

Corresponding author(s): D	r Melanie Gephart
----------------------------	-------------------

Reporting Summary

Nature Research wishes to improve the reproducibility of the work that we publish. This form provides structure for consistency and transparency in reporting. For further information on Nature Research policies, see <u>Authors & Referees</u> and the <u>Editorial Policy Checklist</u>.

When statistical analyses are reported, confirm that the following items are present in the relevant location (e.g. figure legend, table legend, main

Statistical parameters

text	, or N	Methods section).
n/a	Cor	nfirmed
	\boxtimes	The $\underline{\text{exact sample size}}(n)$ for each experimental group/condition, given as a discrete number and unit of measurement
	\boxtimes	An indication of whether measurements were taken from distinct samples or whether the same sample was measured repeatedly
	\boxtimes	The statistical test(s) used AND whether they are one- or two-sided Only common tests should be described solely by name; describe more complex techniques in the Methods section.
	\boxtimes	A description of all covariates tested
	\boxtimes	A description of any assumptions or corrections, such as tests of normality and adjustment for multiple comparisons
	\boxtimes	A full description of the statistics including <u>central tendency</u> (e.g. means) or other basic estimates (e.g. regression coefficient) AND <u>variation</u> (e.g. standard deviation) or associated <u>estimates of uncertainty</u> (e.g. confidence intervals)
	\boxtimes	For null hypothesis testing, the test statistic (e.g. <i>F</i> , <i>t</i> , <i>r</i>) with confidence intervals, effect sizes, degrees of freedom and <i>P</i> value noted <i>Give P values as exact values whenever suitable.</i>
\boxtimes		For Bayesian analysis, information on the choice of priors and Markov chain Monte Carlo settings
X		For hierarchical and complex designs, identification of the appropriate level for tests and full reporting of outcomes
\boxtimes		Estimates of effect sizes (e.g. Cohen's d, Pearson's r), indicating how they were calculated
	\boxtimes	Clearly defined error bars State explicitly what error bars represent (e.g. SD, SE, CI)

Our web collection on <u>statistics for biologists</u> may be useful.

Software and code

Policy information about availability of computer code

Data collection

Code Availability Statement

Analysis script was uploaded to github and can be found using the link below:

https://github.com/gmstanle/leptomeningeal-metastases-scRNAseq

Data analysis

FastQC (version 0.11.4; http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) was used for sequencing quality assessment. Reads were then aligned to the human (hg19) transcriptome using Bowtie software (version 2.2.7) with splice junctions being defined in a Gene Transfer Format file (obtained from the University of California, Santa Cruz). Expression at gene level was determined by calculating reads per kilo base per million aligned reads (FPKM) as well as raw count using RSEM software version 1.2.30 (http://deweylab.github.io/RSEM/). Iterative rPCA analysis was conducted using R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing version 3.4.1 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria; https://www.R-project.org).

For manuscripts utilizing custom algorithms or software that are central to the research but not yet described in published literature, software must be made available to editors/reviewers upon request. We strongly encourage code deposition in a community repository (e.g. GitHub). See the Nature Research guidelines for submitting code & software for further information.

Data

Po	licv	information	about avai	lability	of data

All manuscripts must include a data availability statement. This statement should provide the following information, where applicable:

- Accession codes, unique identifiers, or web links for publicly available datasets
- A list of figures that have associated raw data
- A description of any restrictions on data availability

Gene count data can be found at the link https://figshare.com/account/home#/projects/78399.

In addition, data will be added to the publicly available website: www.LMDseq.org.

The non-sequencing data and materials are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Gene count data can be found at the link https://figshare.com/account/home#/projects/78399.

In addition, data will be added to the publicly available website: www.LMDseq.org.

The non-sequencing data and materials are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

— 1	1	C·	
Field	d-speci	fic re	porting

			the state of the s
Pleace celect the hest tit tor v	volir research. It voll are not slire	read the appropriate sections before r	making vour selection

X Life sciences

Behavioural & social sciences

For a reference copy of the document with all sections, see <u>nature.com/authors/policies/ReportingSummary-flat.pdf</u>

Life sciences

Study design

All studies must disclose on these points even when the disclosure is negative.

Sample size

Human CSF samples were collected from patients with or without cancer (controls) through a Stanford University Institutional Review Board-approved protocol. As a proof of concept case series, sample availability depended upon patient consent, volume obtained, and quality of the sample; patients were not specifically recruited for this study. LM CSF samples were obtained either from a standard-of-care lumbar puncture (LP) or ventriculostomy. Patients either required CSF access for diagnosis (e.g. equivocal MRI and/or concerning symptoms of LM), or therapeutic treatment of increased intracranial pressure. Only CSF samples in excess of what was required for clinical pathological diagnosis were utilized in this study; as a pilot trial, no additional, invasive procedures were performed.

Data exclusions

no data were excluded from the analysis.

Replication

Western blot, qPCR and cellular assays were done with technical (3) and biologic replicates (2-3).

Randomization

For patient-derived samples the groups were assigned by diagnosis, tumor vs. non-tumor samples.

Blinding

At the time of samples collection, in most cases, the scientist didn't know the diagnosis and often not at the moment of sample processing.

Materials & experimental systems

Policy information about availability of materials

n/a	Involved in the study	
	Unique materials	
\times	Antibodies	
\times	Eukaryotic cell lines	

Research animals

Human research participants

Unique materials

Obtaining unique materials

Patients' CSF samples are unique in this study and unfortunately for each such sample were consumed to conduct the study.

Human research participants

Policy information about studies involving human research participants

Population characteristics

We provide extensive de-identified clinical and demographic information pertinent to the collected and tested patients' samples in this study.

Method-specific reporting

n/a Involved in the study
ChIP-seq

Flow cytometry

Magnetic resonance imaging