populations before resistance fixation occurs is essential for insecticide management strategies.

In Mexico, Chiapas is one of the states with the highest rate of endemic dengue cases. In particular, the city of Tapachula reports the highest incidence of dengue in the state [13], which is attributed to the proliferation of vectors that transmit

emerging and re-emerging diseases. Under the region's tropical climate conditions, Ae. aegypti maintains high densities throughout the year. Consequently, dengue and other arboviruses transmitted by this vector have been prevalent in the region for a long time [14].

In the context of insecticide resistance management, we investigated the status of insecticide resistance to five insecticides, including two pyrethroids (permethrin and deltamethrin), two organophosphates (chlorpyrifos and malathion), and one carbamate (bendiocarb) and the spatial distribution of such resistance in populations of Ae. aegypti throughout the city of Tapachula. We expect that after 5 years of heavy use of organophosphates and the removal of pyrethroids from vector control campaigns, pyrethroid resistance will be lower, whereas organophosphate resistance will appear in focal points of the city. We tested 26 collection sites located in the city of Tapachula. Each collection site consisted in nine blocks and these were selected based on vehicle access for outdoor spraying. To minimize the effects of mosquito migration by flight range (50 - 150 m), sites were located at least 250 m apart. The spatial correlation between resistance and geographical distance was calculated for the 26 collection sites. In addition, since Tapachula's vector control program uses a quadrant subdivision for spraying activities, we included a second analysis to test this source of variation by assigning sites to one of the four cardinal geographical quadrants (NE, NW, SE, and SW).

141

142

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

Materials and methods

Collections. The study was conducted in the city of Tapachula, Chiapas, located in southern Mexico at 177 meters above sea level. The 26 collection sites located in four quadrants in the city: Northwest (NW), Northeast (NE), Southwest (SW), and Southeast (SE) are shown in Table 1. The biological material was collected from January to April 2018 using ovitraps of 1-L capacity [15]. Twelve ovitraps were installed at each collection site. Ovitraps were made by hand with transparent, inert, non-toxic polypropylene (PP) cups of a 1 L capacity, and painted black on the outside following the guidelines for Entomological Surveillance with Ovitraps of the Mexican Ministry of Health (15). The interior of each ovitrap was lined with Whatman filter paper (No. 1) and filled with water to 3/4 capacity; the paper was replaced weekly up to five times. The egg strips were transported to the insectary of the Regional Center for Research in Public Health/National Institute of Public Health (CRISP/INSP). The egg strips were submerged in 4 L of water in plastic containers (40 cm x 30 cm x 15 cm). On the third and sixth day, the hatched larvae were fed a diet of Harlan 5001 proteins, with 0.4 gr or 0.8 gr / 1.2 L for 1st-2nd stadium and 3rd-4th stadium at the 3rd and 6th day, respectively.

159

160

161

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

Table 1. Geographic location of 26 *Aedes aegypti* collection sites in Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico, in 2018.

162

Quadrant	Site	Neighborhood	Abbreviation	Latitude	Longitude
Northeast					
	NE-1	Colinas del Rey	Col	14°55'50.9"	92°14'50.2"
	NE-2	Galaxias	Gal	14°55'11.2"	92°15"06.5"
	NE-3	Barrio Nuevo	Bar	14°54'51.0"	92°15'05.3"

	NE-4	San Juan de los Lagos	SJL	14°54'26.3"	92°15'13.4"
	NE-5	Coapantes	Coa	14°54'23.0"	92°14'57.1"
	NE-6	Bonanza	Bon	14°54'02.8"	92°14'31.7"
	NE-7	Centro (Country-Club)	CCC	14°54'22.7"	92°15'32.8"
Southeast					
	SE-1	Galeana	Gal	14°54'00.2"	92°15'56.0"
	SE-2	16 de Septiembre	16S	14°53'44.0"	92°15'42.1"
	SE-3	Calcáneo Beltrán	Cal	14°53'28.0"	92°15'43.4"
	SE-4	Benito Juárez 1	BJ1	14°53'21.8"	92°16'04.1"
	SE-5	Benito Juárez 2	BJ2	14°53'11.7"	92°16'10.3"
	SE-6	Emiliano Zapata	Zap	14°53'02.1"	92°16'14.2"
Southwest					
	SW-1	Raymundo Enríquez	Ray	14°52'01.4"	92°18'48.8"
	SW-2	Pobres Unidos	Pob	14°53'14.0"	92°17'6.1"
	SW-3	Palmeiras	Pal	14°53'22.1"	92°18'06.4"
	SW-4	Nuevo Milenio	Nue	14°53'24.8"	92°17'59.4"
	SW-5	Primavera	Pri	14°53'39.3"	92°17'38.6"
	SW-6	Democracia	Dem	14°54'23.7"	92°16'33.5"
Northwest					
	NW-1	5 de febrero	5Fe	14°55'33.7"	92°15'22.4"
	NW-2	Xochimilco 1	Xo1	14°55'48.9"	92°15'37.8"
	NW-3	Xochimilco 2	Xo2	14°56'02.2"	92°15'29.9"
	NW-4	Vergel 1	Ve1	14°56'21.2"	92°15'52.4"
	NW-5	Vergel 2	Ve2	14°56'32.9"	92°15'52.4"
	NW-6	Paraíso	Par	14°56'35.2"	92°15'19.7"
	NW-7	Centro (Nva. España)	CNE	14°54'35.0"	92°15'43.5"

Aedes aegypti mosquitoes were identified to species and placed in cages (30 cm³). Females were bloodfed from rabbit to obtain the F¹ generation. Environmental conditions consisted of a temperature of 27 ± 2 °C, 70–80% humidity, and a 12:12 hour photoperiod. We used the insecticide-susceptible New Orleans reference strain of Ae. aegypti, provided by Dr. William Black and maintained in the CRISP/INSP insectary.

