1 SUPPLEMENTAL METHODS

2

3 <u>Cell culture</u>

4 Hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) (#2M-101C Lonza) were cultured in IMDM

- 5 (Gibco, life technologies) supplemented with 2.5 % BSA (PAN-Biotech GmbH), 1X ITS-G
- 6 (Gibco, life technologies), 20 μM β-Mercapoethanol (Acros Organics), 1mM UltraGlutamine-
- 7 I (Lonza), 50 µg/ml Gentamicin (Acros Organics), 10 µg/ml Ciprofloxacin (Acros Organics),
- $8-50~\mu M$ 2P-Ascorbic Acid (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 $\mu g/mL$ Heparin (AppliChem GmbH), 0.5 \times
- 9 Synthechol (Sigma-Aldrich), 50 ng/mL SCF (Immunotools), 50 ng/ml FLT3L (Immunotools),
- 10 25 ng/ml TPO (Immunotools) and 35 nM UM171 (Selleckchem).
- 11

12 Ex vivo T-lymphoid differentiation culture assay

13 LSK cells (Lin- Sca-1+ c-kit+) form a PU.1 knockout mouse model were transduced to stably express a human PU.1 gene including all regulatory elements¹. For in vitro T-lymphoid 14 15 coculture, 1x10⁴ stromal cells were plated into each well of 24-well plates two days before 16 plating of stem/progenitor cells. Sorted LSK were infected with small-hairpin RNA knockdown 17 of PU.1 asRNA (shPU.1as) or by scrambled control (shControl). Infected stem/progenitor cells 18 were added to the OP9-DL1 stromal cells lines as previously described² at Day 0. Cells were 19 cultured in α-MEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% FCS. Flt3-L, SCF and IL-7 20 (PeproTech). At Day 14-17 cultures of stromal cells and hematopoietic cells were harvested 21 using 0.53 mM EDTA/PBS (pH 7.4). CD45 and DAPI were used to distinguish viable blood 22 cells from OP9-DL1 stroma.

23

24 <u>RNA isolation and Northern Analysis</u>

RNA isolation, electrophoresis, transfer and hybridization were carried out as described³.
Polyadenylated mRNAs were selected according to the MicroPoly(A)PuristTM purification kit
(Ambion). Preparation of separate nuclear and cytoplasmic fractions was performed according
to the ParisTM kit (Ambion). Northern Quantitative analysis was performed on the Storm
Phosphorimager. The antisense-specific probe - mixture of two cloned PCR products are
described in Supplemental Table2.

31

32 <u>ATAC-seq analysis</u>

Raw .fastq files were adapter trimmed using *Trim Galore!* software
(http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) and aligned to GRCh37

(samtools view -@ 20 -h \$i | grep -v MT | samtools sort)⁵. Picard tools 36 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard) were used to mark duplicate reads arising during PCR as 37 38 artefacts of the library preparation procedure followed by duplicate read and multimapper removal by samtools (samtools view -@ 20 -h -b -q 30 -F 1024). Bigwig files were generated 39 40 using deepTools, peaks were called by MACS2 and quantified using the R package diffbind⁶. 41 Intensity values were adjusted to the PU.1 -17kb URE; a quantification inferior to 1:8th of both AsPr and PrPr was used as a filter for outliers. Raw ATAC-seq data were deposited in the 42 43 ArrayExpress database (Accession ID: E-MTAB-9021).

using bowtie2⁴. Reads corresponding to mitochondrial DNA were removed using samtools

35

44 **RERERENCES**

- Leddin M, Perrod C, Hoogenkamp M, et al. Two distinct auto-regulatory loops operate
 at the PU.1 locus in B cells and myeloid cells. *Blood*. 2011;117(10):2827-2838.
- 47 2. Schmitt TM, Zúñiga-Pflücker JC. Induction of T cell development from hematopoietic
 48 progenitor cells by delta-like-1 in vitro. *Immunity*. 2002;17(6):749-756.
- 49 3. Maniatis T, Fritsch EF, Sambrook J. Molecular cloning: a laboratory Manual. Cold
- 50 Spring Harbor, New York: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press; 1982.
- Langmead B, Salzberg SL. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat Methods*.
 2012;9(4):357-359.
- 53 5. Li H. A statistical framework for SNP calling, mutation discovery, association
- 54 mapping and population genetical parameter estimation from sequencing data. *Bioinformatics*.
- 55 2011;27(21):2987-2993.
- 56 6. Ross-Innes CS, Stark R, Teschendorff AE, et al. Differential oestrogen receptor

57 binding is associated with clinical outcome in breast cancer. *Nature*. 2012;481(7381):389-

58 393.

