Developmental Cell, Volume 56

Supplemental information

Reprogrammed lipid metabolism protects inner

nuclear membrane against unsaturated fat

Anete Romanauska and Alwin Köhler



Supplementary Figure 1. Characterization of LipSat sensor activity in response to nutrients and genetic perturbations, Related to Figures 1 and 2.

(A) Lipid Saturation (LipSat) sensors, expressed in *mga*2∆ cells, do not impair cell growth. Phenotypic analysis of plasmid-based full-length *MGA2* (wild-type control) and the indicated Lipid Saturation (LipSat) sensors. Growth was followed on SDC-HIS plates. Cells were spotted onto plates in 10-fold serial dilutions and incubated at 30°C.

(B) Protein levels of wild-type Mga2 (expressed from endogenous *MGA2* promoter) compared to the ER and INM LipSat sensors, both expressed from the *ADH1* promoter. Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(C) Comparison of wild-type and $mga2\Delta$ cells expressing the indicated LipSat sensors. Sensor fluorescence intensity was quantified across a line spanning the nucleus. For comparison the FU value 1 is marked with a horizontal dashed line. LipSat sensor expression in wild-type cells might lead to some heterodimerization with endogenous Mga2, which is excluded in the $mga2\Delta$ background. Arbitrary Fluorescence Units, FU; nucleus, N; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope, NE; nucleoplasmic localization, NP loc.; nuclear envelope localization, NE

loc. Scale bar, 2 µm.

(D) Quantification of LipSat sensor localization in (C). Phenotypes were classified as membrane-bound or nucleoplasmic. Mean value and standard deviation are depicted. n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates.
(E) Immunoblotting analysis of LipSat sensor processing. Samples were taken from cell cultures used in (C). GFP-tagged Heh2-p120*/p90* fragments have a higher molecular weight than p120/p90. Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(F) Quantification of LipSat sensor processing in (E). The percentage of Heh2-p120* and Heh2-p90* or p120* and p90* relative to total amount of sensor was quantified. The mean value and standard deviation from 3 biological replicates are depicted. (G) Live imaging of $mga2\Delta$ cells expressing the plasmid-based ER LipSat sensor supplemented with the indicated fatty acids (16 mM). Sensor fluorescence intensity was quantified across a line spanning the nucleus. For comparison the FU value 1 is marked with a horizontal dashed line. Dashed white line indicates the cell contour. Arbitrary Fluorescence Units, FU; nucleus, N; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope, NE; nucleoplasmic localization, NP loc.; nuclear envelope localization, NE loc. Scale bar, 2 μ m. (H) Quantification of ER LipSat sensor localization in (G). Phenotypes were classified as: membrane-bound or nucleoplasmic. Mean value and standard deviation are depicted. n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates.
(I) Immunoblotting analysis of ER LipSat sensor processing in (G). Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(J) Quantification of ER LipSat sensor processing in (I). The percentage of p120* and p90* relative to total amount of sensor was quantified. The mean value and standard deviation from 3 biological replicates are depicted.

(K) Cartoon of ER LipSat sensor and INM LipSat sensor carrying an N-terminal mGFP tag and a C-terminal mCherry tag.

(L) Probing the compartment of LipSat processing. When saturated lipids increase (ON), the mGFP-tagged portion of the sensor is processed, released from the membrane and driven into the nucleus by its cryptic NLS. In contrast, the mCherry-tagged transmembrane (TM) part remains in the same membrane location. In the OFF state (unsaturated lipids), the sensor is not processed and the mGFP and mCherry signals co-localize.

(M) Cartoon of possible LipSat sensor processing sites in the cell. If the INM sensor was processed at the INM, the mCherry-tagged TM fragment should always localize to the INM. If INM sensor processing occurred in the ER, the mCherry-tagged TM fragment should remain in the ER.