Bioassays. The F₁ adults were exposed to the insecticides using a modified CDC bottle bioassay (Centers for Disease Control) [16]. Sigma brand technical grade

insecticides were used to determine the lethal concentrations that killed 50% (LC₅₀) at each site. The pyrethroids permethrin (Type I) and deltamethrin (Type II), the organophosphates malathion and chlorpyrifos, and the carbamate bendiocarb were used to represent the toxicological groups used by vector control programs in Mexico.

To determine the LC50, we tested five to six insecticide concentrations, which caused 10 to 90% mortality, in four replicates. Each insecticide LC50 required approximately 500 mosquitoes. Table 2 shows the insecticide concentrations (μ g/bottle) used to coat 250 ml Wheaton bottles using acetone as the solvent. During the bioassay, 15 to 20 (2-3 day old) females were gently aspirated into each bottle. The knockdown effect was recorded every 10 minutes for 1 hour. After 1 hour of exposure, the mosquitoes were transferred to plastic containers and maintained in the insectary to observe the mortality at 24 hours. The LC50 of each insecticide was also determined for the susceptible New Orleans reference strain (NO) using a different set of insecticide concentrations (Table 2). Each insecticide LC50 was replicated at least five times during a 7-month period. As control, a bottle impregnated only with acetone was used each time a test with field or susceptible mosquitoes was run.

Table 2. Concentrations (μg/bottle) used to determine the LC₅₀ of five different insecticides in the bottle bioassay for field *Aedes aegypti*.and the susceptible reference strain.

Class	Mode of action	Insecticide	, Concentration in μg/bottle			
			Field colonies	New Orleans reference		
PYRs	sodium channel activators	Permethrin Deltamethrin	10, 20, 40, 80, 160 1, 2, 4, 6, 8, 16	0.8, 1.2, 2.4, 3.2, 6 0.75, 0.1, 0.15,0.2, 0.4		
OPs		Malathion Chlorpyrifos	2, 3, 4, 6, 8 2, 4, 6, 8, 12	2, 3, 4, 6, 8 0.2, 0.4, 0.8, 1.6, 3.2		
CARBs	cholinesterase inhibitors	Bendiocarb	0.5, 0.75, 1, 1.5, 3	0.25, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 1.2		

PYRs = pyrethroids, OPs = organophosphates, CARBs = carbamates.

The LC₅₀, 95% confidence intervals, slope, intercept, and p values were determined using the binary logistic regression model with QCal software [17]. The null hypothesis (Ho) assumes the observed mortality curve adjusts to a binary logistic regression model. Thus, we expected p values higher than 0.05 to accept the Ho. When the Ho was rejected, the bioassay was repeated.

To estimate the level of resistance among sites, a resistance ratio (RR) was calculated by dividing the LC₅₀ of the field sites by the LC₅₀ of the NO strain. The RR criterion according to Mazzarri and Georghiou [18] classifies high resistance as RR values greater than 10, moderate resistance as RR values between 5 and 10, and low resistance as RR values less than 5.

Genotyping *kdr*-associated mutations. Genomic DNA was isolated from 50 F₁ individual female mosquitoes from each collection site following the method of Black and DuTeau [19]. The DNA was resuspended in TE buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, 1 mM EDTA pH 8) and stored at -20 °C. The V1016I and F1534C mutations were genotyped according to the protocols of Saavedra-Rodríguez et al. [9] and Yanola et al. [10], respectively. The genotype and allelic frequencies were tested for Hardy-

Weinberg (HW) equilibrium. The null hypothesis is that equilibrium is present in the population, which was verified with a chi-square test (df = 1 and p value > 0.05).

We tested the spatial variation of the LC₅₀s between the quadrants in the city using a linear model and ANOVA in R (car package). To test the hypothesis of resistance correlation with space, we created Moran's I correlograms as implemented in PASSaGE 2.0 [20]. Mosquitoes from different collection sites were considered neighbors if the sites were within 250 meters of each other. We expected that the LC₅₀s or kdr frequencies would be associated with geographical distance (i.e., that closer neighbor sites would show similar resistance levels, compared to those farther away). A second analysis to test the variation of the LC₅₀s and kdr frequencies between and within quadrants using a linear regression model and ANOVA in R (car package) was conducted. Since the city is uniformly sprayed during a cycle, we did not expect variation between or within quadrants. Correlation between kdr frequencies and LC₅₀'s for permethrin and deltamethrin was tested using a Spearman test.

Results

The geographic distributions of the resistance ratios (RR) for each insecticide in the 26 sites in Tapachula are shown in Fig 1. The LC₅₀ and confidence intervals for each of the five insecticides are shown in Table S1. For the pyrethroids, we observed high levels of resistance widespread across sites. Fig 2A shows the permethrin RRs across Tapachula. High RRs were identified at 24 sites (RR from

11.4 to 43.1-fold). Only two sites—NE-3 and NW-2—showed moderate RRs (5.3 and 5.9-fold, respectively). The variation in RRs among quadrants was not significant (F = 0.56, df = 3, p value = 0.64). For deltamethrin, high RRs were determined in all 26 sites (10.6 to 101-fold). The variation among quadrants was not significant (F = 1.08, df = 3, p value = 0.37). Except for SW, all quadrants had at least one site with RR higher than 90-fold (Fig 2B).

Fig 1. Spatial distribution of insecticide resistance to five compounds in Aedes aegypti collected in Tapachula. The number above each bar corresponds to the resistance ratio (RR). The RR was calculated relative to the susceptible New Orleans reference strain. Map obtained from the National Institute of Statistics and Geography (INEGI). Digital Map of Mexico. MDM: http://gaia.inegi.org.mx/mdm6.

Fig 2. Pyrethroids resistance ratios (RRs) of Aedes aegypti collected in 26 sites across Tapachula in 2018. A) Permethrin and B) Deltamethrin. Dots represent the RR₅₀ with 95% confidence intervals for each site. Horizontal lines indicate the threshold for low resistance (< 5-fold), moderate resistance (5- to 10-fold) and high resistance (> 10-fold).

The RRs for cholinesterase inhibitors (organophosphates and carbamates) are shown in Fig 3. For chlorpyrifos (Fig 3A), the RRs varied from low at 10 sites

(0.68- to 4.9-fold) to moderate at 15 sites (5.2- to 7.2-fold) to high at one site (10.2-fold). No significant difference in RRs was found between quadrants (F = 1.08, df = $^{\circ}$ 3, p value = 0.37). For malathion (Fig 3B), low resistance (0.86- to 4.5-fold) was identified at all 26 sites. However, a significant difference was observed between quadrants (F = 3.53, df = 3, p value = 0.03), with SE showing a mean RR of 2.6-fold (95% CI 1.9- to 3.2-fold). Resistance to bendiocarb was low (1.2- to 4.8-fold) at 19 sites, moderate (7.3- to 9.9-fold) at five sites, and high (10.3- to 11.2-fold) at two sites. No difference between quadrants was identified (F = 0.68. df = 3, p value = 0.57).

Fig 3. Cholinesterase inhibitors resistance ratios (RRs) of Aedes aegypti collected in 26 sites across Tapachula in 2018. A) Chlorpyrifos (organophosphate), B) Malathion (organophosphate), and C) Bendiocarb (carbamate). Dots represent the RR50 with 95% confidence intervals for each site. Horizontal lines indicate the threshold for low resistance (< 5-fold), moderate resistance (5- to 10-fold) and high resistance (> 10-fold).

Kdr-associated mutations

Genotype frequencies at the V1016I and F1534C loci in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene were determined in a sample of 45-50 individuals from each site (Table 3). The allele frequencies of the resistant allele I1016 fluctuated from

0.16–0.71. The lowest allele frequency (0.16) was scored for NE-3, whereas the highest frequency was from NW-6 (0.71). The remaining sites ranged from 0.2 to 0.5. Except for NE-2 and SW-4, the genotype frequencies at the V1016I loci were in HW equilibrium.

Table 3. Genotype counts and allele frequencies for two *kdr*-associated substitutions (V1016I and F1534C) from *Aedes aegypti* collected at 26 sites in Tapachula. RR = homozygote resistant, RS = heterozygote, and SS = homozygote susceptible. * indicates a lack of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium.

Site Abv N I/I V/I V/V frequency C/C F/C F/F free or	
Site Abv N I/I V/I V/V frequency C/C F/C F/F fred NE-1 Col 48 4 23 21 0.32 47 1 0 0 NE-2 Gal 48 5 30 13 0.42* 39 8 1 0 NE-3 Bar 48 1 13 34 0.16 7 22 19 0 NE-4 SJL 48 6 22 20 0.35 47 1 0 0 NE-5 Coa 45 5 24 16 0.38 42 3 0 0 NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 2	1534
NE-1 Col 48 4 23 21 0.32 47 1 0 0 NE-2 Gal 48 5 30 13 0.42* 39 8 1 0 NE-3 Bar 48 1 13 34 0.16 7 22 19 0 NE-4 SJL 48 6 22 20 0.35 47 1 0 0 NE-5 Coa 45 5 24 16 0.38 42 3 0 0 NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 <t< td=""><td>uency</td></t<>	uency
NE-2 Gal 48 5 30 13 0.42* 39 8 1 0 NE-3 Bar 48 1 13 34 0.16 7 22 19 0 NE-4 SJL 48 6 22 20 0.35 47 1 0 0 NE-5 Coa 45 5 24 16 0.38 42 3 0 0 NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 <t< td=""><td></td></t<>	
NE-3 Bar 48 1 13 34 0.16 7 22 19 0 NE-4 SJL 48 6 22 20 0.35 47 1 0 0 NE-5 Coa 45 5 24 16 0.38 42 3 0 0 NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 Subtotal 335 44 159 132 0.37 236 79 20 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41	.99
NE-4 SJL 48 6 22 20 0.35 47 1 0 0 NE-5 Coa 45 5 24 16 0.38 42 3 0 0 NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 Subtotal 335 44 159 132 0.37 236 79 20 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38	.90
NE-5 Coa 45 5 24 16 0.38 42 3 0 0 NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 SL-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 <t< td=""><td>.38</td></t<>	.38
NE-6 Bon 48 8 22 18 0.4 43 5 0 0 NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 Subtotal 335 44 159 132 0.37 236 79 20 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 <td>.99</td>	.99
NE-7 CCC 50 15 25 10 0.55 11 39 0 0 Subtotal 335 44 159 132 0.37 236 79 20 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 </td <td>.97</td>	.97
Subtotal 335 44 159 132 0.37 236 79 20 0 SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.95
SE-1 Gal 48 12 28 8 0.54 42 6 0 0 SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.61*
SE-2 16S 48 5 29 14 0.4 48 0 0 SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.82*
SE-3 Cal 48 7 25 16 0.41 46 2 0 0 SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 Subtotal 288 49 160 79 0.45 263 25 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.94
SE-4 BJ1 48 4 28 16 0.38 46 2 0 0 SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 Subtotal 288 49 160 79 0.45 263 25 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	1*
SE-5 BJ2 48 11 25 12 0.49 47 1 0 0 SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 Subtotal 288 49 160 79 0.45 263 25 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.98
SE-6 Zap 48 10 25 13 0.47 34 14 0 0 Subtotal 288 49 160 79 0.45 263 25 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.98
Subtotal 288 49 160 79 0.45 263 25 0 0 SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.99
SW-1 Ray 48 5 28 15 0.39 48 0 0	.85
	.96
SW-2 Pob 48 14 21 13 0.51 47 1 0	1*
	.99
SW-3 Pal 48 2 17 29 0.22 46 0 2 0	.96*
SW-4 Nue 48 0 26 22 0.27* 48 0 0	1*
SW-5 Pri 48 8 22 18 0.39 47 1 0	.99
	.93
	.98*
NW-1 5Fe 48 9 22 17 0.42 48 0 0	1*
NW-2 Xo1 48 10 29 9 0.51 48 0 0	1*

NW-3	Xo2	48	1	21	26		0.24	48	0	0	1*
NW-4	Ve1	48	11	23	14	,	0.47	48	0	0	1*
NW-5	Ve2	50	8	17	25		0.33	2	37	11	0.41*
NW-6	Par	48	24	20	4		0.71	48	0	0	1*
NW-7	CNE	50	4	26	20		0.34	0	38	12	0.38*
	Subtotal	340	67	158	115		0.43	242	75	23	0.82*
Total		1251	202	611	438		0.41	1018	188	45	0.89*

High allele frequencies of the resistant C1534 allele were determined at 22 of the 26 sites, ranging from 0.85 to 1.0. Lower frequencies (0.38–0.41) were found in NE-3, NW-5, and NW-7. While NE-7 was calculated with an intermediate value of 0.61. Most sites were in HW disequilibrium due to fixation of the resistant allele. We conducted a Spearman correlation test between the pyrethroid LC50s and the expected frequencies of resistant homozygous genotypes. We found significant correlation coefficients among permethrin LC50s, I1016/I1016 homozygotes (S = 2588, rho = 0.53, p value = 0.002), and C1534/C1534 homozygotes (S = 1966, rho = 0.515, p value = 0.004). Although it is known that C1534 shows protection only against permethrin (12), for deltamethrin a significant correlation was observed between the LC50 and I1016/I1016 homozygotes (S = 2643, rho = 0.467, p value = 0.008) and the C1534/C1534 homozygotes (S = 2945, rho = 0.507, p value = 0.002). However, the significance for both insecticides disappeared when observations from the New Orleans reference strain were removed.

To assess the correlation of LC_{50} 's with space, we generated Moran's I correlograms for each of the five insecticides (Fig 4). The analysis included all 26 collection sites. We did not detect a discernable pattern in any of the tested

insecticides. We expected a positive correlation (Moran's I statistically > 0) between nearby sites, then as the distance increased (between the samples) the correlation would decrease, and later would turn negative (Moran's I statistically < 0). However, this was not observed. Although, few of the distance classes where statistically different from zero (eg. bendiocarb at 3250 m, 3750 m, 4750 m, and 6250 m; malathion at 1500 m; and deltamethrin at 3750 m, and 4250 m). A caveat in our analysis is the possibility that there is autocorrelation at smaller distances than the ones we selected (x < 250 m). Our experimental design was not geared towards the detection of spatial correlation at smaller distance; there is very small number of samples below 250 meters.

Fig 4. Moran's I correlograms as implemented in PASSaGE 2.0 assessing the correlation of LC₅₀'s with space for permethrin (pyrethroid), deltamethrin (pyrethroid), chlorpyrifos (organophosphate), malathion (organophosphate), and bendiocarb (carbamate). The analysis included 26 collection sites in Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico. *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes from different collection sites were considered neighbors if the sites were within 250 meters of each other.

Discussion

Efforts to control Ae. aegypti populations are hindered by widespread insecticide resistance worldwide. Local insecticide resistance monitoring is necessary for the design of specific and successful resistance management programs [21]. In Latin America, pyrethroids have been used for adult mosquito

control since the 1990s. The switch to pyrethroids was based on environmental concerns that led to the use of less toxic classes of insecticides [22]. In Mexico, vector control programs implemented the use of permethrin in 1999 and continued their use until 2010. Local selection pressure caused a rapid evolution of pyrethroid resistance in *Ae. aegypti* across Mexico [9,23-27], resulting in policy modifications that recommended the use of insecticides with different toxicological modes of action.

In Tapachula, vector control programs replaced the use of permethrin by a different pyrethroid Type I (d-phenothrin + piperonyl butoxide) from 2010 to 2013. In 2013, pyrethroids were replaced by the organophosphate chlorpyrifos, and in 2017, by malathion. This study reveals the current status and response of local *Ae. aegypti* populations to these insecticide shifts. Despite the switch to organophosphates in the last 5 years, we observed that high levels of pyrethroid resistance remain widespread in Tapachula. An assumption in insecticide resistance management is that insecticide resistance has negative fitness costs. Therefore, when insecticide pressure is removed, populations are expected to reverse to susceptibility [28,29]. Currently, we are conducting a study to determine the degree of loss of resistance to pyrethroids from 2016 to 2020 in this study area, which will demonstrate whether mosquito populations in Tapachula are undergoing a process of decreasing resistance that will take several years. Another explanation is that pyrethroid resistance is maintained in *Ae. aegypti* populations by the domestic use of pyrethroids [30]. Surveys in Merida, Mexico, indicate that 85% of households took

action to kill pests, and 89% exclusively targeted mosquitoes. Interestingly most of the aerosol spray cans contained pyrethroid insecticides [31].

Interestingly, RRs for deltamethrin—a Type II pyrethroid—were higher than permethrin RRs across sites. Deltamethrin was authorized by CENAPRECE for indoor residual use in 2009 for control of the malaria vector, but its use was restricted to rural areas. Therefore, direct selection pressure from the use of deltamethrin in public health is unlikely to be responsible for the high RRs in *Ae. aegypti* from Tapachula. Although all pyrethroids act at the same target site, the variability of resistance to their different types is attributed to different binding sites for pyrethroids Type I and Type II at the voltage-gated sodium channel. Additionally, the presence of enzymes that have a greater affinity to metabolize specific molecules within the same toxicological group might explain this variability [32].

Knockdown resistance (*kdr*) is a major mechanism of pyrethroid resistance in *Ae. aegypti* from Mexico. In this study, we measured the frequency of this mechanism by molecular tests that identify mutations that confer changes to amino acids in the VGSC. The allele frequencies of the resistant allele I1016 ranged from 0.4 to 0.7, and for the resistant allele C1534, from 0.85 to 1.0 (except for three sites that had ~0.4). Historical data of kdr mutations indicated that C1534 confers low level of resistant on its own, and that resistance increased dramatically when I1016 evolved from the V1,016/C1,534 haplotype in field mosquito collected in different places from Mexico (33). Those results demonstrated that I1016 was unlikely to have evolved independently, and that both mutations need coexist in the same mosquito in order to confer higher levels of resistance. Moderate correlations were significant

between the resistant allele frequencies and the RRs for permethrin and deltamethrin only when including the New Orleans datapoints. This significance might be explained by most of the allele frequencies being distributed within a small range of variability.

This study was conducted after chlorpyrifos had been used for 5 years in outdoor spraying by vector control programs. Our results provide evidence of the response of *Ae. aegypti* populations to chlorpyrifos pressure. Ten sites showed low RRs, 15 sites showed moderate resistance, and one site was highly resistant. Interestingly, *Ae. aegypti* from all 26 sites were susceptible or had low RRs to malathion, thereby indicating that resistance to chlorpyrifos does not predict the lack of effectivity of malathion. Additionally, the RRs to bendiocarb were variable: mosquitoes from 19 sites had low RRs, those from three were moderate, and those from two were highly resistant. Only a few sites showed moderate to high resistance to both chlorpyrifos and bendiocarb (NE-5, NW-6, and SE-4). The lack of cross-resistance between organophosphates and carbamates suggests that the resistance mechanisms are not due to the insensitivity of their target site (the acetylcholinesterase) [34] that in fact no mutations have been found in *ace-1* gene in *Aedes aegypti* [35].

A survey in Veracruz, Mexico, identified high RRs to chlorpyrifos in Cosoleacaque (RR = 13.9), moderate RRs in Poza Rica (RR = 7.9), and low RRs in five sites in Veracruz [36]. By using a discriminating dose of 50 μ g/bottle and 85 μ g/bottle for 30 minutes, two additional studies were able to identify chlorpyrifos resistance in Mexico [26,37]. Since neither of these studies found a history of

chlorpyrifos use in vector control programs, the resistance might be explained by indirect exposure to chlorpyrifos through the extensive use of this insecticide to control agricultural pests [36].

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

During vector control programs, the city of Tapachula is uniformly sprayed, using the same insecticide, frequency and intensity. More yet, we selected sites based in their accessibility to spraying-vehicles. Assuming that no spatial heterogeneity in frequency and intensity of spraying, we did not expect the high levels of variation in resistance profiles across de city. For example, significant heterogeneity in the frequency of kdr haplotypes was detected in Ae. aegytpi collected between city blocks in a town of Yucatan, suggesting that selection for these haplotypes occurs at a fine spatial scale (37 GROSSMAN). However, in contrast to our study, insecticide application was highly variable in space and time, creating a mosaic of selection pressures. In our study, some sources of heterogeneity could occur from mosquito migration from untreated sites due to vehicle inaccessibility, including parks, cemeteries, steep and unpaved streets. A second source of insecticide pressure is by use of household aerosol insecticides. For example, in a previous study from Merida, Mexico approximately 87% of households used commercially available pyrethroid products to control mosquitoes in their homes. Future studies should include an assessment of this source of selection pressure in Tapachula.

The spatial variability in insecticide resistance observed across the 26 sites in Tapachula is likely associated to the presence or appearance of "hot spots or dengue foci." which contribute to the persistent transmission of the diseases and therefore

to focal areas with greater spray intervention [38]. In addition, the spatial variability of resistance highlights the importance of evaluating resistance in multiple sites within a defined geographic area for the application of appropriate vector control decisions. Although no geographical correlation/association/pattern between resistance was found in Tapachula, more specific and finer environmental characteristics must not be discarded in future studies. A previous study used mitochondrial ND4 haplotypes to determine gene flow patterns among 38 Ae. aegypti coastal collections in Mexico (39). Three genetic clusters were identified, the Northeast, Pacific, and Yucatan peninsula. For all sites, genetic distances remained small below geographic distances of 90 km and became large at distances >150 km. The Pacific cluster had the highest gene flow and diversity. A second study in the Yucatan Peninsula showed high gene flow occurring across 27 Ae. aegypti collection sites located up to 150 km of distance. Single nucleotide polymorphism (SNPs) at eleven loci did not vary across sites, suggesting high levels of gene flow. In contrast, insecticide resistance loci, including kdr alleles (I1016 and C1534) were highly variable across sites, indicating that insecticide resistance offsets the homogenizing effects of gene flow (40). In this study, we assume complete gene flow among collection sites because: 1) Tapachula belongs to the Pacific cluster, 2) Ae. aegypti is well established throughout the year and, 3) collection sites are within 10 km of distance. However, this remains to be tested.

434

435

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

432

433

Conclusion

Despite more than 5 years having passed since the removal of pyrethroids from vector control programs in Tapachula, high levels of pyrethroid resistance and kdr-associated alleles persist in Ae. aegypti populations. Future resistance surveys will reveal if pyrethroid resistance is maintained in mosquito populations. We observed that, after 5 years of chlorpyrifos use in vector control programs, more than 50% of the sites have moderate to high chlorpyrifos resistance but complete susceptibility to malathion. Since malathion was introduced later in 2017, future studies to evaluate the selection of malathion resistance in the field are needed. Two different analysis were conducted 1) the spatial analysis included all 26 sites and, 2) the quadrant analysis to identify operational sources of heterogeneity. The quadrant analysis doesn't include a geographical component and has limitations. Insecticide resistance varied spatially, most likely as a consequence of the pattern of insecticide use combined with environmental factors. Based on the results of our study, we suggest that both of the studied organophosphates and the carbamate remain viable options for use in the control strategy for this vector. The return to a pyrethroid (at least permethrin and deltamethrin) for outdoor spraying is recommended when the levels of resistance have decreased to RR less than 10-fold and once mechanisms other than *kdr* have been elucidated for pyrethroid resistance.

Acknowledgments

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

The authors would like to thank Biol. Elsa Contreras, Geovanni Vázquez and Francisco Pozos from the Programme of Vector Control in Tapachula for contributions in the field work.

References

460	1.	Amelia-Yap ZH, Chen CD, Sofian-Azirun M, Low VL. Pyrethroid resistance in
461		the dengue vector Aedes aegypti in Southeast Asia: present situation and
462		prospects for management. Parasit Vectors. 2018;11(1):332. Available from:
463		https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-018-2899-0.
464	2.	Roiz D, Wilson AL, Scott TW, Fonseca DM, Jourdain F, Muller P, et al.
465		Integrated Aedes management for the control of Aedes-borne diseases.
466		PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2018;12: e0006845. Available from:
467		https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0006845.
468	3.	Bisset JA. Rodriguez MM, San Martín JL, Romero JE. Montoya R. Evaluación
469		de la resistencia a insecticidas de una cepa de Aedes aegypti de El Salvador.
470		Rev. Panam. Salud Pública. 2009;26:229-234. Available from:
471		https://iris.paho.org/bitstream/handle/10665.2/9778/07.pdf?sequence=1&isA
472		llowed=y
473	4.	Norma oficial mexicana NOM-032-SSA-2002, para la vigilancia
474		epidemiológica, prevención y control de enfermedades transmitidas por
475		vector. México: Secretaría de Salud. 2002. Available from:
476		http://www.salud.gob.mx/unidades/cdi/nom/032ssa2002.html.
477	5.	Centro Nacional de Programas Preventivos y Control de Enfermedades
478		(CENAPRECE). Monitoreo de Resistencia a Insecticidas (adulticidas)
479		Utilizados en el Programa Nacional de Control de Vectores en México. 2014.
480		Available from:

481		http://www.cenaprece.salud.gob.mx/programas/interior/vectores/descargas/
482		pdf/MonitoreoResistenciaInsecticidas2014.pdf.
483	6.	World Health Organization WHO. Report of the WHO world health statistics.
484		monitoring health for the sustainable development goals (SDGs). 2016.
485		Available from:
486		https://www.who.int/gho/publications/world_health_statistics/2016/Annex_B/
487		en/.
488	7.	Soderlund DM, & Bloomquist JR. Molecular mechanisms of insecticide
489		resistance. Pest Resist Arthropods. 1990;13:58-96. Available from:
490		http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-6429-0_4.
491	8.	Dong K, Du Y, Rinkevich F, Nomura Y, Xu P, Wang L, et al. Molecular biology
492		of insect sodium channels and pyrethroid resistance. Insect Biochem Mol Biol.
493		2014;50:1–17. Available from:
494		https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4484874/
495	9.	Saavedra-Rodriguez K., Urdaneta-Marquez L, Rajatileka S, Moulton M,
496		Flores AE, Fernandez-Salas, et al. A mutation in the voltage-gated sodium
497		channel gene associated with pyrethroid resistance in Latin American Aedes
498		aegypti. Insect Mol Biol. 2007;16(6):785–798. Available from:
499		https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/pdf/10.1111/j.1365-2583.2007.00774.x.
500	10	Yanola J, Somboon P, Walton C, Nachaiwieng W, Somwang P,
501		Prapanthadara L. High-throughput assays for detection of the F1534C
502		mutation in the voltage-gated sodium channel gene in permethrin-resistant
503		Aedes aegypti and the distribution of this mutation throughout Thailand. Trop
504		Med Int Health. 2011;16(4):501–509. Available from:

505	http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2011.02725.x.
506	11. Haddi K, Tome HVV, Du Y, Valbon WR, Nomura Y, Martins GF, et al.
507	Detection of a new pyrethroid resistance mutation (V410L) in the sodium
508	channel of Aedes aegypti: a potential challenge for mosquito control. Sci Rep.
509	2017;7:46549. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1038/srep46549 .
510	12. Saavedra-Rodriguez K, Maloof FV, Campbell CL, Garcia-Rejon J, Lenhart A
511	Penilla P, et al. Parallel evolution of vgsc mutations at domains IS6, IIS6 and
512	IIIS6 in pyrethroid resistant Aedes aegypti from Mexico. Sci Rep-UK. 2018;8
513	6747. Available from: https://www.nature.com/articles/s41598-018-25222-0
514	13. Plan de acción. Tapachula, México. 2018. Programa Ciudades Emergentes
515	y Sostenibles (CES). 2018. Available from
516	https://www.iadb.org/es/desarrollo-urbano-y-vivienda/programa-ciudades-
517	emergentes-y-sostenibles.
518	14. Boletín epidemiológico. Sistema Nacional de Vigilancia Epidemiológica
519	Sistema Único de Información. Dirección General de Epidemiología.
520	Secretaria de Salud, Mexico. 2019. Available from
521	https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/451550/sem13.pdf
522	15. Guía Metodológica para la Vigilancia Entomológica con Ovitrampas. Centro
523	Nacional de Programas Preventivos y Control de Enfermedades
524	(CENAPRECE). Secretaria de Salud, Mexico City. 2015. Available from
525	https://www.gob.mx/cms/uploads/attachment/file/354685/Guia_Metodologic
526	a_para_Vigilancia_Entomologica_con_Ovitrampas.pdf.
527	16. Brogdon WG, Chan A. Instrucciones para la evaluación de la resistencia a
528	insecticida en vectores mediante el ensayo biológico de la -botella de la CDC

