Electronic Supplementary Material 1 - Structured interview guide

8. Please explain your answer.

SECTION A			
Name of interviewee:Position:			
Years of relevant work experience:Name of organization:			
NOTE: only summary data will be reported	ed and individua	al respondents will not be identified	
1. At present in your organization, do you	implement CE	D schemes for health technologies?	
Yes, for medicines only			
Yes, for medical devices only			
Yes, for other technologies		Please specify:	
Yes, for all technologies			
No			
< follow-up questions 2-6 if answer to Q1	is "Yes" (any to	echnology)>	
2. If CED schemes for medical devices policies or programs underpinning the sch		mplemented, please provide the names of	f the existing
3. If CED schemes for medical devices ar implementing them?	e not currently i	mplemented, has your organization ever co	onsidered
No, never considered			
Yes, in the past but it was later aban	ndoned/discontir	nued \square	
Yes, in the present/ongoing			
4. Please explain your answer			
5. Are there any guidelines available on horganization?	now to design or	implement CED schemes that are used in	your
Yes, for all technologies			
Yes, for medical devices only			
Yes, for medicines only			
Yes, for other technologies			
No, guidelines do not exist			
No, but guidelines are currently und development	ler □		
6. If guidelines exist for medical devices,	please provide	a reference or link to the guidelines.	
<follow-up 7-8="" 7.="" answer="" considered<="" ever="" has="" if="" organization="" q1="" questions="" td="" to="" your=""><td></td><td>CED schemes for medical devices?</td><td></td></follow-up>		CED schemes for medical devices?	
No, never considered			
Yes, in the past but it was later abar	ndoned/discontii	 -	
Yes, in the present/ongoing			

SECTION B

Based on the literature on CED schemes for medical devices, we have identified challenges that may affect a successful design and implementation of schemes. We now want to ask your thoughts on these challenges, and explore how these are addressed in your organization. When answering questions in this section, please consider CED schemes for medical devices only.

1. Please rate the following challenges on a scale of '0' (Not a challenge) to '5' (Major challenge)

(4) Determining the appropriate study design for data collection (e.g., RCT, registry, audit)

(1) Deciding which medical devices are candidates for a CED scheme						
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, what criteria are used to decide on which	devid	ces are	cand	idates	s? 	
(2) Getting stakeholder agreement on the scheme (e.g., Ministry of Health, manufacturers, hosp associations)	oitals,	clinic	ians,	patier	nt	
······································	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, what efforts do you make to engage with agreement on the scheme?	stake	holdei	rs and	get a	n	
(3) Securing funding for the scheme						
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, how is the funding secured to run the sch	eme?					

	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, how is the study design defined and agre stakeholders?	ed am	ong a	ll rele	evant		
(5) Determining the relevant outcome measure(s) to be collected in the scheme	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:	'	ļ				
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, how are decisions concerning the outcomes the latest and the latest are currently implemented.	nes me	asure	s mac	le? H	ow is	
agreement reached among stakeholders?						
(6) Dealing with data collection and monitoring (e.g. who collects the data? Address risk of in	compl	ete/pa	ırtial o	data)		
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? $(0 = \text{not a challenge}, 5 = \text{major challenge})$						
Please explain your answer:		•				
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, who is responsible for data collection an	d qual	ity mo	onitor	ring?		
(7) Dealing with analysis of the data (e.g. who performs the analysis, how is the	ne risl	k of l	bias a	addra	essed	Ŋ
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:	1	,		•		

If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, who is responsible for the analysis of the	data	collec	ted?			
(2) Determining the decision rule prior to the start of the scheme based on the		toom	a of	tha	aa h a	ma
(8) Determining the decision rule prior to the start of the scheme, based on the	e ou	COIII	E 01	ше	SCHE	ше
(e.g. reimbursement status, price change or refund)						
(c.g. Termoursement status, price change of Tertand)						
			•			_
	$\frac{0}{1}$	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major						
challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, by what process is the decision rule deter	mined	l (or n	ot)? (if a d	ecisio	n
rule is established please detail the criteria used)						
(9) Reaching an agreement on price, reimbursement or use of the device at the	end o	of the	e sch	eme.		
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major						
challenge)						
Please explain your answer:			<u> </u>	ļ		
Trouse original your answer						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, how is an agreement reached on prices, re	aimbu	raama	nt or	1160.0	f o	
device at the end of a scheme?	ziiiibu	rseme	iii Oi	use o	11 a	
(10) Withdrawing devices from the market when found not to be clinically or c	ost-e	effect	ive.			
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? $(0 = \text{not a challenge}, 5 = \text{major})$						
challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						