(N) Live imaging of LipSat sensors carrying an N-terminal mGFP and a C-terminal mCherry tag in *mga2*∆ cells. Ole1-BFP was overexpressed to increase UFA levels. Note that mCherry and mGFP fluorescence of the INM LipSat sensor codalize at the INM consistent with UFA sensing and processing inside the nucleus. Processing of the LipSat sensor occurs on the N-terminal, nucleoplasmic side of the transmembrane helix (TM). The mCherry tag, however, is located on the C-terminal side of the TM in the perinuclear space. Hence, after processing, the mGFP part is released into the nucleoplasm, whereas the mCherry remains attached to the INM-bound TM. Nucleus, N; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope,

NE. Scale bar, 2 µm.



Figure S2

Supplementary Figure 2. Ole1 regulates lipid droplet formation, Related to Figures 2 and 3.

(A) Live imaging of cells expressing the ER LipSat sensor together with Ole1mCherry (bottom panel) or an empty vector (top panel). Ole1-mCherry was expressed from the strong *GPD (TDH3)* promoter. Plasmids were transformed into *mga2* Δ cells. Sensor fluorescence intensity was quantified across a line spanning the nucleus. For comparison the FU value 1 is marked with a horizontal dashed line. Arbitrary Fluorescence Units, FU; nucleus, N; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope, NE; nucleoplasmic localization, NP loc; nuclear envelope localization, NE loc. Scale bar, 2 μ m.

(B) Quantification of ER LipSat sensor localization in **(A)**. Phenotypes were classified as membrane-bound or nucleoplasmic. Mean value and standard deviation depicted. n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates.

(C) Immunoblotting analysis of ER LipSat sensor processing in **(A)**. Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(D) Quantification of ER LipSat sensor processing in **(C)**. The percentage of p120* and p90* relative to total amount of sensor was quantified. The mean value and standard deviation from 3 biological replicates are depicted.

(E) Live imaging of $mga2\Delta$ cells expressing the INM LipSat sensor alone, or the ER LipSat alone or both LipSat sensors together. Genomically integrated Ole1-BFP was expressed from the strong *GPD* (*TDH3*) promoter. Peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope, NE; inner nuclear membrane, INM. Scale bar, 2 μ m.

(F) Immunoblotting analysis of ER LipSat sensor processing in (E). Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(G) Immunoblotting analysis of INM LipSat sensor processing in **(E)**. Note that the mCh-tagged Heh2-p120*/p90* fragments have a higher molecular weight than p120/p90. Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(H) Live imaging of cells expressing Ole1-mCherry on top of the wild-type allele. Ole1 was expressed from its endogenous or a strong *GPD* promoter. LDs are stained with BODIPY. Peripheral ER, pER; nuclear envelope, NE. Scale bar, 2 μ m.

(I) Quantification of total LD number per cell in (H). n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates. Mean value and standard deviation are indicated.

(J) Quantification of total LD volume per cell in (H) n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates. Mean value and standard deviation are indicated.

(K) Immunoblotting analysis of Ole1-mCherry expression levels in **(H)**. Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(L) Immunoblotting analysis of Mga2-mCherry expression levels in Figure 3A. Pgk1 was used as a loading control.

(M) Automated quantification of total LD volume in **Figure 3A**. n = number of analyzed cells from 3 biological replicates. p-value (***<0.001) was determined by Mann-Whitney test. Mean value and standard deviation are indicated.

(N) Live imaging of wild-type cells expressing the indicated plasmid-based constructs from the strong *GPD* promoter. LDs are stained with BODIPY. Peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope, NE. Scale bar, 2 μm.



Figure S3

Supplementary Figure 3. Mga2 activity increases cytoplasmic LD formation, Related to Figure 3.

(A) Live imaging of the ER LipSat sensor co-expressed with Mga2 Δ TM-mCherry or an empty vector. Genomically integrated Mga2 Δ TM-mCherry was expressed from the strong *GPD* promoter in *mga*2 Δ cells. Sensor fluorescence intensity was quantified across a line spanning the nucleus. For comparison the FU value 1 is marked with a horizontal dashed line. Arbitrary Fluorescence Units, FU; nucleus, N; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER; nuclear envelope, NE; nucleoplasmic localization, NP loc; nuclear envelope localization, NE loc. Scale bar, 2 µm.

(B) Quantification of ER LipSat sensor localization in (A). Phenotypes were classified as membrane-bound or nucleoplasmic. Mean value and standard deviation are depicted. n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates.
(C) Immunoblotting analysis of ER LipSat sensor processing in (A). Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(D) Quantification of ER LipSat sensor processing in **(C)**. The percentage of p120* and p90* relative to total amount of sensor was quantified. The mean value and standard deviation from 3 biological replicates are depicted.

(E) Overexpression of Spt23 induces LDs. Live imaging of wild-type cells expressing plasmid-based Spt23 from the strong *GPD* promoter. LDs are stained with BODIPY. Scale bar, 2 μm.

(F) Comparison of nLD content in *ino4*Δ or Mga2ΔTM overexpressing cells. Heh2mCherry was used as an INM marker. LDs are stained with BODIPY. Cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD; nuclear envelope, NE. Scale bar, 2 μm.

(G) nLD quantification in **(F)**. nLDs were defined as BODIPY-positive structures within the Heh2-labeled INM. More than 660 cells were counted for each condition from 3 biological replicates. The mean value and standard deviation are depicted.

(H) Expression levels of Ole1 under different experimental conditions. Ole1 was tagged genomically and upregulated via Mga2∆TM overexpression or expressed from a strong *GPD* promoter. LDs in Mga2∆TM-expressing cells are larger/more abundant (compare LD volume per cell in **Figures 3F** and **S2J**) even though Ole1 protein levels were not higher compared to Ole1 overexpressing cells. The likely reason for this effect is that Mga2 affects several target genes as shown in **Figure 4B** (e.g. *MVD1*, *PHS1*, *ICT1* and *ALE1*) which may synergize in buffering excess UFAs via LD biogenesis. Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(I) TEM analysis of Mga2 Δ TM overexpressing cells. A plasmid-based Mga2 Δ TM construct was expressed from the *GPD* promoter in *mga2* Δ cells. ER membranes are

frequently wrapped around cLDs in this mutant (arrowheads). Nucleus, N; vacuole, V; cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD. Scale bar, 1 μ m.



Figure S4

Supplementary Figure 4. Transcriptome analysis and cellular phosphatidylcholine / phosphatidic acid measurements, Related to Figure 4.

(A) Number of differentially transcribed genes in Figure 4A.

(B) Simplified scheme of lipid metabolism in yeast. Major pathways are color-coded and key lipid intermediates/end products are depicted. Differentially transcribed enzymes in the mutant strains are shown and marked with a green dot (down), red dot (up). Asterisk indicates the Kennedy pathway, which uses exogenous choline and ethanolamine together with DAG to form PE and PC.

(C) Immunoblotting analysis of Opi3-GFP protein levels confirms the transcriptional downregulation of *OPI3* in *ino4* Δ cells but not in Mga2 Δ TM overexpressing cells (see **Figure 4B**). Pgk1 serves as a loading control.

(D) Whole cell analysis of phosphatidic acid (PA) levels in the indicated mutants compared to wild-type cells. Mean value and standard deviation for each condition from 3 experiments depicted. Arbitrary unit, a.u.

(E) Quantification of NLS-PA-mCherry sensor localization as observed in **Figure 4C**. n = number of analyzed cells from 3 biological replicates.

(F) Live imaging of Pct1-mCherry (genomically tagged) in the indicated strains. Cells were grown to stationary phase. Nucleus, N; inner nuclear membrane, INM; cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD. Scale bar, 2 μm.

(G) Quantification of Pct1 localization as observed in (F). Phenotypes were classified as membrane-bound or nucleoplasmic. Mean value and standard deviation are depicted. n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates.
(H) Live imaging of wild-type cells expressing genomically integrated NLS-PA-mCherry sensor (control for Figure 4E). BODIPY stains LDs. Nucleus, N. Scale bar,

2 μm.

(I) Quantification of NLS-PA sensor localization in **Figure 4E**. n = number of analyzed cells from 3 biological replicates.

(J) Immunoblotting analysis of wild-type and mutant PA sensor expression levels (tagged with mCherry). Pgk1 serves as a loading control. See further explanations in (K).

(K) PA sensing by the Opi1-derived PA sensor depends on specific residues within a critical amphipathic helix (AH). This AH harbors two PA-selective three-finger grips, each formed by three basic residues on one side of the helix. The first motif is a KRK motif and the second a 3K motif (Hofbauer et al., 2018). Opi1 harbors additional residues that contribute to PA recognition and these are also present in the sensor (Loewen et al., 2004; Romanauska and Kohler, 2018), but were not examined here.

We created mutations in the AH motifs to test whether sensor binding to PA-rich membranes in general, and binding to PA-rich LDs in particular would be affected. To this end the KRK and 3K motifs in our sensor (i.e. the Q2 domain of Opi1) were substituted by alanines (KRK & 3K > 6A; K112, R115, K119, K121, K125, K128). This variant forms a predicted AH and its mean helical hydrophobic moment (μ H=0.289) is similar to wild-type (μ H=0.357). This mutant sensor had a decreased affinity for PA-rich membranes in cells: labeling of PA-rich LDs as well as the PA-rich plasma membrane was reduced when tested in wild-type and *ino4* Δ cells. The residual binding to PA-rich membranes is explained by the contribution of residues outside of the AH. Mutating these on top of AH residues (Romanauska and Kohler, 2018) or a charge inversion of R/K residues to aspartate (6D mutant) (μ H=0.344) further reduces membrane binding. Representative images of PA sensor mutants expressed in wild-type or *ino4* Δ cells. BODIPY stains LDs. For comparison the FU value 1 is marked with a horizontal dashed line. Arbitrary Fluorescence Units, FU; plasma membrane, PM; cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD. Scale bar, 2 μ m.



Supplementary Figure 5. High UFA levels cause ER and NE morphology defects in LD-deficient cells, Related to Figure 5.

TEM analysis of representative examples of 4Δ cells overexpressing Mga2 Δ TM from the inducible *GAL1* promoter. Nucleus, N; vacuole, V; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER. Red asterisk marks membrane stacks/whorls; red arrowhead indicates NE defects including NE expansions and alterations of the perinuclear space. Scale bar, 1 μ m.



acid

acid

acid

Supplementary Figure 6. Targeting Sei1 activity to the INM drives nLD production, Related to Figure 6.

(A) Live imaging of *sei1* Δ cells expressing the indicated *SEI1* constructs from the *SEI1* promoter and Sec62-mNeonGreen as an ER marker. The appended Heh2 fragment comprises an NLS (Heh2 aa93-137) and an adjacent linker (aa 138-317). Heh2- \oslash NLS-Sei1 indicates a Heh2 fragment with the omitted NLS. Nuclear envelope, NE; peripheral endoplasmic reticulum, pER. Scale bar, 2 μ m.

(B) Live imaging of *sei1* Δ cells expressing the NLS-PA-mCherry sensor and the plasmid-based Heh2- \oslash NLS-Sei1 (*SEI1* promoter). Heh2- \oslash NLS-Sei1 indicates a Heh2 fragment with the omitted NLS. See also **Figure 6A**. BODIPY stains LDs. Nucleus, N. Scale bar, 2 μ m.

(C) Live imaging of cells expressing the perilipin Pet10-mGFP, the NLS-PA-mCherry sensor, and the indicated *SEI1* constructs. *SEI1* constructs were expressed from the endogenous *SEI1* promoter in *sei1* Δ cells. Nucleus, N; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD. Asterisk marks PA-positive foci. Scale bar, 2 µm.

(D) Live imaging of cells expressing mGFP-Dga1, NLS-PA-mCherry sensor, and the indicated *SEI1* constructs. *SEI1* constructs were expressed from the endogenous *SEI1* promoter in *sei1* Δ cells. Nucleus, N; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD. Asterisk marks PA-positive foci. Scale bar, 2 µm.

(E) Live imaging of NLS-PA-mCherry sensor in the indicated strains as a readout for nLD production. nLDs have a BODIPY-positive core surrounded by a PA-rich shell. Nucleus, N; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD. Scale bar, 2 μm.

(F) Quantification of NLS-PA sensor localization in **(E)**. n = number of analyzed cells from 3 biological replicates.

(G) Live imaging of NLS-PA-mCherry sensor in the indicated strains as a readout for nLD production. nLDs have a BODIPY-positive core surrounded by a PA-rich shell. Nuclear envelope, NE; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD. Scale bar, 2 μm.

(H) Quantification of NLS-PA sensor localization in **(G)**. n = number of analyzed cells from 3 biological replicates.

(I) Quantification of INM LipSat sensor localization in **Figure 6L**. Phenotypes were classified as membrane-bound or nucleoplasmic. Mean value and standard deviation are depicted. n = number of analyzed cells for each condition from 3 biological replicates.



Supplementary Figure 7. Ultrastructural analysis of Sei1-induced nLDs, Related to Figure 6.

(A-B) TEM analysis of representative examples of *sei1* Δ cells transformed with an empty vector. Nucleus, N. White asterisk indicates some droplet-like structures that might correspond to the small PA-positive foci observed by fluorescence microscopy in **Figure 6A**. Scale bar, 1 μ m.

(C-F) TEM analysis of representative examples of Heh2-Sei1 expressing cells. Plasmid-based Heh2-Sei1 was expressed from the *SEI1* promoter in a *sei1* Δ strain. Nucleus, N; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD. Red asterisk marks a widened perinuclear space beneath an nLD. Scale bar, 1 µm.

(G) TEM analysis of a representative example of genomically integrated Mga2 Δ TM in *sei1\Delta* cells. Mga2 Δ TM was expressed from the strong *GPD* promoter. Nucleus, N; cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD. Scale bar, 1 μ m.

(H) TEM analysis of a representative example of Sei1 expression in genomically integrated Mga2 Δ TM cells. Mga2 Δ TM was expressed from the strong *GPD* promoter. Nucleus, N; cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD. Scale bar, 1 μ m.

(I-K) TEM analysis of Heh2-Sei1 expression in genomically integrated Mga2 Δ TM cells. Mga2 Δ TM was expressed from the strong *GPD* promoter. Nucleus, N; cytoplasmic lipid droplet, cLD; nuclear lipid droplet, nLD. Scale bar, 1 μ m.

Table S1. Yeast strains used in this study, related to the Key Resources Table and STAR Methods.

YEAST STRAINS	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
S. cerevisiae strain wild-type (BY4741), genotype:	Euroscarf	Y00000
MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0		
S. cerevisiae strain $mga2\Delta$, genotype: MATa; ura3 $\Delta 0$;	Euroscarf	Y05968
leu $2\Delta 0$; his $3\Delta 1$; met $15\Delta 0$; mga 2Δ ::kanMX4		
S. cerevisiae strain ino4 Δ , genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0;	Euroscarf	Y06258
leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; ino4 Δ ::kanMX4		
S. cerevisiae strain $mga2\Delta$ Heh2-mCherry Mga2 Δ TM,	This paper	N/A
genotype: MATa; ura3∆0; leu2∆0; his3∆1; met15∆0; mga2∆::kanMX4; Heh2-mCherry::natNT2; GPDprom- mga2∆TM-5xGS-VC::URA3		
S. cerevisiae strain $mga2\Delta$ Mga2 Δ TM-mCherry,	This paper	N/A
genotype: <i>MATa; ura3Δ0; leu2Δ0; his3Δ1; met15Δ0;</i>		
mga2∆::kanMX4; GPDprom-mga2∆TM-mCherry::URA3		
S. cerevisiae strain $mga2\Delta$ Mga2 Δ TM, genotype: MATa;	This paper	N/A
ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; mga2 Δ ::kanMX4;		
GPDprom-mga2∆TM-5xGS-VC::URA3		
S. cerevisiae strain ino4 Δ Heh2-mCherry, genotype:	This paper	N/A
MATa; ura3∆0; leu2∆0; his3∆1; met15∆0; ino4∆::kanMX4: Heh2-mCherrv::natNT2		
S. cerevisiae strain NLS-PA-mCh. genotype: MATa:	This paper	N/A
$ura3\Delta0$; $leu2\Delta0$; $his3\Delta1$; $met15\Delta0$; $ADH1prom-NLS-PA-$		
S corovision strain Man2ATM NILS DA mCh. appotype:	This paper	NI/A
$3.$ Cereviside Strain Mga2 Δ TM NL3-FA-IICI, genotype.		IN/A
MATA, UIASAU, IEUZAU, TIISSAT, THEUTSAU, ADH TPIOTT-		
$NC \sim 10^{-1}$		
S cerevisiae strain ino/A NI S-PA-mCh genotype:	This paper	NI/A
MAT_{2} , $ura 20.0$; $lou 20.0$; $bis 20.1$; $mot 150.0$;		N/A
mATa, utabab, teuzab, TisbaT, thet toab, inc. A utabab, inc. A u		
S cerevisiae strain oni30 NI S-PA-mCh depotype:	This naner	N/A
MAT_{2} : $ura 20.0$: $lou 20.0$: $bis 20.1$: mot 150.0:		
$ni_3\Lambda$ $natNT2$ $ADH1 nrom NI S-PA mCh HIS3$		
S cerevisiae strain cho2 Λ NLS-PA-mCh genotype:	This paper	N/A
MAT_{a} : $ura 30.0$: $lau 20.0$: $his 30.1$: mat 150.0:		
$hard, urasilo, red220, mss21, metro20, cho2\Lambda ·· natNT2· ADH1prom-NI S-PA-mCh··HIS3$		
S cerevisiae strain ino4\ NI S-PA-mCh Mga2\TM	This paper	N/A
denotype: MAT_2 : ur_23AO : $leu 2AO$: $his 3AI$: $met 15AO$:		1.1/7
$\Delta DH1 prom-NI S-PA-mCh''HIS3' GPD prom-map 2\Lambda TM_{-}$		
5xGS-VC···IIRA3· ino4∧··natNT2		
S cerevisiae strain Pct1-mCh genotype: MATa: μ ra 30 :	This paper	N/A
$O_{1} = O_{1} = O_{1$		1.1/7
S corovision strain ma2A Pot1-mCharny Ma2ATM	This paper	N/A
S. Cerevisiae strain $mgaz \Delta r$ ctr-monenty $mgaz \Delta rm$,		IN/A
genotype. MATA, $uta3\Delta 0$, $teu 2\Delta 0$, $this 3\Delta 1$, $thet t 5\Delta 0$, maa $2\Delta ::$ kan $MXA:$ Bat1 mCharn ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::		
$ma_{2} TM 5_{VCS} VC \cdot UPA_{2}$		
S cerevisiae strain oni3 Pot1-mCherry denotype:	This naner	NI/A
$MATa$: $\mu = 2A\Omega$: $h = 2A\Omega$: h	This paper	
$ni3\Delta$ $han MXA$ $Pot1_mCharmonia tNT2$		
S cerevisiae strain cho2A Pct1-mCherry genotype:	This naper	N/A
$M\Delta T_2$: $\mu_2 3 \Delta 0$: $\mu_2 2 \Delta 0$: $his 3 \Delta 1$: motor 15 $\Delta 0$:		1.1/7.
cho2∆::kanMX4; Pct1-mCherry::natNT2		

<i>S. cerevisiae</i> strain Ole1-BFP NLS-PA-mCh, genotype: MATa; ura3\D0; leu2\D0; his3\D1; met15\D0; ADH1prom- NLS-PA-mCh::HIS3; GPDprom-OLE1-BFP::URA3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain $mga2\Delta$ Ole1-BFP, genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; mga2 Δ ::kanMX4; GPDprom-OLE1-BFP::URA3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain Opi3-GFP, genotype: MATa; $ura3\Delta0$; $leu2\Delta0$; $his3\Delta1$; $met15\Delta0$; OPI3-GFP::natNT2	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain ino4∆ Opi3-GFP, genotype: MATa; ura3∆0; leu2∆0; his3∆1; met15∆0; ino4∆::kanMX4; OPI3-GFP::natNT2	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain mga2∆ Opi3-GFP Mga2∆TM, genotype: MATa; ura3∆0; leu2∆0; his3∆1; met15∆0; mga2∆::kanMX4; OPI3-GFP::natNT2; GPDprom- mga2∆TM-5xGS-VC::URA3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain 4Δ , genotype: BY4742 MAT α ; ura 3Δ 0; leu 2Δ 0; his 3Δ 1; lys 2Δ 0; are 1Δ ::kanMX4; are 2Δ ::kanMX4; dga 1Δ ::kanMX4; lro 1Δ ::kanMX4	(Petschnigg et al., 2009)	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain Ole1-mCh, genotype: <i>MATa;</i> ura3∆0; leu2∆0; his3∆1; met15∆0; OLE1-mCh::natNT2	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain $mga2\Delta$ Ole1-mCh, genotype: $MATa$; $ura3\Delta0$; $leu2\Delta0$; $his3\Delta1$; $met15\Delta0$; $mga2\Delta$:: $kanMX4$; OLE1-mCh:: $natNT2$	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain mga2∆ Ole1-mCh Mga2∆TM, genotype: MATa; ura3∆0; leu2∆0; his3∆1; met15∆0; mga2∆::kanMX4; OLE1-mCh::natNT2; GPDprom- mga2∆TM-5xGS-VC::URA3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ , genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; sei1 Δ ::kanMX4	Euroscarf	Y05313
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ NLS-PA-mCh, genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; sei1 Δ ::kanMX4; ADH1prom-NLS-PA-mCh::HIS3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ opi3 Δ NLS-PA-mCh, genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; sei1 Δ ::kanMX6; opi3 Δ ::natNT2; ADH1prom-NLS-PA- mCh::HIS3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ NLS-PA-mCh Ole1-BFP, genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; sei1 Δ ::kanMX4; ADH1prom-NLS-PA-mCh::HIS3; GPDprom-OLE1-BFP::URA3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ NLS-PA-mCh Mga2 Δ TM, genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; sei1 Δ ::kanMX4; ADH1prom-NLS-PA-mCh::HIS3; GPDprom-mga2 Δ TM-5xGS-VC::URA3	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ mga2 Δ , genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; mga2 Δ ::kanMX4; sei1 Δ ::natNT2	This paper	N/A
S. cerevisiae strain sei1 Δ mga2 Δ Ole1-BFP, genotype: MATa; ura3 Δ 0; leu2 Δ 0; his3 Δ 1; met15 Δ 0; mga2 Δ ::kanMX4; sei1 Δ ::natNT2; GPDprom-OLE1- BFP::URA3	This paper	N/A

Table S2. Plasmids used in this study, related to the Key Resources Table and STAR Methods.