529	2012;1–28.		Available		from:
530	http://www.cdc.go	v/malaria/resourc	es/pdf/fsp/ir m	anual/ir cdc b	ioassay es
531	<u>.pdf.</u>				
532	17.Lozano-Fuentes S	S, Saavedra-Rod	riguez K, Black	k W IV, Eisen	L. QCAL: a
533	software application	on for the calculat	ion of dose-res	ponse curves i	n insecticide
534	resistance bioass	ays. J Am Mosq	Control. 2012;	28: 59–61. Ava	ailable from:
535	at: https://www.res	searchgate.net/pu	ıblication/2248	45565	
536	18.Mazzarri MB 8	Georghiou F	. Characteriz	ation of res	sistance to
537	organophosphate,	carbamate, and	pyrethroid inse	cticides in field	populations
538	of Aedes aegyp	ti (L) from Ve	nezuela. J Ai	m Mosq Con	trol Assoc.
539	1995;11:315-322.	PMID: 8551300.			
540	19.Black WC, DuTea	u NM. RAPD-PCF	R and SSCP an	alysis for insec	t population
541	genetic studies. T	he molecular biol	ogy of insect d	isease vectors	: a methods
542	manual. Cramton	J BC LC, edito	r. Ney York. (Chapman and	Hall. 1997.
543	Available from: htt	ps://doi.org/10.10	007/978-94-009	9-1535-0_31	
544	20.Rosenberg, M.S.,	and C.D. Anders	on. PASSaGE	: Pattern Anal	ysis, Spatial
545	Statistics and G	eographic Exeg	esis. Version	2. Methods	Ecol Evol.
546	2011;2(3):229-232	2. Available	from: http	s://doi/abs/10.1	1111/j.2041-
547	210X.2010.00081	х			
548	21.World Health Orga	anization (WHO).	Management	of insecticide r	esistance in
549	vectors of public h	ealth importance	. Report of the	ninth meeting	of the global
550	collaboration for d	evelopment of pe	esticides for pu	blic health. ISE	BN 978 92 4
551	150824	7. 20)14.	Available	from;
552	https://apps.who.ir	nt/iris/bitstream/ha	andle/10665/14	15673/9789241	508247 en

553	g.pdf;jsessionid=28BBB4AE1572C138ABC8A62931DBA9F6?sequence=1
554	22. Macoris ML, Andrighetti MT, Macoris O, Vanessa C G, Carvalho, Lídia RC,
555	et al. Association of insecticide use and alteration on Aedes aegypti
556	susceptibility status. Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz. 2007;102(8):895-
557	900. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0074-02762007000800001.
558	23. Garcia GP, Flores AE, Fernandez-Salas I, Saavedra-Rodriguez K, Reyes-
559	Solis G, Lozano-Fuentes S, et al. Recent rapid rise of a permethrin knock
560	down resistance allele in Aedes aegypti in Mexico. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
561	2009;3(10):e531. Available from:
562	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0000531
563	24. Flores AE, Ponce G, Silva BG, Gutierrez SM, Bobadilla C, Lopez B, et al.
564	Wide spread cross resistance to pyrethroids in Aedes aegypti (Diptera:
565	Culicidae) From Veracruz state Mexico. J Econ Entomol. 2013;106(2):959-
566	969. doi: 10.1603/ec12284. PMID: 23786088; PMCID: PMC3980443.
567	Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23786088/
568	25. Aponte HA. Penilla RP, Dzul-Manzanilla F, Che-Mendoza A, López AD, Solis
569	F, et al. The pyrethroid resistance status and mechanisms in Aedes aegypti
570	from the Guerrero state, Mexico. Pest Biochem and Phys. 2013;107(2):226-
571	234. Available from: http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pestbp.2013.07.005 .
572	26. Kuri-Morales PA, Correa-Morales F, González-Acosta C, Moreno-Garcia M,
573	Santos-Luna R, Román-Pérez S, et al. Insecticide susceptibility status in
574	Mexican populations of Stegomyia aegypti (= Aedes aegypti): a nationwide
575	assessment. Med Vet Entomol. 2018;32(2):162-174. Available from:
576	https://doi.org/10.1111/mve.12281.

- 27. López-Solís A, Castillo-Vera A, Cisneros J, Solis-Santoyo F, Penilla-Navarro
 R, Black IV W, et al. (2020). Resistencia a insecticidas en *Aedes aegypti* y

 Aedes albopictus (Diptera: Culicidae) de Tapachula, Chiapas, México Salud
 Pública de México. 2020;62:439-446. Available from:
- 581 http://dx.doi.org/10.21149/10131.

- 28. Vera-Maloof FZ, Saavedra-Rodriguez K, Penilla-Navarro RP, RodriguezRamirez A, Dzul F, Manrique-Saide P et al. Loss of pyrethroid resistance in
 newly established laboratory colonies of *Aedes aegypti*. Plos Negl Trop Dis.
 2020;14(3): Available from: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0007753.
- 29. Deming R, Manrique-Saide P, Medina Barreiro A, Cardeña EU, CheMendoza A, Jones B, et al. Spatial variation of insecticide resistance in the
 dengue vector *Aedes aegypti* presents unique vector control challenges.

