2-DFS in the W&W group was better than that in the TME group	

				surg		Odds Ratio	Odds Ratio
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl	M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
2.2.1 western							
Araujo 2015	36	42	62	69	5.6%	0.68 [0.21, 2.17]	
Dalton2012	6	6	5	6	0.3%	3.55 [0.12, 105.82]	
Harb 2004	70	71	19	22	0.3%	11.05 [1.09, 112.39]	
Mass 2011	19	21	19	20	1.5%	0.50 [0.04, 5.99]	
Renehan 2016	100	129	89	109	18.1%	0.77 [0.41, 1.47]	
Smith 2012	28	32	56	57	4.2%	0.13 [0.01, 1.17]	
Smith 2015	17	18	29	30	1.0%	0.59 [0.03, 9.99]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		319		313	31.1%	0.79 [0.49, 1.28]	◆
Total events	276		279				
Heterogeneity: Chi ²	= 8.57, df =	6 (P=	0.20); l ² =	= 30%			
Test for overall effec	t: Z = 0.95 /	P = 0.3	34)				
2.2.2 eastern							
Lee 2015	6	8	25	28	2.3%	0.36 [0.05, 2.66]	
Li 2015	29	30	91	92	1.2%	0.32 [0.02, 5.26]	
Lin 2018	149	202	2054	2578	65.3%	0.72 [0.52, 1.00]	
Subtotal (95% CI)		240		2698	68.9%	0.70 [0.51, 0.96]	•
T 1 1	184		2170				
Total events	104						
Heterogeneity: Chi ² :		2 (P =		= 0%			
	= 0.75, df=		0.69); l² =	= 0%			
Heterogeneity: Chi2	= 0.75, df=		0.69); l² =	= 0%			
Heterogeneity: Chi ²	= 0.75, df=		0.69); l² =		100.0%	0.73 [0.56, 0.95]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² Test for overall effec	= 0.75, df=	(P = 0.0	0.69); l² =		100.0%	0.73 [0.56, 0.95]	•
Heterogeneity: Chi ² Test for overall effec Total (95% CI)	= 0.75, df= t: Z = 2.20 (460	(P = 0.0 559	0.69); I ^z = 13) 2449	3011	100.0%	0.73 [0.56, 0.95]	• •
Heterogeneity: Chi ² Test for overall effec Total (95% Cl) Total events	= 0.75, df = t: Z = 2.20 (460 = 9.48, df =	(P = 0.0 559 9 (P =	0.69); I ² = 13) 2449 0.39); I ² =	3011	100.0%		0.01 0.1 1 10 100 avours experimental Favours control