- 59
- 60



dil9 dil10 dil11

CD14

GMP R = - 0.62

8000

Monocyte R = - 0.61

000 10000

dos 10000 Cel number

5000 750 tóte

2000 Cell number

61 SUPPLEMENTAL FIGURES



84

85

86

87

88

2

GAPDH Ct value

2

120000 160000 Cell number

Experiment 1: Ren713 control Experiment 2: PU.1 asRNA knockdow Experiment 2: Ren713 control

Supplemental Figure 1. PU.1 quantification assay validation, hematopoietic population 90 91 isolation for PU.1 transcript quantification and hematopoietic transcription factor 92 mobilization during thymic differentiation. (A) Schematic representation of the PU.1 locus 93 with the antisense promoter (AsPr, red arrow box) and proximal (PrPr, blue arrow box), 94 respectively regulating the transcription of the antisense RNA (asRNA, red line) and the coding

scioo 10000 15000 Cell number

95 mRNA (blue line, exon number 1-5). Forward and reverse primer (left and right arrows) pair 96 localization each PU.1 transcript quantification using RT-qPCR or strand-specific RT-qPCR 97 (ssRT-qPCR). Black pentagon arrow shows PU.1 asRNA probe for Northern blot analysis. 98 Colors used in this schematic are consistent throughout the figure. (B) Northern blot analysis 99 of PU.1 asRNA in HL-60 cell line using PU.1as RNA probe after cytoplasmic (c) or nucleic (n) 100 RNA extraction with (+) or without (-) polyadenylation enrichment. U1 snRNA control probe 101 for nucleic RNA extraction enrichment. Ladder legend for RNA size (Kb, kilobase). (C) Titration of PU.1 asRNA amplicon (upper panel) and mRNA (lower panel) using the Taqman 102 103 RT-qPCR assay. Starting DNA at 0.156ng/µL (dil1, dilution one) is incrementally diluted at a 104 1:8 ratio (n=2). (**D**) Cell limit determination for PU.1 asRNA and mRNA transcript detection 105 using RT-qPCR assay (green area, lowest cell limit, n=2). (E and F) Characterization of (E) 106 PU.1 asRNA and (F) mRNA transcript quantification with RNase and DNase treatments (n=3) 107 using ssRT-qPCR. (G and H) Cell isolation using flow cytometry sorting from healthy donors 108 of (G) total bone marrow (HSC-MPP, merged hematopoietic stem cell and multipotent 109 progenitor; CMP, common myeloid progenitor; MEP, megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitor; 110 GMP, granulocyte-macrophage progenitor; LMPP, lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitor; 111 CLP, common lymphoid progenitor) and (H) peripheral blood. (I-K) Correlation plot between 112 GAPDH housekeeping Ct values from RT-qPCR data and sorted cell number for (I) combined 113 hematopoietic stem, progenitor and peripheral blood, (J) single populations and (K) 114 perturbation experiment in Kasumi-1 cells (Spearman correlation). Data are represented as 115 mean value \pm SEM.