 Parasit Vectors. 2016;9:67. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1346-3.
 - 30. Gray L, Sergio DF, Anuar MB, Vadillo-Sánchez J, González-Olvera G, Lenhart A, et al. Experimental evaluation of the impact of household aerosolized insecticides on pyrethroid resistant *Aedes aegypti*. Sci Rep. 2018;8:12535. Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6105583/.
 - 31. Loroño-Pino MA, Chan-Dzul YN, Zapata-Gil R, Carrillo-Solís C, Uitz-Mena A, García-Rejón JE, et al. Household use of insecticide consumer products in a dengue-endemic area in México. Trop Med & Int Health. 2014;19(10):1267-127. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12364.
 - 32. Nardini L, Christian RN, Coetzer N, Ranson H, Coetzee M, Koekemoer LL.

501	Detoxification enzymes associated with insecticide resistance in laboratory
502	strains of Anopheles arabiensis of different geographic origin. Parasit
503	Vectors. 2012;5:113. doi:10.1186/1756-3305-5-113. PMID: 22676389.
504	Available from: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3430573/
605	33. Vera-Maloof FZ, Saavedra-Rodriguez K, Elizondo-Quiroga AE, Lozano-
606	Fuentes S, Black IV WC. Coevolution of the Ile1,016 and Cys1,534 mutations
607	in the voltage gated sodium channel gene of Aedes aegypti in Mexico. PLoS
608	Negl Trop Dis. 2020;9(12): e0004263. Available from
509	https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0004263.
610	34. Mutero A, Pralavorio M, Bride JM, & Fournier D. Resistance-associated point
611	mutations in insecticide-insensitive acetylcholinesterase. Proc Nat Acad Sc
512	USA. 1994;91(13):5922–5926. Available from:
513	https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.91.13.5922.
514	35. Weill, M., A. Berthomieu, C. Berticat, G. Lutfalla, V. Nègre, N. Pasteur, A.
615	Philips, J. Leonetti, P. Fort and M. Raymond. "Insecticide resistance: a silent
616	base prediction." Current Biology. 2004;14(14): R552-R553. Available from:
617	https://www.cell.com/current-biology/pdf/S0960-9822(04)00486-5.pdf
518	36. Lopez B, Ponce G, Gonzalez JA, Gutierrez SM, Villanueva OK, Gonzalez G.
519	Susceptibility to chlorpyrifos in pyrethroid-resistant populations of Aedes
520	aegypti (Diptera: Culicidae) from Mexico. J Med Entomol. 2014;51(3):644-
521	649. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1603/me13185.
522	37. Grossman MK, Rodriguez J, Barreiro AM, Lenhart A, Manrique-Saide P
523	Vazquez-Prokopec GM. Fine-scale spatial and temporal dynamics of kdr
524	haplotypes in Aedes aegypti from Mexico Parasit Vectors, 2019:12:1:20.

625	Pmid: 30626410; PubMed Central PMCID: PMC6327429. Available from:
626	https://parasitesandvectors.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s13071-
627	018-3275-9
628	38. Opondo KO, Weetman D, Jawara M, Diatta M, Fofana A, Crombe F, et al.
629	Does insecticide resistance contribute to heterogeneities in malaria
630	transmission in The Gambia? Malar J. 2016;15(15):166. doi:
631	10.1186/s12936-016-1203-z. PMID: 26980461; PMCID: PMC4793517.
632	Available from: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26980461/
633	39. Gorrochotegui-Escalante N, Gomez-Machorro C, Lozano S, Fernandez Salas
634	I, Munoz ML, Farfan-Ale J, Garcia-Rejon, J, Beaty B, Black W. Breeding
635	structure of Aedes aegypti populations in Mexico varies by region. Am J Trop
636	Med Hyg. 2002;66. 213-22. 10.4269/ajtmh.2002.66.213.
637	40. Saavedra-Rodriguez K, Beaty M, Lozano-Fuentes S, Denham S, Garcia-
638	Rejon J, Reyes-Solis G, Machain-Williams C, Loroño-Pino MA, Flores-Suarez
639	A, Ponce-Garcia G, Beaty B, Eisen L, and Black WCIV. Local evolution of
640	pyrethroid resistance offsets gene flow among Aedes aegypti collections in
641	Yucatan state, Mexico. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2015;92(1):201-209. Available
642	from: https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.14-0277
643	
043	
644	
645	

Supplementary Tables 647 Table S1. Lethal concentration to kill 50% (LC50) for five insecticides at 26 648 Aedes aegypti sites in Tapachula, Chiapas, Mexico. The LC50 is in micrograms 649 (µg) of active ingredient per bottle. The 95% confidence intervals around the LC₅₀ 650 are enclosed in parentheses. p values higher than 0.05 indicate the observed data 651 fit the binary logistic regression model. 652 653 Table S2. Genotype for two kdr-associated substitutions (V1016I and F1534C) 654 per individual Aedes aegypti mosquito (n = 47 to 50) at 26 sites in Tapachula, 655 Chiapas, Mexico. For V1016I: AA = homozygote for Ile₁₀₁₆, resistant; GG = 656 homozygote for Val₁₀₁₆, susceptible; AG = heterozygote Ile₁₀₁₆/Val₁₀₁₆. For F1534C: 657 TT homozygote for Phe₁₅₃₄, susceptible; GG = homozygote for Cys₁₅₃₄, resistant; 658 TG = heterozygote Phe₁₅₃₄/Cys₁₅₃₄. 659 660