If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, what actions do you take to withdraw develefective or cost-effective? How do you deal with potential reactions from physicians, patients						e
(11) Agreeing the length of the scheme or stopping rule						
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)	0	1	2	3	4	5
Please explain your answer:		1	,	•		
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, how are the duration of the scheme and/o agreed among relevant stakeholders?	r the s	stoppi	ng ru	le def	ined a	and
(12) Adapting the scheme to deal with product modifications or the existence of	f a le	earni	ng c	urve		
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:						
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, is the possibility of incremental innovation learning curve addressed in the schemes? If so, how?	ns an	d/or tl	he ex	istenc	e of a	l

(13) Dealing with similar products entering the market (e.g., should they be entered into the scheme,

could they undermine the scheme?)						
	0	1	2	3	4	5
To what extent is this a challenge for your organization? (0 = not a challenge, 5 = major challenge)						
Please explain your answer:			l	l		
If CED schemes for MDs are currently implemented, how do you deal with similar products en	tering	the n	narke	t duri	ng the	2
scheme?						
2. Are there other factors in your view that may help or hinder the successful implementation	on of	CED	sche	emes?	,	
SECTION C						
NOTE: Please include only CED schemes on medical devices that are currently ongoing or were 5 years. If information is not available, please enter "Not Available", if information cannot be ma "Classified".						
Please complete a separate form for each CED scheme for medical devices						
1. Basic information on the scheme						
1. 1 Year of initiation of scheme:			_			
1.2 ID of the scheme:			_			
1.3 Description of the product(s) and/or procedure(s) involved:						
1.4 Disease/Indication(s) included in the scheme:						
1.5 Manufacturer(s) involved:						
1.6 Actual status of the scheme (choose one of the answer options):						
☐ Scheme agreed, data collection to be initiated						
☐ Data collection active						
☐ Data collected, re-assessment to be done ☐ Data collected, re-assessment done						
1.7 How was this MD selected for the scheme:						

1.8 Health care organization/insurer/payer promoting the scheme:
1.9 Agency running the scheme (if not insurer/payer):
1.10 Purpose of scheme (choose one between the suggested options or enter a new one):
☐ To confirm reimbursement status at the end of the scheme (e.g. if effectiveness or cost-effectiveness are confirmed, the technology will receive an unconditional reimbursement)
☐ To inform price decisions (e.g. if agreed clinical outcomes are not reached at the end of the scheme, price discounts are increased by a pre-agreed amount)
☐ To confirm use of the device for specific subpopulations and/or indications
☐ To ensure effective and/or cost-effective use of the device in clinical practice (e.g., payment or reimbursement linked
to individual patients' outcomes) To ensure appropriateness and quality of care (e.g., reimbursement conditional on providers compliance with clinical
guidelines, or with pre-defined patients selection criteria)
2. Design of the scheme
2.1 Agreed length of scheme (years):
2.2. Description of the agreement (e.g., refund in case of non-response/treatment failure):
2.3 Key sources of uncertainty at scheme initiation (choose among the suggested options or enter new ones):
\Box The efficacy/effectiveness of the technology in a tested population as compared to current standard of care
☐ The relative efficacy/effectiveness in the real target population and/or in different population subgroups
☐ The effects on long-term patient relevant outcomes (versus surrogates outcomes used in clinical studies) ☐ The effect of physicians learning curves on patient outcomes and/or costs
☐ The risk of adverse events and/or adherence problems
☐ Uncertainties related to the true budget impact of introducing the technology
☐ Uncertainties related to the true cost-effectiveness of the technology
☐ Uncertainties related to the organizational impact of the technology ☐
2.4 Design of the study (choose between the suggested options or enter a new one):
☐ Randomized controlled trial

☐ Set up of a registry ☐
2.5 Primary outcomes being measured in the scheme:
1)
3)
2.6 Secondary outcomes being measured in the scheme:
1)
3)
2.7 Source of funding for scheme:
3. Outcomes of the scheme
3.1 Public source of evidence about the scheme, if any (e.g. peer-reviewed articles, manufacturer's website, public
registries):
3.2 Results (if scheme completed):
a) evidence generated by scheme
b) decision(s) made as a result of the scheme
3.3 Overall impression on successful aspects of the scheme:
3.4 Overall impression on failure aspects of the scheme:
3.5 Is there anything you would do differently when designing/applying a CED scheme for this type of MD in the
future?
3.6 Other observations about the scheme: