Supplementary Figure 1. Funnel plot for aggregate proportion of unfavorable outcome. Each dot represents a study; the y-axis represents the size of the study (e.g., number of subjects) and the x-axis shows the result of the study (e.g., the intervention's measured average effect). Asymmetric funnel plot suggesting a relationship between treatment effect and study size.

Study name	Event rate	99% CI	Z-value	P-value*	Rate of 90-day unfavorable outcome and 99% CI
Gilgen at al. (2015)	0.70	[0.65; 0.75]	9.05	0.00	
Haussen et al. (2016)	0.71	[0.66; 0.75]	9.67	0.00	
Rebello et al. (2017)	0.70	[0.64; 0.74]	9.11	0.00	
Gautheron et al. (2018)	0.70	[0.65; 0.75]	9.26	0.00	
Chen et al. (2018)	0.77	[0.72; 0.81]	12.69	0.00	
Campbell et al. (2019)	0.70	[0.65; 0.75]	9.25	0.00	
Panni et al. (2019)	0.69	[0.63; 0.74]	8.13	0.00	
Sarraj et al. (2019)	0.70	[0.64; 0.75]	8.64	0.00	
Yoshimoto et al. (2020)	0.72	[0.67; 0.77]	10.09	0.00	
Kerleroux et al. (2020)	0.69	[0.64; 0.74]	8.25	0.00	
Overall	0.71	[0.66; 0.75]	9.93	0.00	

Supplementary Figure 2. One study removed analysis. This forest plot displays the summary values computed when that row's study is removed from the meta-analysis. Unfavorable outcome=modified Rankin Scale of 3 or more at day 90. CI, confidence interval. *P-value for heterogeneity.