- 116
- 117
- 118
- 119
- . -
- 120
- 121 122
- 123

124

- 125
- 126
- 127



149

128

Supplemental Figure 2

Supplemental Figure 2. Early T-lymphoid differentiation regulation by hematopoietic 150 151 transcription factors. (A) Ranking for PU.1 antisense promoter (AsPr) transcription factor binding candidates (TFBind) by similarity (0.0-1.0) between a registered sequence for the 152 153 transcription factor binding sites and the input sequence. (B-D) Gene expression by transcript 154 sequencing (RNA-seq) in thymic progenitors and differentiated T cells (ETP, early thymic 155 progenitor) for (**B**) E-box, (**C**) ETS and (**D**) most commonly known hematopoietic transcription 156 factors (RPKM normalized, n=2). (E) Gating of cultivated human HSPCs (HSC-MPP, merged 157 hematopoietic stem cell and multipotent progenitor; CMP, common myeloid progenitor; MEP, 158 megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitor; GMP, granulocyte-macrophage progenitor; LMPP, 159 lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitor; CLP, common lymphoid progenitor). Data displayed

160	as percentage of parent population. (F) Hematopoietic populations relative to total CD34+ cells
161	after shRUNX1 (control small-hairpin knockdown against Renilla713, shRen713) in human
162	cultivated HSPCs (n=2). (G) Luciferase and Renilla fluorescence measurements relative to 0ng
163	expression plasmid control for PU.1 AsPr Luciferase transactivation in the presence of RUNX1
164	(n=4). Data are represented as mean value \pm SEM.
165	
166	
167	
168	
169	
170	
171	
172	
173	
174	
175	
176	
177	
178	
179	
180	
181	
182	
183	
184	
185	
186	
187	
188	
189	
190	
191	
192	





Supplemental Figure 3. Validation of PU.1 asRNA depletion in vitro and ex vivo. (A) Core-217 218 binding factor AML (CBF-AML) patient group for PU.1 transcript expression analysis contains 219 the t(8;21)(q22;q22) translocation and inv(16)(p13;q22) inversion anomalies, respectively 220 generating RUNX1-ETO and CBFβ-MYH11 fusion proteins, and is subdivided by cohort. 221 Normal karyotype AML (NK-AML) patient group is subdivided by cohort and by mutation 222 (Fms-like tyrosine kinase, FLT3; nucleophosmin, NPM1). Bone marrow (BM) CD34+ group 223 contains healthy CD34-enriched bone marrow samples. (B) CBF-AML patient group for 224 promoter accessibility analysis (Assi SA, et al. Nat Genet, 2019) contains the t(8;21)(q22;q22) 225 translocation, inv(16)(p13;q22) inversion anomalies and NK-AML patient group contains 226 FLT3, NPM1 or CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein alpha (CEBPa) mutations. (C) Schematic

representation of the PU.1 locus with the antisense promoter (AsPr, red arrow box) regulating antisense RNA (asRNA, red line). Small-hairpin targets 1 to 4 (sh1-4) for lentiviral knockdown of PU.1 asRNA (shPU.1as, red arrows). (D) PU.1 asRNA (red) transcript quantification in Kasumi-1 cells after PU.1 asRNA knockdown (n=2). (E) Experimental workflow of shRNA knockdown of PU.1 antisense RNA (shPU.1as) in human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) followed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS). (F) Hematopoietic populations relative to total CD34+ cells after shPU.1as (control small-hairpin knockdown against Renilla713, shRen713) in human cultivated HSPCs. (G) Gating of cultured human HSPCs (HSC-MPP, merged hematopoietic stem cell and multipotent progenitor; CMP, common myeloid progenitor; MEP, megakaryocyte-erythroid progenitor; GMP, granulocyte-macrophage progenitor; LMPP, lymphoid-primed multipotent progenitor; CLP, common lymphoid progenitor). Data displayed as percentage of parent population. (H) Experimental workflow of shRNA knockdown of PU.1 antisense RNA (shPU.1as) in humanized PU.1 LSK (Lin- Sca-1+ c-kit+) mouse cells followed by coculture with OP-DL1 stromal cells for T-lymphoid differentiation. (I) FACS analysis for Thy1 and CD25 surface marker expression for T-lymphoid differentiation after shPU.1as in LSK cells and coculture with OP9-DL1. (J) Absolute count per well of T-lymphoid progenitors after shPU.1as in LSK cells and in vitro coculture with OP9-DL1.



Supplemental Figure 4. **PU.1 asRNA function assessment in CBF-AML cell lines.** (**A**) FACS analysis for CD34 surface marker expression and cell viability kinetics after shPU.1as in Kasumi-1 (n=4). (**B**) Schematic representation of the PU.1 locus with the antisense promoter (AsPr, red arrow box) regulating antisense RNA (asRNA, red line) and the double-strand break locations for CRISPR/Cas9-mediated RUNX binding site (RUNXBS) knockout. Also shown is the antisense transcription start site (ATSS). (**C**) FACS analysis for CD14 surface marker

292	expression after RUNXBS knockout (RUNXBS-ko) in Kasumi-1 (merged n=4). (D) Kinetics
293	of CD14 surface marker expression after RUNXBS-ko in Kasumi-1 (n=4). (E) PU.1 asRNA
294	(red) and mRNA (blue) transcript expression after RUNXBS-ko in CD14-negative and CD14-
295	positive Kasumi-1 cells (n=4). (F) PU.1 asRNA/mRNA ratio (brown) after RUNXBS-ko in
296	CD14-negative and CD14-positive Kasumi-1 cells (n=4). (G) PU.1 asRNA (red) and mRNA
297	(blue) transcript expression after AsPr-ko in CD14-negative and CD14-positive Kasumi-1 cells
298	(n=4). (H) PU.1 asRNA and mRNA (blue) transcript quantification in ME-1 cells after
299	shPU.1as with sh4 (n=3). (I-K) Flow cytometry after shPU.1as with sh4 target in ME-1 cells
300	for (I) cell viability, (J) CD11b and (K) CD14 surface markers (n=3). (L) May
301	Grünwald/Giemsa cytospins for morphology analysis of ME-1 cells after shPU.1as (n=3). Data
302	are represented as mean value \pm SEM. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001, Student's t-test.
303	
304	
305	
306	
307	
308	
309	
310	

311



342 Supplemental Figure 5. RUNX1-ETO depletion by lentiviral small hairpin knockdown
343 prevents cell differentiation and myeloid function. (A) Scheme of shRUNX1-ETO
344 knockdown targets (shRE1-3, small hairpin RUNX1-ETO knockdown for target 1-3). (B)
345 RUNX1-ETO RT-qPCR relative to GAPDH housekeeping gene after RUNX1-ETO

knockdown using each shRE target at day10 in Kasumi-1 cells (single replicate). (C) CD34 surface marker kinetics assessed by flow cytometry for two negative viability controls (Renilla713 and 826, shRen713 and shRen826) and three constructs for RUNX1-ETO lentiviral knockdown in Kasumi-1 cells (single replicate). (D) Flow cytometry analysis of CD34 surface marker at day2 and day9 after shRUNX1-ETO (shRE) in Kasumi-1 cells (n=2). (E) Top 10 Pathway gene ontology analysis using Panther from transcript sequencing (RNA-seq) after shRUNX1-ETO in Kasumi-1 cells (n=3). Differential expression of shRUNX1-ETO Day9 compared to shControl Day2-Day9. (F and G) URE-adjusted peak quantification values by ATAC-seq for PU.1 antisense (AsPr, red) and proximal promoter (PrPr, blue) exhibited as AsPr/PrPr ratio for (F) RUNX1-ETO knockdown in Kasumi-1 cells (2 days after lentiviral transduction, n=3) and for (G) AI-10-49 inhibitor treatment of ME-1 cells (6 hours after treatment, n=2). Data are represented as mean value \pm SEM.



400 Supplemental Figure 6. PU.1 upstream regulatory element is mobilized in early lymphoid 401 differentiation and in PU.1 downregulation by the RUNX1-ETO oncogene. (A) Chromatin 402 immunoprecipitation sequencing (ChIP-seq) of CBF_β, MYH11 and TAL1 at the PU.1 locus 403 (AsPr, antisense promoter; PrPr, proximal promoter; URE, upstream regulatory element). 404 TAL1 was used as a negative control. (B) RUNX1 and RUNX1-ETO ChIP-seq in Kasumi-1 405 cells (with immunoglobulin G control, IgG). (C) Promoter capture chromosomal conformation sequencing (C-HiC) after small-interfering RNA of RUNX-ETO knockdown (siRUNX1-ETO) 406 versus control (siControl). Black dot indicates chromosomal looping of -17kb URE and PrPr. 407 408 Data are represented as mean value \pm SEM.