PLASMIDS	SOURCE	IDENTIFIER
Yeast plasmids based on the pRS31X series	(Sikorski and Hieter, 1989)	N/A
Plasmid: MGA2prom-Mga2-mCh: pRS313-MGA2prom- MGA2-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: MGA2prom-Mga2∆TM-mCh: pRS313- MGA2prom-MGA2(1-1037)-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Mga2-mCh: pRS316-GPDprom- MGA2-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: <i>GPD</i> prom-Mga2∆TM-mCh: <i>p</i> RS316- <i>GPDprom-MGA2(1-1037)-mCh</i>	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GAL1prom-Mga2∆TM-mCh: <i>p</i> RS316- GAL1prom-MGA2(1-1037)-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Mga2∆TM-5xGS-VC: pRS306- GPDprom-MGA2(1-1037)-5xGS-VC	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: <i>GPD</i> prom-Mga2∆TM-5xGS-VC: <i>p</i> RS316- <i>GPDprom-MGA2(1-1037)-5xGS-VC</i>	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Mga2∆TM-mCh: pRS306- GPDprom-MGA2(1-1037)-mCherry	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: ER LipSat sensor. pRS313-ADH1prom-mGFP- MGA2(128-1113)	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: ER LipSat sensor. pRS315-ADH1prom-mGFP- MGA2(128-1113)	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: ER LipSat sensor-mCh: pRS313-ADH1prom- mGFP-MGA2(128-1113)-mCherry	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: INM LipSat sensor. pRS313-ADH1prom- mGFP-HEH2(93-317)-MGA2(128-1113)	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: INM W1042A LipSat sensor mutant: pRS313- ADH1prom-mGFP-HEH2(93-317)-MGA2(128- 1113)W1042A	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: INM P1044L LipSat sensor mutant. pRS313- ADH1prom-mGFP-HEH2(93-317)-MGA2(128- 1113)P1044L	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: INM LipSat sensor-mCh: pRS313-ADH1prom- mGFP-HEH2(93-317)-MGA2(128-1113)-mCherry	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: mCh-INM LipSat sensor. pRS313-ADH1prom- mCherry-HEH2(93-317)-MGA2(128-1113)	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: mGFP-Mga2: pRS313-ADH1prom-mGFP- MGA2	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: MGA2prom-mGFP-Mga2: pRS313- MGA2prom-mGFP-MGA2	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Ole1-mCh: pRS316-GPDprom- OLE1-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GAL1prom-Ole1-mCh: pRS316-GAL1prom- OLE1-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: OLE1prom-Ole1-mCh: pRS316-OLE1prom- OLE1-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Ole1-BFP: pRS316-GPDprom- OLE1-BFP	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Ole1-BFP: pRS306-GPDprom- OLE1-BFP	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: NLS-PA-mCh: <i>pRS303-ADH1prom-NUP</i> 60(1- 24)-OPI1(103-191)-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: NLS-PA-mCh: <i>pRS316-CYC1prom-NUP60(1-24)-OPI1(103-191)-mCh</i>	(Romanauska and Kohler, 2018)	N/A

Plasmid: GPDprom-Spt23-mCh: pRS316-GPDprom- SPT23-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: PA-mCh: pRS316-CYC1prom-OPI1(103-191)- mCh	(Romanauska and Kohler, 2018)	N/A
Plasmid: INO4prom-INO4: pRS315-INO4prom-INO4	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: GPDprom-Faa4-mCh: pRS316-GPDprom-	This paper	N/A
FAA4-mCh		
Plasmid: GPDprom-Elo1-mCh: pRS316-GPDprom- ELO1-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: <i>GPD</i> prom-Mvd1-mCh: <i>p</i> RS316-GPDprom- MVD1-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: Sei1: pRS315-SEI1prom-mGFP-SEI1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: Heh2-Sei1: pRS315-SEI1prom-mGFP- HEH2(93-317)-SEI1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: Heh2-Sei1: pRS313-SEI1prom-mGFP- HEH2(93-317)-SEI1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: Heh2ØNLS-Sei1: <i>pRS315-SEI1prom-mGFP-</i> <i>HEH</i> 2(138-317)-SEI1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: Sec62-mNeonGreen: pRS316-SEC62prom- SEC62-5xGS-mNeonGreen	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: Pet10-mGFP: pRS313-PET10prom-PET10- mGFP	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: mGFP-Dga1: pRS313-ADH1prom-mGFP- DGA1	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: PA 6A-mCh: pRS316-CYC1prom-opi1(103- 191)K112A R115A K119A K121A K125A K128A-mCh	This paper	N/A
Plasmid: PA 6D-mCh: <i>pRS316-CYC1prom-opi1(103-</i> 191)K112D R115D K119D K121D K125D K128D-mCh	This paper	N/A