Online Supplementary material

Epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) of human stem cell-derived retinal pigment epithelium shares commonalities with malignancy-associated EMT: a proteomic analysis

Srinivasa R. Sripathi^{1*}, Ming-Wen Hu¹, Ravi Chakra Turaga², Joseph Mertz¹, Melissa M. Liu¹, Jun Wan³, Julien Maruotti⁴, Karl J. Wahlin⁵, Cynthia A. Berlinicke,¹ Jiang Qian,¹ and Donald J. Zack^{1,6,7*}

¹Department of Ophthalmology, Stem Cell Ocular Regenerative Medicine Center, Wilmer Eye Institute, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA.

²Caris Life Sciences, 350 W Washington St., Tempe, AZ 85281, USA.

³Department of Medical and Molecular Genetics, Indiana University School of Medicine, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA. ⁴Phenocell, Grasse 06130, France.

⁵Shiley Eye Institute, University of California, San Diego, LA Jolla, CA 92093, USA.

⁶Solomon H. Snyder Department of Neuroscience, Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Department of Genetic Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD 21205, USA.

⁷Institute for NanoBioTechnology, Johns Hopkins University, Whiting School of Engineering Baltimore, MD 21218, USA.

*For correspondence : Srinivasa R. Sripathi, ssripat1@jhmi.edu; Donald J. Zack, dzack@jhmi.edu

										B										
MLR1		1.00	1.00	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97		MLR_01		0.99	0.99	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.92	0.92	0.92
MLR2			1.00	0.98	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97		MLR_02	and the second sec		0.99	0.96	0.96	0.97	0.92	0.92	0.92
MLR3				0.98	0.98	0.98	0.97	0.97	0.97		MLR_03	and the second	1		0.96	0.96	0.96	0.92	0.92	0.92
12HR1					1.00	1.00	0.99	0.99	0.99		12Hr_01	and the second	and the second second	and the second second		0.99	0.99	0.94	0.94	0.94
12HR2						1.00	0.99	0.99	0.99		12Hr_02	and the second	and the second second	and the second second	and a state of the		0.98	0.94	0.94	0.94
12HR3							0.99	0.99	0.99		12Hr_03	and the state	A. A. A.	and the second sec	and the second	and the second s		0.94	0.94	0.94
48HR1			and in					1.00	1.00		48Hr_01	A	and the second	and the second sec	and the second second	and the second	and the second second		0.99	0.99
48HR2									1.00		48Hr_02	and the second	and a start	and the second	and the second second	at a street	and the second second	a being		0.99
48HR3											48Hr_03	and in the second	and the second second	and the second s	and the second	and the second	and the second s		· · ·	
	MRI	MLR2	MLR3	2HR1	12HR2	12HR3	ARHRI	18HP2	18HR3				-		- ^				- 0	-0

C



Supplemental Figure S1. Comparative analysis of RPE EMT proteome.

(A). The matrix of 36 correlation plots revealed high correlation between TMT intensities in triplicate samples (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.97–0.99 between biological replicates). (B) The matrix of 36 correlation plots revealed high correlation between triplicate samples from direct-DIA approach (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.92–0.99 between biological replicates). (C) Volcano plot illustration of TMT identified differentially expressed proteins during RPE EMT. Pairwise comparison between 12 hr and 48 hr time points. Expression fold changes (t-test difference, log2) were calculated and plotted against Benjamini-Hochberg corrected t-test P-value (log10).



Supplemental Figure S2. (A) Venn diagram representation of comparative protein groups quantified and differentially regulated by TMT and direct-DIA approach. (B) Quantitative assessment of RPE-EMT conditions by direct-DIA approach.



Supplemental Figure S3. qPCR validation of differentially regulated RPE-EMT factors in hiPS derived RPE (EP1). Differential expression of malignancy associated EMT associated factors identified from TMT labeling approach were measured by qRT-PCR after enzymatic dissociation of monolayers into single cells (3 hr, 12 hr and 48 hr). Data were normalized by the expression levels in undissociated monolayer control conditions.



Supplemental Figure S4. qPCR validation of differentially regulated RPE-EMT factors in hiPSC derived RPE (IMR90.4).

Differential expression of (A) malignancy associated EMT and (B) AMD associated factors identified from TMT labeling approach were measured by qRT-PCR after enzymatic dissociation of monolayers into single cells (3 hr, 12 hr and 48 hr). Data were normalized by the expression levels in undissociated monolayer control conditions.

Β

Α



Supplemental Figure S5. qPCR validation of differentially regulated RPE-EMT factors in hESC derived RPE (H7). Differential expression of (A) malignancy associated EMT and (B) AMD associated factors identified from TMT labeling approach were measured by qRT-PCR after enzymatic dissociation of monolayers into single cells (3 hr, 12 hr and 48 hr). Data were normalized by the expression levels in undissociated monolayer control conditions.



Supplemental Figure S6. Gene Ontology (GO) enrichment analysis of RPE EMT.

Condensin complex Chromosome Nucleoplasm

0

20

40

Percentage of genes

80

60

Functional enrichment of differentially regulated RPE-EMT proteins was analyzed using the FunRich tool. (A) Biological Pathways. (B) Molecular Function. (C) Cellular Components.



Supplemental Figure S7. RPE EMT induced changes in phosphatase expression.

(A) Heatmap representing altered phosphatase expression during dissociation induced EMT. (B) Profile plots of three selected clusters showing distinct behavior with respect to each time point: 1. strong increase at 12 hr and 48hr time points; 2. strong increase only at 12 hr; and 3. decrease at 12 hr and 48 hr time points. (C) Scatter plot of identified kinases and phosphatase changes across the 12h and 48h time points. Pink (Kinases), violet (phosphatases).



FC: 48 hr / 12 hr (Log2)

Β

Α



Supplemental Figure S8. (A) Volcano plot illustration of differentially expressed kinases during RPE EMT. Pairwise comparison between 12 hr and 48 hr time points. Expression fold changes (t-test difference, log2) were calculated and plotted against Benjamini-Hochberg corrected t-test P-value (log10). (B) Kinome tree representation of the altered kinase profiling in RPE-EMT.



Supplemental Figure S9. Comparative analysis between copy numbers per cell and TMT intensities. Plots revealed high correlations between absolute protein copy numbers and TMT intensities in triplicate samples. (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.89 between biological replicates).



Supplemental Figure S10. Comparative analysis between copy numbers per cell and TMT intensities. (A) The matrix of 36 correlation plots revealed high correlations between absolute protein copy numbers and TMT intensities in triplicate samples (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.98 between biological replicates). (B) The matrix of 324 correlation plots revealed reasonable correlations between absolute protein copy numbers and TMT intensities in triplicate samples (Pearson correlation coefficient 0.87 between biological replicates).

Β

Α



CDH3 Copies

1×10⁵

2×10⁵

Supplemental Figure S11. Absolute quantification of RPE-EMT proteins. Bar graphs represent the absolute quantification (protein copy number per cell) of significantly altered malignancy-associated EMT factors.











Supplemental Figure S13. Absolute quantification of solute carrier (SLC) group of membrane transport proteins. Bar graphs represent the absolute quantification (protein copy number per cell) of significantly altered SLC family-related proteins.



Supplemental Figure S14. Absolute quantification of RPE-EMT proteins. Bar graphs represent the absolute quantification (protein copy number per cell) of significantly altered AMD-associated factors.



Supplemental Figure S15. Absolute quantification of RPE-EMT proteins.

Bar graphs represent the absolute quantification (protein copy number per cell) of significantly altered kinases during RPE-EMT factors.